| 1 | IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS | | |
|----|---|---|--|
| 2 | EASTERN DIVISION | | |
| 3 | STATE OF ILLINOIS, |) |) Case No. 17 C 6260 |
| 4 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 5 | ٧. |) | |
| 6 | CITY OF CHICAGO, |) | Chicago, Illinois |
| 7 | Defe |) February 11, 2025 ndant.) 1:02 p.m. | |
| 8 | TRANSCRIPT OF PUBLIC HEARING | | |
| 9 | BEFORE THE HONORABLE REBECCA R. PALLMEYER | | |
| 10 | APPEARANCES: | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | For the State: | | HE ATTORNEY GENERAL INE PANNELLA |
| 13 | | | ndolph Street, 11th Floor linois 606061 |
| 14 | For the City: | | NIUS & HOLLISTER LLP |
| 15 | | | cker, Suite 2600 |
| 16 | o | Chicago, IL | |
| 17 | For the Coalition: | UNIVERSITY (| L AID CLINIC OF THE OF CHICAGO LAW SCHOOL |
| 18 | | 6020 S. Univ | B. FUTTERMAN versity Avenue |
| 19 | | Chicago, Il | linois 60637 |
| 20 | | | IN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. LE T. GARCIA |
| 21 | | 150 North M ⁻ Chicago, Il | ichigan Avenue, Suite 600 linois 60601 |
| 22 | | 0 , | |
| 23 | For the Monitor: | ARENTFOX SCH BY: MARGARI | HIFF LLP ET A. HICKEY |
| 24 | | ANTHON' | Y-RAY SEPULVEDA acker Drive, Suite 7100 |
| 25 | | | linois 60606 |
| 23 | | | |

| 1 | Also Present: | DR. LAURA KUNARD |
|----|-------------------------------|--|
| 2 | | COMMANDER RALPH CRUZ |
| 3 | | SUPERINTENDENT LARRY SNELLING |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | Court Reporter: | HANNAH JAGLER, RMR, CRR, FCRR Official Court Reporter |
| 23 | | 219 S. Dearborn Street, Room 2504 Chicago, Illinois 60604 |
| 24 | | * * * * * |
| 25 | PROCEED TRANSCRIPT PRODUCI | DINGS REPORTED BY STENOTYPE; ED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION |

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

(Proceedings commenced by videoconference at 1:02 p.m.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. We're here for our monthly status hearing. And I know that several of you will want to be heard from. I'm going to be expecting to hear reports briefly from the Monitor and also from the Attorney General and the City, and we'll certainly hear from the Coalition as well.

 $\label{thm:condition} \mbox{Why don't I ask you to get this started, Ms. Hickey,} \\ \mbox{if you wouldn't mind.}$

MS. HICKEY: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. And thank you for convening us here today for our monthly status hearing.

Today we will hear updates regarding the City of Chicago and the Office of the Illinois Attorney General's responses to the Independent Monitoring Team's Comprehensive Assessment for the Consent Decree.

By way of background, the Independent Monitoring Team filed our Comprehensive Assessment in two parts. On November 1st, 2023, we filed Part 1, which included whether and to what extent the City of Chicago and the CPD are in compliance with the Consent Decree, including areas of greatest progress and achievement and the requirements that appear to have contributed to these achievements, as well as the areas of greatest concern.

And then on October 11th of 2024, we filed Part 2,

which included the outcomes intended by the Consent Decree and whether they were achieved and whether any modifications to the Consent Decree were necessary in light of changed circumstances or unanticipated impact or lack of impact of the requirements. This included strategies for accelerating full and effective compliance.

Our recommendations to address our areas of greatest concern included adding requirements to address probable cause traffic stops, providing for consistent and progressive discipline, incorporating the Community Commission for Public Safety and Accountability into the Consent Decree, specifically specifying a path for compliance with the Police and Community Relations Improvement Act, known as PCRIA, and providing community members with access to observe Chicago Police Department trainings.

Following the terms of the Consent Decree, the parties may, subject to the approval of this Court, choose to modify the Consent Decree in response to our Comprehensive Assessment. The Independent Monitoring Team cannot unilaterally modify the Consent Decree.

On December 12th, 2024, the Coalition filed a response to the Consent Decree Comprehensive Assessment Part 2 and request for status conference. This included, for example, a response to our recommendation that the City of Chicago and the Office of the Illinois Attorney General specify Coalition

procedures under the Consent Decree.

Last Friday, in response to the Court's order, the City of Chicago and the Office of the Illinois Attorney General filed a status update, identifying that the parties continued to negotiate potential changes to the Consent Decree in response to our recommended changes, as well as feedback from the Coalition.

Today the parties are likely to highlight some of the developments that have come out of these ongoing discussions, including changes related to Level 1 uses of force by the Tactical Review and Evaluation Division, known as TRED, and the latest versions of the Coordinated Mass Arrest policies.

Related to our recommendation, it is my understanding that the Chicago Police Department will also present today on their efforts and plans to provide community access to observe Chicago Police Department trainings this year. We greatly appreciate the CPD's efforts to address this feedback and meet the spirit of the Consent Decree without modification.

Before turning it over to the parties, I would also like to highlight two developments from the Independent Monitoring Team. First, our third community survey was filed with this Court, and second, we have a new associate monitor for Data Collection, Analysis, and Management.

First, on May the 30th, 2023, we filed our special report for the -- I'm sorry, we filed a couple weeks ago our

third community survey. It's available on our website. And the report details survey responses from a large representative sample of Chicagoans, 1,209 randomly-selected Chicagoans from across all races and 424 responses of young black men in Chicago between the ages of 18 and 25. We conducted the survey between March of 2024 and April of 2024.

This community survey used the same questions as we used on the first and second community surveys that were done in 2020 and 2022. The surveys include questions about overall police services, effectiveness, community engagement, responsiveness, trustworthiness, and procedural justice and the contacts and interactions with the Chicago Police Department, and also include questions about misconduct complaints and investigations, and also, most important, confidence in reform.

In response to all three of these surveys, young black men gave the Chicago Police Department the lowest ratings, followed by all black Chicagoans, Latino Chicagoans, and white Chicagoans. While still significant in the latest survey, these differences were smaller than in the first two surveys, with black Chicagoans providing fewer negative ratings and white Chicagoans providing fewer positive ratings.

As I have previously highlighted, these statistically representative surveys of Chicago communities are one of the important metrics of CPD's reform efforts until the Consent Decree. In 2024, the Chicago Police Department received a

combined positive rating by over half of Chicagoans on 19 of the 54 rating questions. This is an increase from the second survey where over half the Chicagoans gave the police department a positive rating on only 11 of 54 rating questions, but slight decrease from the first survey, where that applied 20 of the 54 questions.

Overall, the results of the latest survey reflect the community sentiments have returned to comparable levels from before the 2022 downturn following the murder of George Floyd and subsequent protests.

While this is a movement in the right direction, the results continue to demonstrate need for improvement. You can find the full survey on our website, CPDMonitoringTeam.com, where we have all of the data, but also two-page highlights, which can show you very quick more details about the survey.

And now I would like to briefly introduce Dr. Laura Kunard, who has been on the IMT leadership team since the beginning of the Consent Decree, but now she's going to add additional duties as serving as the associate monitor for Data Collection, Analysis, and Management. And I truly believe that this will be an excellent thing for Dr. Kunard to take on, because as we are moving from the initial phases of preliminary and secondary compliance into operational compliance, her leadership capacity and work that she has done across all team and cross-functionally will really aid in that section.

I turn it over to you now, Dr. Kunard.

DR. KUNARD: Thank you, Maggie.

In addition to my work on this team, I currently serve as senior fellow at the nonprofit CNA, where I work nationwide across a variety of US Department of Justice initiatives, providing technical assistance to police departments, including serving as project director on the COPS Office's Collaborative Reform Organizational Assessment Initiative.

I've also served as an associate monitor on the Albuquerque Independent Monitoring Team and I currently consult with the US Department of Justice on the Puerto Rico Consent Decree.

As Maggie mentioned, I will retain my ongoing role as project director for the Chicago IMT. And I really look forward to my new responsibilities as associate monitor for Data Collection, Analysis, and Management.

Given my monitoring experience and knowledge across all sections of this Consent Decree, I will have a particular focus, as Maggie mentioned, on insuring that the data requirements are met across all of the areas of the Consent Decree. It's our hope that our increased focus on data will play a role towards facilitating full and effective compliance with the Consent Decree.

Thanks very much, Maggie, and thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Kunard.

1 MS. HICKEY: I don't have anything additional, Your Honor. If you're prepared, we can turn it over to the Attorney 2 General's Office. 3 4 THE COURT: Yes, I'd be happy to hear from the Attorney General's Office. 5 MS. PANNELLA: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon. 6 7 Good afternoon, Ms. Hickey, counsel, and members of the public listening today. My name is Kate Pannella. I'm a senior assistant 9 10 attorney general in the Civil Rights Bureau of the Illinois 11 Attorney General's Office and I represent the State of 12 Illinois. 13 Today I will offer my office's perspective on the 14 Monitor's Comprehensive Assessment. 15 And we did, as Ms. Hickey noted, file a joint status 16 report on Friday afternoon and that status report --17 (Audio interruption.) 18 MS. HICKEY: I believe Ms. Pannella may have frozen. 19 THE COURT: Frozen. 20 MS. HICKEY: If we could give her one minute, Your 21 Honor, to see if we can get her back on. If not, we may have 22 to proceed with the City first and the OAG second. 23 technical difficulties seem to happen to me all the time, so I

MR. SLAGEL: We're ready to proceed, Your Honor.

24

25

can just roll with them.

Commander Cruz is on and I think we can do that if that's okay, and then catch up at the end.

THE COURT: Sure. Why don't we go ahead and let you get started, and if we need to come back to Ms. Pannella, we can do that as soon as she's back with us.

MR. SLAGEL: Okay. I will turn it over to Commander Ralph Cruz, who is going to do a presentation regarding 2025 training and other community training opportunities.

Commander?

THE COURT: Great.

COMMANDER CRUZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Thank you so much for the opportunity to be here to present our training for this year. Give me one second, I'm going to share my screen.

THE COURT: Sure.

COMMANDER CRUZ: All right. Can you see my screen?

THE COURT: I can.

COMMANDER CRUZ: Is that good? Yes? Okay.

So my name's Commander Ralph Cruz from the Chicago
Police Department. I will be giving you a presentation on our
2025 training.

So first and foremost, I like to just point out that 2024 was like a breakthrough year for us in CPD. I think with the DNC, we really broke barriers and we worked so much better with the IMT and the OAG, and I'm just very proud of the staff

here that I work with and all the success we had last year for the DNC, which we'll talk about a little bit more later.

But with what we learned last year, we were researching best practices and education, specifically law enforcement, was that integrating curricula seems to be the way things are going. So initially, you have like block training where you have a specific course of -- course that you're learning and that's good for initial training. But the research is showing that when you have subsequent training in addition to that, that that training, especially for like law enforcement, should be integrated.

So law enforcement, for example, law enforcement doesn't just respond to a traffic crash. There could be a traffic crash that is involved in a domestic or a person in crisis. So when you start to understand that better and you start to create curricula that's integrated, it's way better received by the students and then you have way better ethical outcomes. So what we're going to start to do going forward is integrating curricula.

So in 2024, we did it for the first time with our LEMART training, which is our Law Enforcement Medical and Rescue Training. That's like the -- tourniquet training, it's medical training, where officers have the ability to provide medical aid in that instance where EMS isn't on scene yet and they come across an injured person. So we integrated our

LEMART training with officer wellness, specifically stress tolerance, which teaches officers when they're under stress how to bring their heart rates down, how to get their body in coherence. And it's not four hours of LEMART and four hours of wellness. It's eight hours of integrated LEMART.

So when we did that last year, it was excellent. I think the instructors understood the material better, and more importantly the members of our department received it better.

And I think, you look at the screen here, you'll see the fruits of that labor.

So LEMART's been part of our culture for a while now. And for instance, in 2022, we had 423 LEMART uses, and the LEMART use could be anything like a tourniquet, someone shot in the arm, they could put a tourniquet to stop the bleeding, a clotting agent, a hemostatic dressing. It helps promote clotting. So in 2022, we had 423 uses. And then 2023, we had 512.

And then last year, when we did the training, we did a departmentwide -- our whole department got it. They got brand new kits and we integrated it with wellness, and we had 783 LEMART uses. So from 2022 to 2024, there was an 85 percent increase in officers providing aid. And I would say the majority of that aid was given by officers to the community members. There wasn't much officers treating themselves or other officers. It's mostly on the community. So we're very

happy with that outcome. So going forward, we're going to start integrating more curricula.

And just a note there, you see that Challenge coin, there's an officer, a great Officer Martinez, he died suddenly a couple years ago, but he loved LEMART. He had like three newsworthy cases where he saved somebody's life. And whenever an officer uses LEMART, they will -- they e-mail the training academy and they'll get a Challenge coin in his memory. So officers really enjoy that too.

Any questions on integrating curricula, ma'am?

THE COURT: Okay. One I've got. Okay. So it's Law

Enforcement Medical Assessment and -- the "T" I got. What's

the "R"?

COMMANDER CRUZ: It's Law Enforcement Medical and Rescue Training.

THE COURT: Oh, and Rescue. Okay. Got it. Thanks.

COMMANDER CRUZ: You're welcome. Good? Okay.

So for our 2025 training, another thing we learned last year was that -- the DNC was essential theme in our training, and we never had that before. And what we learned from the educational perspective is that when all the cadres had a common theme, they understood the bigger picture better, and they understood the other cadres' role in the overall goal in training. And that really broke silos and I think that gave officers who were training last year, say, feel force, when

they had the opportunity to reinforce like the LEMART wellness. They took those opportunities better. So you have now an essential theme and all the instructors working together toward a common goal, and we saw the results of that. Instructors were better trained, they kind of saw the bigger picture, and our students I thought received the information better.

So this -- so going forward, we're going to try to have annually a central theme to our training. So this year that central theme is respect. So as we go through the slides, you'll see how that theme of respect is weaved through all of our training.

So for the Consent Decree, we have to have 40 hours of inservice training for our department members and 24 of those hours of training has to be in person. And the other 16 hours, we have the option to do e-learning or in-person training. So this year, we're going to have 32 hours of in-person training, and that last eight hours, we're going to do e-learning. But you'll see in the next slide we're going to do much more than eight hours of e-learning. And in addition to that, we also have an inservice supervisor training that we're going to talk about this afternoon.

Any questions on the theme, ma'am?

THE COURT: I think I'm good on that. Thanks.

COMMANDER CRUZ: So here's just a quick snapshot of our e-learning. Like I said, we have 40 hours of inservice

training that we're required to have, but with our e-learning, we're going to be way above that. We're going to be about 54.5 hours and the e-learnings, to the right, like the firearms and the Taser qualifications, those are going on now and a lot of these e-learnings are going to be created.

Any questions on the e-learning? Okay.

So for our trainings, we always have our inservice training, we always have community members go through that training, and we have what's called our Training Community Advisory Committee, or TCAC. It's a group of community members that we contact. We'll give them the information regarding the class, the lesson plans, things like that prior. They go through it.

And once a month, we have a TCAC meeting where we give a presentation on our idea of training and we solicit their feedback and we exchange information. And based off that information, we will change, adjust, will answer questions. And then once we filter it through the TCAC, then it will go through our filtering process, and then it's approved ultimately through the IMT, OAG, and we start the training. And on the bottom there, you'll see those are some of the TCAC members.

Any questions with this?

So the first class we're going to talk about is our 2025 Crisis Intervention and Wellness training. So we're going

to integrate those two curricula. And how the theme of respect applies here is that unfortunately we did have more than a few officers killed in the last few years. And some of those officers -- I'm sorry, this is a Wellness class. I apologize.

So this is the Wellness course. For the Wellness course, how the theme of respect applies here, is officers have to understand that their wellness is important and they have to be emotionally and mentally well, especially if they're going to be coming across -- responding to people who are in crisis. So that's how that theme of respect goes for our officers and for the community.

So how the class starts is it starts with emerging trends in law enforcement wellness. And two of those trends are posttraumatic stress disorder and moral injury.

Posttraumatic stress disorder is things like if an officer comes across a critical incident, like they come across a child who is injured very badly in a traffic crash, or use-of-force incident where they had to fight for their life. Those types of incidents could cause those officers to have lasting effects, and those lasting effects could turn into anxiety, depression, and lead up to and include suicide.

So another emerging trend in law enforcement wellness is moral injury. What moral injury is, is if an officer has a moral standard that they adhere to, but if they come across something that goes against that and there's not much they can

do about it, that could cause injury. And if that's left untreated, moral injury could lead into posttraumatic stress, and some of the emerging data is suggesting that moral injury is a precursor to posttraumatic stress.

So when we presented this to our TCAC members, they actually had a lot of input and information on the case studies. For example, when we were developing this together with the community, one of the case studies was, an officer encounters a must-arrest situation. They're in a traffic stop and the community member that they pulled over has an active warrant. And the community member is -- you know, says "I have, you know, a child in the back, they're disabled." The officer looks in the backseat and they see that the child's in a wheelchair and things like that, and then the officer now has to work through that.

So during the case studies, the officers have to go through that, discuss how moral injury could apply, and then we give officers information on how to prevent moral injury and posttraumatic stress, resources available if they do feel the effects of it like our EAP and things like that.

And once officers have a good foundation on these two items, then we start to talk about our CIT program, our crisis intervention training program and how we should respond to people in crisis. And we talk about people in crisis, their mental health, what they present, and how we could best

respond.

And one of the biggest foundational things that we teach is force mitigation. And part of that force mitigation when we come across people in crisis is communication. If we're able to communicate with people in crisis and we can talk them down and we can use time as a tactic, we can use continued communication, tactical positioning, and listen to what's going on, then we can deescalate the situation and then we give officers resources that are available to help people in crisis.

So once the officers understand their wellness and the wellness of the community and how to respond, they go to lunch, and then after lunch, they come and they do reality-based training, where they come across people who are in crisis and they are going to have to address them appropriately.

So in this class, again, I'm going to highlight the work we did last year with everybody here, CPD, IMT, OAG, that really allowed us a lot more time for us to onboard our training. And in this case, having that extra time, the instructors requested to have NAMI, which is the National Alliance on Mental Health, come out and discuss what people in crisis -- do a deep dive into the signs and symptoms of people in crisis exhibit, so the instructors got a really good understanding of that.

And we actually had Columbia College come out and teach our instructors and role-players acting classes so that

the -- when our officers go to the training, they have the most high fidelity reality-based training to get them prepared to deal with people in crisis.

That's all I have on this slide, ma'am. Is there any questions?

THE COURT: No. Thank you.

COMMANDER CRUZ: The next training is our annual use of force training. The DRU stands for deescalation, response to resistance, and use of force. For the Consent Decree, we have an annual requirement to teach eight hours of this. And so we have this every year and then we theme it differently every year.

So this year, it's going to be specifically vehicle stops, and vehicle stops with specifically unknown and high-risk vehicle stops. So that's the training. And like I was saying in the earlier slide, I apologize for that, but unfortunately, this is how the theme of respect applies. Unfortunately more than a few officers have died recently, were killed in line of duty recently, and some of those officers were killed during traffic stops. So out of respect for our officers, we have a duty to train them properly, to go across the country, find best standards, and then ensure that they're as safe as they can be.

And how that theme connects to the community is that when officers are better trained and responding tactically,

it's going to be an overall experience when they're making traffic stops with people in their community. So that's how the respect theme applies there.

So in the class, it starts off, we're integrating some case law, traffic stop tactics, and communication into this course. So the class starts off with some case law. And then once the officers understand the case law, we start to discuss tactics. Again, we worked with FLETC, the Federal Law Enforcement Training Center, and found some best practices and they understand tactics.

Once they understand the tactics, we talk about the importance of communication and procedural justice. It's not about the outcome. It's about the process. It's how we're treating people during these traffic stops that are super important, and we give them some tools like the tactical 9-step that gives them a framework on how to communicate better.

We're also highlighting our trauma-informed response when our officers are, you know, getting taught, like in the last class, active listening. We're listening to see if maybe somebody may be in crisis and then we're reinforcing, if we do come across somebody in crisis, how can we better respond and get them the resources they need.

Also as I said earlier, this is an emphasis on unknown and high-risk traffic stops. With that, officers are, you know, going to encounter the effects of stress. Their heart

rates may get higher and their breathing may increase so we teach them breath work, how to calm down, how to take a deep breath so they can get their stress levels down so they can perform better.

Also highlighting use of body-worn cameras, making sure officers are putting their cameras on throughout the whole stop. And then once the officers learn this in the classroom, then they go to lunch and then we have some hands-on practice with them. Can you see my mouse on the screen?

THE COURT: Yes.

COMMANDER CRUZ: Okay. If you look at this picture here, this is one of the highlights that come out of this class. The officers -- again, we have this spring training facility and scenario village and, so we actually are able to have officers mimic a real life traffic stop. And the instructors are here and they're with the officers the entire time and we're coaching. We're saying, you know, "Here's what our best practice is," making sure officers are doing things tactically sound, ensuring procedural justice is there, and they're communicating not only with the community but with each other, with dispatch, things like that.

So once the officers learn the tactics, the highlight of this is that they're actually going to practice -- chance to practice. So we let them go like in groups and they're just practicing what they learned and that's one of the highlights,

the officers are giving us feedback during the after action and teach-backs. And now during the classes, they really, really enjoy being able to practice the tactic.

Once they practice the tactics, then they go to scenarios. So the officers here in this picture, you'll see, the officers are now going to respond to a traffic stop, and then they're going to have to go through it with role players and everything else. So here, you'll see like as the officers get out, they have the instructors with them, they're coaching them through, they're, you know, making any immediate corrections that are necessary.

But another highlight of this class is you see up here this white fence, that's actually the rest of the students.

The rest of the class, they're actually able to sit and watch their peers perform the traffic stops.

So during the after action, we were doing the onboarding for the class and now with some of the classes that are ongoing now, the students love it. They love being able to sit and not only go through the exercise, but see their peers do it.

And that's another chance for us to reinforce training, because prior to this, what we did was we had them wait in a room, and then they would wait. So, you know, a group went and did their scenario, and then they would just wait some more. So we got rid of all that waiting, and we're

actually using it as opportunities to reinforce training.

So that's all I have on this slide, ma'am. Any questions?

THE COURT: No questions now.

COMMANDER CRUZ: Our next class, our next course is our Constitutional Policing Foundations course. How the theme of respect applies here is that it's the respect for the profession of policing.

So in early 2024, Superintendent Snelling mandated that all exempt members had to attend a three-day leadership course taught by the University of Chicago. It was a great class. And one of the classes in that three days was this Constitutional Policing Foundations course. So it was very well received. Exempts loved it and Superintendent thought this would be a great opportunity to bring it to the entire department.

So we have -- we have Arif Alikhan and Sandy Jo
MacArthur. They're both like -- they're both consultants
creating this class for us and they're former law enforcement
with LAPD. I think Arif Alikhan's a lawyer. He worked for
Homeland Security. So they have a lot of background and they
have -- they're all things Fourth Amendment and they work with
consent decrees. So they're creating this class and this class
talks about the legal standards and professionalizing policing.

So a good example that he gives in the class is he

talks about how like, let's say lawyers and doctors, they're professionals, they go to school, they become doctors and lawyers. And for example, a doctor, they have legal authority to perform a surgery, but they don't just do any surgery. They have professional and ethical standards, and they look at, you know, the patient, they'll see, you know, what the issue is, they'll look at the surgery and say, "Okay, what are the risks? You know, is this person elderly, young?" You know, so bringing that like mentality and thinking here to CPD is the goal of this course to help with professionalism.

Some highlights of the course, they talk about tactical versus strategic thinking. Tactical thinking is in the moment, right now. There's an intense situation and you got to make a decision. Strategic thinking is saying, okay, that's important and we do have to make decisions right now, but we also have to understand the environmental intelligence and what is that decision that we're making right now, how's that going to impact the future.

It's giving officers kind of like understanding of, now there's constitutional minimums, but there's also professional standards, and then it's really going into the impact that our actions have on the community. And once this class is created, teaching philosophy, that goes into tabletop exercises where officers have to go through scenarios that really brings to life the ideas that they're teaching here.

Any questions on this one?

THE COURT: No. Thanks. I'm following along.

COMMANDER CRUZ: And our last course for in-person class for 2025 is our Impartial and Community Policing. The theme of respect, how it applies here is, it's respect for our vulnerable communities. Police officers are public servants. That's what we do. That's what we're here for. But we got to give specific and special attention to our vulnerable communities. So what we're doing now is teaching officers a little bit about these communities.

So we have a great opening, again, we have a great team at the training and support group here. And what they did to open the module or this class is they got together, a lot of body-worn camera footage, like the LEMART stuff and some other really cool lifesaving measures that officers did for the community, and they strung together all this body-worn camera footage of some really special spectacular things that officers did for the community, and then our Superintendent Snelling gave a really good motivational speech, and we combined the two so it lets officers know their "Why." Why are we here? For the community. Why is this class important? And we're not just saying that. We're actually showing officers what they've been doing. So that's being created. The video was awesome. And I think it's a really good opening for the class.

Once the class opens, every section that we teach, it's going to have at the end of the class either a problem-solving exercise or some kind of class exercise that will bring the concept into context for the officers.

So what we're trying to do here at the training academy is in addition to our sworn members, we are hiring civilians also. So for this class, our civilians that we hired have backgrounds. For example, we have one civilian that used to work for the mayor's office for people with disabilities. We have one civilian, she is a certified dementia practitioner. And then we have one civilian, she's -- has a degree in psychology and she specializes in children with autism. So they actually wrote the curriculum for people with disabilities. And we connected with Access Living and the Chicago Hearing Society. They're both local groups that help people with disabilities. And they went through and went over our curriculum and gave us the thumbs up and gave us some feedback on that.

In addition to that, we have our LGBTQ and TIGN community. And we work with the center on Halsted. They actually created the curriculum for that. And then once the officers understand and learn about these communities, then they get those exercises at the end.

And there's also -- the Chicago Police Department is part of ABLE. What ABLE is is the Active Bystandership for Law

Enforcement. That is our duty to intervene training. It's brought to us by Georgetown University. We got into the program a couple years ago. In order to be in the program, agencies have to teach their entire agency top-down and bottom-up in this training, eight hours of it, and then to stay in the program, you have to have every year two hours of refresher training. So this would be some of that subsequent training where we give them the two hours.

So the ABLE training, for example, last year during the DNC -- it teaches officers to stop something before it's a problem. So for example, during the DNC, we had officers that were on line, and we were teaching all of our members that if you see an officer getting agitated or see him gripping his baton maybe a little too tight, intervene, stop, pull him off the line. So that's the kind of training that that is, additional two hours of that.

And then we also have our CPR refresher added to this course too.

Any questions on our Impartial and Community Policing?
THE COURT: Not right now.

COMMANDER CRUZ: So here, that's the end of our in-person 32 hours for all of our members. For the Consent Decree, we do have an entire day of training, eight hours, that's required for all of our supervisors. And for this year's training, we thought that the DNC worked so well and our

leadership team and CPD did so well that we wanted to pull some of the themes that worked, the reason why we were successful and make this into a class. And we wanted to figure out, how do these leadership themes and the success of the DNC, how would that apply to district police work.

So when we were going through the class -- you see these bubbles here. One of the major successes per the DNC was training. It was being able to come together to get some subject matter experts in the room to do a lot of research to see like the 2020 civil unrest after action report nationally saying how law enforcement did well and what we could have done better. And specifically we were reading the IMT and the OIG's report of the 2020 Chicago civil unrest as part of our literature review for that training.

The other part was professionalism, that, you know, we have to make sure that we are investing in our officers, we're making them good by training them. And we're also expecting them to look professional, because when they're in the public's eye and they're training properly and they look professional, that goes a long way. So professionalism was one of the major components that we thought helped us out during the DNC.

And leadership, that was very important. And front-line, boots-on-the-ground, lead-by-example leadership, I think we saw and we saw the news clips where we had the superintendent, all the chiefs, everybody was on scene,

shoulder to shoulder, with our rank in file, and I think that had a lot to do with it.

Also experience, we look for people that were part of the 2012 NATO event. We looked for people that were part of the 2020 civil unrest. We went across the country to the Center of Domestic Preparedness to get best practices. We reached out to different agencies that hosted the DNC to get their feedback.

And then another huge part of this was community and business engagement, to be able to go out in the public and have seminars and meet with the business leaders, meet with protest groups, meet with the IMT, the OIG, listen to their concerns, understand where they're coming from, and then provide an appropriate response and communicate with them all the time to make sure that our response was appropriate. And I think that was very successful.

And you see the top right bubble. I think all of our leadership had wellness, on the top of our list, just as important as mission accomplishment, was making sure that our officers were taken care of. I didn't hear one exempt member talk about the DNC without mentioning officer wellness. So that was some of the keys of success for the DNC that we pulled out and then we wanted to grab some leadership themes that applied there.

So some of the leadership frameworks that we pulled

out of the success was servant leadership like we talked about before, is that boots-on-the-ground leader who is looking out for the wellbeing of their subordinates, serving by example, making sure that their subordinates are good so that they're good for others. So there's a lot of servant leadership in there.

And then the other leadership theme that we pulled out of this is humble leadership. It's a contemporary new leadership model that's growing out of servant leadership. And with humble leadership, it has three major ideas with humble leadership. The first one is the humble leader understands that uncertainty exists and they allow their subordinates to feel comfortable with uncertainty. So when a humble leader understands uncertainty exists, the leadership fallacy that only one person can be in charge, he only -- he or she makes the decision and everybody else waits, that fallacy goes out the window.

And the humble leader knows that they have to constantly learn every day and that makes the humble leader understand the talents of their subordinates. They're open to feedback. They know that what we learn and plan for today, tomorrow, we have to be prepared for something that doesn't cover that and ethically respond to that. So that's one of the major things with humble leadership is uncertainty.

The second building block of humble leadership is

understanding your subordinates' talents, which we talked about. That gets everybody working together to lead by example.

And then lastly with humble leadership, one of the foundational principles is teachability. The humble leader is teachable. When they're always learning and they're always trying to prepare for what they may encounter, they do that by example, and they expect their subordinates to also be teachable and to listen and to not know -- to know they don't know everything and to be open to feedback. So those are the three major principles of humble leadership, and we thought that really applied during the DNC and now we're going to put this into this year's training.

There's also a book that came out last year, called The Unseen Leader. Came out in 2023 and highlights some of these concepts. One of the major concepts is environmental intelligence. Kind of like what we talked about in the Constitutional Policing Foundations course. Environmental intelligence is super important. It's being able to talk to the community, talk to your officers, understand what the situation is, and understand where the environment is, so if you come across an uncertain situation, you can make a decision that's going to impact the future positively.

And then lastly, a lot of philosophy and stories,

Covey's 7 Habits of Highly Effective People, gives officers a

framework on how to do some of these things.

Any questions on the leadership frameworks?

THE COURT: No thanks. Go ahead.

COMMANDER CRUZ: Okay. So then now that we have the class being built, now we have from the DNC to the district. How do we bring that to the officers so they can apply this at the district level? So what we did here is we have so much video of the superintendents doing press conferences and live feeds from the DNC so we're using that. But every chief came in and they talked about leadership and they talk about humble leadership and they talked about the success of the DNC. So that really gives officers a great opening to let them know that the chiefs aren't just saying "Do this." They've done it. And they're attaching it to the DNC story. And now we're saying we've done this at the chief level. Here's how you can do it at the district level.

And what we're highlighting is emerging trends at the district level and TRED, first review unit, we're looking for officers maybe making mistakes, where officers need some more help, and we're looking at complaints via our BIA, Bureau of Investigations and our COPA, looking where our officers are getting in trouble. And we're saying, just like the DNC, here's the issue, now start doing all that work to make sure we're stopping things before they're a problem and understanding humble leadership and those leadership themes.

The research says that that's going to promote constant improvement every day. If you know today tomorrow may be uncertain, you're way open to look at the environment and bring that feedback loop back and say, hey, listen, this happened yesterday, we're going to have to change this. And you're constantly improving every day.

And then the converse, if you don't do that, then as your culture is going, they come across an environment that changes, but they keep doing the same thing. And then eventually, it's going to get so bad that you got to make a major change, and that's very hard. So what this is doing, the theme of this is constantly improving every day. So yeah, that's the direction we're going for this year's leadership training.

Any questions on that?

THE COURT: No thank you.

COMMANDER CRUZ: Okay. So for our community outreach, we're always looking to grow our community input on this. So you see here, we have a couple pictures. We do have a community group that works directly under deputy chief of the academy and they're always looking to go to different community events and solicit information and try to get people to join. We do have, for our TCAC members, they do come to our academy. We do give them tours of our facility. And they're very important for us to build our curriculum, to get our needs

assessment, and so we're always looking to do things to grow that relationship. So here's just a couple of pictures of that.

And then what we're doing this year is we're going to institute what's called a Community Training Observation Day. So right now of the four classes that we talked about, two of them are finished and they've started. Officers are being trained currently. So what we are going to do is we're going to in April have a couple of tentative dates that our Community Policing Office is going to announce, and we're going to invite the community in.

And it's going to be an eight-hour day. And half of the class is going to go to the vehicle stops class and the other half is going to go to the wellness class, the CIT wellness. They're going to get a truncated version of the classroom. They're going to get instruction-led demonstration of the scenarios, and then there's going to be a Q and A. They're going to go to lunch. And when they come back from lunch, they're going to swap.

And at the end of that three to four hours, then we have a question and answer, and then we'll have -- do an after action, do feedback. And if that's well and it's working, then we're going to try to mimic that when the other two classes are done at the -- probably like in July or August, we're going to try to mimic that. So that's the goal. And the community

partners that we're going to invite are going to include our TCAC, the Coalition, CCPSA, and some community members from our CAPS office.

Any questions with this, ma'am?

THE COURT: No. Thank you.

COMMANDER CRUZ: And just in addition to all the training that we're doing, we are continuing to train our officers. Our entire department was trained in basic field force tactics and management. However, a select group of people were considered Tier 1 and they got advanced training, and we want to continue to make sure we have that in the lineup, make sure with attrition, we keep an X amount of officers trained. So the goal this year is to get a thousand more members trained, and we already have the classes scheduled, so we're going to be doing that this year also.

And then here, working with the IMT, OAG, we have, right now, I want to say, I think it's 877 paragraphs for the Consent Decree. We're in secondary compliance. Most paragraphs touch training. So having to do eight hours of 877 different paragraphs is going to be very difficult.

So what we did, researching best practices and education, understanding, integrating curricula, now we're building this five-year plan. We're not married to it. It's a starting point, where we can start to say, over the next five years, here's the template, and all those different paragraphs,

and the people that are part of them can look at the plan and say, okay, where does my paragraph -- like where's the best place we can put it, how can we integrate it?

And in addition to that, we also have our annual needs assessment where we get feedback from our -- internally from our members, from the community, from oversight agencies, and based off that needs assessment, we'll add to this. And then we also have our state law enforcement oversight mandates also. So that kind of gives us a better organization so that we can respond to everyone's needs and training.

Any questions here?

THE COURT: No thank you.

COMMANDER CRUZ: And finally, just our needs assessment. And so every year, we do a needs assessment for the next year's training. So this year, we're doing the 2025 needs assessment for 2026's training. We contracted out with University of Chicago Survey Labs and they validated our survey instrument. We do have it in four different languages, and we have 10,000 addresses, names, and phone numbers that we're going to be mailing this out to in the community. And then we actually got the phone numbers so we can text them to remind them to do that.

We also have our community engagement team that we talked about. Just this last weekend, they went to the Lunar New Year event, they went to the auto show, just trying to

solicit as much feedback as we can get. And then we also mail out to our oversight agencies, like the IMT, the OAG, we write 3 them letters requesting them to respond back with 4 correspondence to give us ideas of how we can inform our 5 training better. And our surveys close on March 1st, and, 6 ma'am, if you haven't already, here's a QR code if you'd like to take a picture of that and do the survey or anybody else that would like to do that. We have it on there.

Any questions with the needs assessment?

THE COURT: No thank you.

1

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMANDER CRUZ: All right. And that's all I have.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Are there additional questions for Commander Cruz? Thank you, sir.

Then I think maybe we should return to Ms. Pannella, who got -- was froze a little while ago, but she's back with us I believe.

MS. PANNELLA: Yes. Apologies. Thank you, Your Honor.

I don't think I got very far into my remarks, so I'll reintroduce myself. My name is Kate Pannella. I'm a senior assistant attorney general in the Civil Rights Bureau of the Illinois Attorney General's Office. And I represent the state of Illinois.

Today I will discuss my office's perspective on the

Monitor's Comprehensive Assessment. And as Ms. Hickey stated, the City and we filed a joint status report Friday afternoon, which goes into some detail regarding the CPD's Coordinated Mass Arrest policy suite as well as recent agreements that the City and our office reached on TRED's review of Level 1 uses of force. And I won't -- so I won't belabor those points today because they are addressed in detail in the written status report.

Paragraph 657 through 659 of the Consent Decree lay out the purpose of the Monitor's Comprehensive Assessment. Those paragraphs provide that the Monitor's recommendations should address whether modifications to the Consent Decree are necessary in light of changed circumstances or the unanticipated impact or lack of impact of the Consent Decree's requirements.

Recommendations should also cover areas of greatest concern as well as progress, and lay out strategies for accelerating full and effective compliance.

With that in mind, the Attorney General's Office is focused on recommendations in the Monitor's Comprehensive Assessment that address changed circumstances, including evolving best practices, recommendations that have the potential to accelerate the City's compliance with the Consent Decree, and recommendations that otherwise achieve core objectives of the Decree.

Our priority is to move forward on big picture additions or changes that are central to the lives of Chicago residents, such as traffic stops as opposed to technical changes to the wording of the Decree, which have little potential to move the needle in terms of either the City's compliance with Consent Decree requirements or Chicagoans' daily experience with the Chicago Police Department.

Similarly, our office is reluctant to rearrange or renumber the paragraphs in the Consent Decree. Six years into enforcement of the Decree, many individuals, including police department personnel working on reform, counsel for the City and the attorney general, members of the Monitoring Team, and Coalition members and lawyers are fluent in certain key paragraph numbers. Many of the Decree's requirements at this point are synonymous with the numbers of the paragraphs in which those requirements appear. Simply put, the Decree is not perfect, but tinkering with it on the margin serves little purpose.

To that end, our office is prioritizing proposals from the Monitor and the Coalition that we believe are likely to have the greatest impact on ongoing reform. Specifically our office's priorities include updating the Decree to reflect evolving best practices in policing, such as alternative responses other than police for individuals experiencing mental health crises, specific Consent Decree requirements related to

traffic stops, community engagement including more formalized processes for CPD engagement with the Coalition, more accessible semiannual reports from the Monitor, and a streamlined policy training and plan review process.

We are mindful, however, that by their nature, bigger, more substantive changes to the Consent Decree will be more challenging for the parties to come to agreement on. So the parties have met regularly at least 13 times over the course of the last year with the express purpose of discussing the Monitor's proposals under the Comprehensive Assessment.

In the case of some topics, those discussions have been high level and theoretical. In others, draft language has been exchanged between the parties. To date, however, no concrete or final agreements or disagreements have been reached.

We are also mindful that not every desired outcome or change requires revision to the actual text of the Consent Decree, which Ms. Hickey alluded to earlier. Many changes to improve the reform process, increase cooperation and collaboration between the parties on the Monitoring Team, and increase efficiency and transparency have already occurred or begun without modification to the Decree.

These public status hearings are themselves an example of that. The Consent Decree does not contemplate any particular frequency for court hearings, but several years into

the decree's enforcement, our office desired a means for greater public transparency and increased participation by the Court. With the City's, the Monitor's, and the Court's agreement, monthly public hearings have been occurring for over a year now without any change to the language of the Consent Decree.

Similarly, the Monitor's reports have improved in readability and accessibility over the last few monitoring periods, without any change to the language of the Decree. This is something for the parties to bear in mind as we continue to meet.

A final consideration that the Attorney General's Office is keeping in mind during this process is the urgency of needed reform. The people of Chicago have been waiting for change for many years. Our office continually seeks to balance the aspiration for reforms to be as comprehensive as possible with a need for those reforms to be timely.

Likewise, as we contemplate possible modifications to the Decree under the Comprehensive Assessment, we must consider the effect of keeping many additional requirements into an already voluminous document. To paraphrase a familiar adage, we cannot let perfection be the enemy of a constitutional, lawful, and accountable police department.

In conclusion, the Attorney General's Office will continue to meet regularly with the City and the Monitoring

Team to come to agreement or final agreement regarding proposed changes under the Comprehensive Assessment, and we will continue to update the Court and the public on the process during our monthly status hearings. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Pannella.

Are there questions for Ms. Pannella? All right.

Then I think we are ready to hear from Coalition members, if they'd like to be heard. Are there members of the Coalition who are here and would like to make a statement?

MR. SEPULVEDA: Your Honor, I'm just promoting them now to become panelists.

THE COURT: Great. Thank you.

MR. FUTTERMAN: Thank you. And good afternoon, Your Honor, Monitor Hickey, counsel, CPD leaders, and members of the community. My name is Craig Futterman and I'm one of the attorneys that represents the Community Coalition.

Our work together on the Consent Decree remains as a critical inflection point. It's more important than ever that we seize this moment and work together to make the most of the opportunity to end the police department's pattern and practices of civil rights violations, the violations that led to the entry of this decree, because people still are not seeing or feeling the positive changes that we all want to see and need to see on the ground.

As everybody here knows from our public hearings in

court, the IMT's, the Monitor's community surveys, to our collective work on the ground, Chicagoans and especially young black men continue to experience negative, abusive, and unequal interactions with Chicago Police, including disparate rates of police violence, stops, and just feeling harassed.

In 2025, black people still continue to report being treated as less than human beings by the people who are sworn to protect them.

In the Monitor's most recent survey that

Monitor Hickey just shared, young black men still report being subjected to CPD violence at 40 times the rate of white people. Similarly, young black men were 20 times more likely to have a gun pointed at them in the last year by Chicago police than whites.

36 percent of young black men interviewed reported being stopped in a car within the last year. 28 percent more reported CPD stopping them while walking or standing in a public area. And in contrast, only 3 percent of white people surveyed by the Monitor reported being stopped standing or walking in a public area.

The Decree more than ever needs to force the department to confront the reality of ongoing racial discrimination. So we urge the Court and the parties to adopt the Coalition's recommendations about the things that most need to change to end those violations, recommendations that come

from people with knowledge, people with lived experience, people who have been most affected by these civil rights violations. We appreciate, we truly do appreciate Commander Cruz's presentation today on training. However, the presentation didn't address CPD's responses to the Coalition's recommendations for needed change.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If we want to see changes on the ground, we need to measure, assess, and hold the police department accountable for the things that brought us here in the first place, not just changes on paper. We all acknowledge we need to see these changes on the ground. That means, has the police department meaningfully reduced the number and severity of violence against people, particularly black people? Is the City holding officers accountable when they abuse their power to hurt people? Has the police department meaningfully reduced the number of instances of misconduct? Has it eliminated or at least substantially reduced instances of discriminatory policing? Has the department remedied the disparities in who's being stopped, who's being searched, and subject to violence at the hands of police? Outcome metrics have to be -- that's one of the things that's just dramatically missing in the decree, they need to be incorporated.

If we hope to actually reduce unnecessary police violence here, we not only need to strengthen the deescalation requirements in the Decree, and I appreciated the comments on

training there, but the Decree needs to require actual reduction of the unnecessary negative encounters that led to this violence. That means things like adding provisions that build on the successful pilot program in the Department of Public Health, but to bring that into the Decree, to divert people from arrests (audio interruption) offenses.

As other departments have done in similar consent decrees, it means requiring the police department to take the least intrusive response under the circumstances when it comes to quality of life offenses. It means tracking data to assess police responses to these low-level and quality of life offenses. It means strengthening -- and I appreciate some of the conversations on this with respect to training, but it means strengthening the crisis intervention provisions in the Decree to require and to do that training of officers, to work to resolve encounters with people in crisis without the need for citation, arrest, much less violence.

And it means things like prohibiting pretextual stops, the practice of using traffic and street stops for minor or made-up offenses as a strategy to address violence. As the superintendent has recognized, it hasn't been effective. Far less than 1 percent yield any contraband whatsoever, and it's caused real, real severe harm to people. And the alienation that's resulted has made the police department itself less effective when it comes to investigating violent

crime.

The Decree needs to outlaw this implacable practice. It means restricting traffic stops for low-level or regulatory offenses that aren't about public safety, drivers who aren't posing any active danger to other people. As our clients experience, as well as research have shown, time and time again, these are the sites that police violence occurs and escalates that low-level stop for that ticky-tack violation that leads to dramatic force, shootings, even death, putting both community members and police officers in danger.

I'm going to wrap up, as we long stressed, something officers needs to radically change when it comes to police practices and policies about drawing and pointing guns at people, including young children. And that involves training there because it involves unlearning ingrained habits that have traumatized thousands of families and that lead to even greater violence.

The Decree really needs to be modified to conform to best practice here to prohibit gun-pointing, pointing guns at people except when they pose an immediate threat of death or serious harm to another person, treating gun-pointing as the serious force that it is.

And finally, the last and most important point I'll raise now involves the Coalition. And I appreciate some of the comments on this, both from the Attorney General and from

Commander Cruz today, to open the doors to the people with critical knowledge and experience when developing police policy on the front end. It won't just make the decree more efficient, and it will, but as our collective experience has already proven and taught us, including the Coalition, community representatives will lead to better policies that save lives, that prevent harm.

And in that vein, we appreciate the CPD's commitment stated today to invite the Coalition and other community members to attend to get feedback on police training. It's an important first step, and I'd say it's even more important that this isn't separate from the actual training, but actually allowing the Coalition and other community members in to actually see live training and interactions with the police, the simulations themselves.

It's not too late, Your Honor and everyone, we can still make this historic Decree something that all of us, all of the people of Chicago can be proud of, something that can serve as a model, not just here but for other jurisdictions. We can bring an end to the police department's pattern and practice of civil rights violations if we do it together. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Futterman.

Are there questions for Mr. Futterman on behalf of the Coalition?

I did want to raise with the Coalition the concern that I had from our last hearing, where I learned -- was disappointed to learn that the Coalition had not been included in this community outreach effort. And really encourage the City -- I understand the City has in fact invited the Coalition to be part of that process now.

Mr. Futterman, has your group made a determination whether you're going to accept that invitation? I'm asking because I know an initial meeting is set for next week.

MR. FUTTERMAN: I expect that we will. This is something that we need to talk with all of our clients about. But I appreciate the invitation and we appreciate the invitation and expect that we certainly will. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Great. Good. It's good to hear. And I understand that first meeting has been scheduled for Tuesday the 18th at 5. Just so you're aware.

I do -- I recognize the Coalition's unique and comprehensive contacts with the public and its ability to speak for many groups within the city, and I hope that you'll be actively involved because I have a lot of respect for the work that you do, the views that you've expressed, and your obvious commitment to carrying this process out.

MS. GARCIA: Your Honor, if I may.

THE COURT: Sure.

MS. GARCIA: Just a few words on that. And to follow up on what my colleague Craig has said -- this is Michelle Garcia on behalf of the ACLU, and I'm one of the attorneys for the Coalition as well.

We really appreciate you setting the status conference to address the timeline and the framework for the changes to the Consent Decree. And we were pleased that you directed the parties to file that joint status report about what sort of changes they were going to make in responding to the Coalition's recommendations, including the ones that my colleague Craig Futterman highlighted about putting the Coalition's role front and center in part of this community process and part of the Consent Decree.

What we were frustrated with, the status report, because it didn't include any substantive responses to the six main recommendations that we made. And likewise, today's hearing, the City didn't even address it at all. The Attorney General's Office did identify some changes, sort of broad topics that they've been discussing for over a year, including the Coalition's role in the Consent Decree. But we have not been invited to be a part of that discussion.

And the Coalition deserves a substantive response to the parties explaining why they are accepting or rejecting our requested changes to the Consent Decree. We deserve to be at the table to discuss the Coalition's role because our clients gave up their lawsuits to be a part of this process, to be a part of the Consent Decree. And the monitor recommended that the Coalition's role be revised to promote efficiency and policy review process.

We would need to agree with the parties about any changes about our role in particular to comply with paragraph 709 of the decree. This was a bargained-for exchange, and we would just urge the parties to include us in those conversations among the other conversations, because we cannot change our role without agreement.

I'd like to just touch on a few things that were in the report as well, Your Honor. You know, one thing that they did say in the report is they talked about their decision to have a moratorium on the review of TRED 1 -- excuse me, on the review of Level 1 use of force reports. Now these are the lowest level, but they are where officers are applying force. Right? And the idea behind this is that when these reports are done, the supervisors are supposed to review them and use them as a teachable moment, you know, to talk to the officer and to get to them to change their behavior or to evaluate it.

And what was disconcerting in the filing is that the parties have agreed to a moratorium of six months. Now this is effectively a change in the Consent Decree, paragraphs 696 and 697 of the Consent Decree. And the bottom line is, Your Honor, the parties cannot just agree to a change in the Consent Decree

without the consent of the Court.

You know, such changes to the Consent Decree cannot be decided by the parties, especially if the goal of the decree is to build trust with the communities that CPD serves. So if we're trying to change the culture and move officers away from using force against Chicagoans to use to get deescalation, to ensure nonviolent tactics, stopping the review in our perspective just doesn't make sense.

The last thing I'd like to bring up, Your Honor, is the notice of intent for enforcement that the Coalition has filed. This is a separate topic, but we did file a notice of intended enforcement action to the City on January 24th because the final drafts of CPD's stop and frisk policies released in December violate the constitution and the Consent Decree.

And our notice identifies two serious problems.

First, the policies continue to allow CPD to make stops and searches and frisk people based on race, ethnicity, or other protected characteristic restrictions. This violates the equal protection clause of our US Constitution, the Illinois Civil Rights Act, and paragraphs 55 to 56 of the Consent Decree.

And second, Your Honor, policies allow CPD to stop and search people and vehicles based on the odor of raw cannabis.

But CPD agreed to paragraph 806 of the investigatory stop amendment to the Consent Decree that it would not stop or search people based on the odor of cannabis, whether it was raw

or burnt. So the policies directly violate this provision of the Consent Decree that the parties agreed to.

We plan to meet with the parties and Monitor about this notice in early March. But if we cannot resolve these issues, the Coalition will file an enforcement motion at the end of April after the 90-day workout period specified in the Consent Decree.

Thank you, Your Honor, for allowing us this extra time to share these concerns with you. And we're happy to address any questions that you may have.

THE COURT: Thank you. I did see your notice of course, and I understand that a motion may follow after the 90-day period. I'm of course always hopeful that you're able to make some progress during the 90-day period and that a motion won't be necessary. But if it is, that's -- it's the Court's responsibility to rule on those things.

Any other comments for the Coalition?

MS. GARCIA: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Then I think we're ready to make any -- hear any final observations from the City and from OAG and also from the Monitor. Why don't we begin with -- we can begin with the City.

MR. SLAGEL: Thank you, Your Honor. Just wanted to clarify the meeting on the 18th is meeting with the superintendent and members of the Coalition. A lawyers'

meeting is set for March 7th, just for clarity purposes.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MR. SLAGEL: Thank you. We appreciate your time today and the time of the members of the community who have listened in and heard what CPD is planning for its 2025 training, the ongoing efforts of the Monitor, the AG, and the City on reviewing and looking at things to do to improve and enhance the effectiveness of the Consent Decree.

As has been noted today, many of the things that we are doing and talking about don't require modifications of the Consent Decree, and we're trying to do those as promptly and expeditiously as possible. So thank you for your time today. I see the superintendent came on, so I suspect he may want to have a word or two beyond what I've said.

THE COURT: Yes, absolutely. Mr. Snelling, if you'd like to make a comment, you're certainly welcome to.

SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: Absolutely, Your Honor.

Just -- and I'll try to make this as short as possible. I know we're over time.

I just want to address a few things. First off, the training, you know, I just can't thank my team enough for putting the training together with the theme of respect. And everything that we do here starts with respect. That's the theme of our training moving forward.

And I really appreciate Attorney Futterman and the

feedback there. A lot of things he said in there I agree with, and I'll touch on a few of those things and how they relate to the training that we're doing right now.

So basically with our training with the theme of respect, there are a lot of underlying things within the training that address a lot of the concerns that Attorney Futterman had. You know, we don't have enough time here to talk about all of those things, but this is also why I think it's important to invite everyone who is willing to come to training to see it, those parties who are concerned.

And this is why I've always been open from the very beginning to having the Coalition and whoever else is concerned about this, all of the parties who want to see some reform and change within the department, to come out and actually see what we're doing, see what the training is all about, because that's extremely important. We take everybody's feedback seriously. And to get that feedback is a part of how we develop training around everything.

Now when we talk about someone else going out to people in crisis dealing with those situations, as a police department, if we had those resources where we could apply that, we would love to do that. A lot of that is beyond us right now. There are times where we're called, we have to go to these calls, but we're looking to train our officers in the best way possible to handle these situations. We know that we

have a CARE team out there, but we were removed from that process, not by choice, but we were removed.

Every aspect of our training, especially when it comes to dealing with the public, has a level of crisis intervention associated with it. Even though it may not be a full-on certification, the respect for those who are vulnerable, respect for people who are in crisis, our deescalation around dealing with people who are in crisis is extremely important.

And Mr. Futterman said something that is really, really important. And what he said was that we have to unlearn certain things. And he's 100 percent right. And in order for our officers to take on a new way of doing things, they have to unlearn the old way of doing things. We're moving forward in this department. That takes time. And although I would love to snap my fingers and see reform instantly, we have to know that we're dealing with human beings. And human beings don't change instantly.

It's like looking at some of our young people in communities that are very challenged and they grow up in an environment where they see violence and some have engaged in that level of violence. You know, we've seen people from those communities -- and I'm one of those people from those communities -- grow out of that into something else when they're introduced to a different way of life, a different process, different opportunities. And that's what we're

providing for our officers who are human beings under the same level of stress. We're providing different opportunities, different training, a different focus. And we're doing everything we can, because when you have 12,000 members, to get everybody trained over and over and over again repetitiously, that's how we get the process moving.

The other thing is, is to get our officers more in tune with our community members, sitting down, having conversations with our community members, having those open talks, even though they may be uncomfortable. And that's happening right here with everyone on the call, listening to the community, listening to the Coalition, listening to the OAG's office. We -- I believe that we're moving in the right direction. We're having these conversations. I believe everyone is coming to the table, presenting what they need to present for us to move forward with reform.

There are times that we go into a room and we come out with lumps, but that's great for the process. I don't think that we should all sit here and agree. That's not how we get to reform. We all have different approaches, different viewpoints, and we bring all of those viewpoints in. I don't expect that everyone is going to get every single thing that they want. We don't and I don't think anybody on the call should. But what we should all agree on is how we get to reform. How do we get to a point where people are feeling

better about what the Chicago Police Department is doing in our interaction with them?

I believe what we're doing right now, having these conversations, is the best way to get that done, having open communication. And the word "transparency" I think is overused. I like to say communication and honesty, because when we open up training and you can see exactly what we're doing, there's a communication. But also the fact that you can see exactly what we're doing, you get to see our lesson plans and the training that goes into it, there's the honesty that's associated with that communication. That's how we get to transparency. I don't like to just use that word without talking about communication and honesty, because that's truly what transparency is supposed to be.

So where we are right now, I feel good about moving forward. I feel good about our partnership with the IMT, the work that's being done with the OAG. And listen, I've had one meeting with members of the Coalition that I thought went really well. I want them to have a voice. I want them to know that I take this serious enough for them to sit down with the superintendent of police and have a conversation. I'm not too big for that. If they're taking out the time to try to get to the bottom of reform, I'm going to take out the time to have a conversation with them and make sure that they understand that their voices are being heard.

So with that said, I just really have to thank my team for the work that they're doing. They've put a lot of work into this. And once we all get together, we get to see the work product, those who are willing to come and witness the training that's going on, I think you'll see the level of seriousness around reform and getting to the bottom of the issues that Mr. Futterman was speaking of, and also Attorney Garcia.

We're taking this seriously. I take it seriously. The team takes it seriously. And, again, when we look back at the DNC, the level of training that went into that, the level of leadership that went into that, and the level of accountability -- and that's another thing that I'd like to just mention real quick, Mr. Futterman, I'm actually -- I'll be talking to a reporter on something that's happening right now. The level of SPARs, across this department, have almost doubled from last year. Now those SPARs are disciplinary actions with our officers. That's our early intervention process. We are able to recognize certain things when we -- when our leadership is intervening with our officers on a quicker basis.

And I understand Ms. Garcia's concern about the

Level 1s. But one of the things that we see with Level 1s,

you'll see a Level 1 that's just a documentation of when force

was used against an officer. It's not necessarily that an

officer used force. A Level 1 is also documented to capture

when a person is resisting arrest, even if the officer does not use force. So what that tells us is, our officers are actually being more transparent by making sure that they complete these tactical response reports.

However, what I'm more concerned about are the more egregious uses of force by our officers, and we want to make sure that we get to the bottom of those issues as quickly as possible and address those issues so that we don't have anything that spills over into anything greater or we see a pattern of practice.

So those are very important issues. To me, it's very important to our department, and we are moving forward. And when we get the opportunity to be even more transparent and put some of our successes up on a dance board where everyone can see everything that we're doing and everything that's going on, I think people will get to see this reform.

Lastly, I think it's important to talk to our communities, that when we do see reform, when we do see successes, when we do see an increase in how our officers are positively interacting with the community, that we need to talk about those things. We really need to highlight that. Because if we continue to allow people to believe that there's no change happening, it also affects their judgment.

Now those who are impacted personally, those who have the lived experience, we will never downplay the lived

experience of what someone has gone through with law enforcement. And those are the things that we're going to continue to address. And when we see things that our officers are doing that we know need correcting, we're going to make sure that we correct that at the highest level and will continue to do so.

Lastly, I just want to thank everybody on the call, members of the community who are listening in, the Coalition, you know, the AG's office, and everyone from my team, the IMT, Maggie Hickey, we -- I just believe that we're moving forward and I feel positive about the direction that we're going in.

Again, I don't expect us not to have any more bumps in the road or a little back-and-forth or even arguments. But I think those are great for reform and moving forward and developing a much greater department than we've seen in the past.

So that's my comments, Judge, and thank you, and thank you to everyone on the call.

THE COURT: Thank you, Superintendent Snelling. And I want to thank you for your involvement in the hearings, in these hearings this afternoon, and your continued commitment to this process as well. I think our ongoing communication is going to be critical for making further forward progress.

Are there other comments?

MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, I just have a few briefly.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. HICKEY: I want to thank everyone, I want to thank you, and just say that I look forward to working with the parties, the Coalition, and the Chicago communities to continue this important work. And our next hearing will actually be a time we take comments from the community. We're trying something a little different. While it will be virtual, we're going to do the time from 5 to 7, trying to accommodate those members of the community that cannot get off work. So it will be virtual, and it will be from 5 to 7 on March 11th. We do have that date up on our website. And so I wanted to make the community aware.

I also wanted to ask Superintendent Snelling if he would elaborate, and I was trying to look fast, but not everyone that we're talking to actually knows what a SPAR stands for. I think it's Summary Punishment Action Request, but I'm not -- I'm trying to type furiously fast enough to double-check that I'm correct. So if you would just say, you know, a little more detail, we all -- I learned so many acronyms in the last five years, and I speak in them, and then even I don't remember what they are, but I realize many people on this -- attending this hearing today might not know exactly what a SPAR is or what it stands for. If you would just elaborate, Superintendent.

SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: You're 100 percent correct

with your definition of the acronym.

MS. HICKEY: Oh, okay. Good.

THE COURT: Say it again. Can you repeat that again, the acronym, what it stands for?

SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: Sure.

MS. HICKEY: Summary Punishment Action Request.

SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Didn't mean to interrupt. Go ahead, Superintendent Snelling.

SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: Okay. So when we are talking about summary punishment, this is early intervention; right? So we see an infraction on the part of an officer, so the immediate supervisor immediately gets involved and takes action quickly, because one of the things that I've known in my 33 years is that small things that occur, small infractions, can eventually lead to larger infractions. What goes on if those smaller infractions are not taken care of at that lowest level?

And this is one of the concerns that I heard coming from Attorney Garcia, and she's right, we do need that early intervention. We do need to see that when officers are doing something that although it may seem small now, we need to take corrective action so that behavior doesn't grow into something else.

The other thing that we're finding is that with that

early -- those -- that early interaction, officers may need training. And part of that summary punishment is not only just maybe a reprimand, maybe a day or two suspension, but it also involves more training. We may look and see that an officer's just deficient in whatever the infraction was, so we'll send that officer to training.

If the officer is in crisis, we will make sure that that officer gets some level of help; right? EAP, this is when officer wellness comes into play. So this early interaction really helps our officers, it helps the department. And once we help our officers and the department, we overall help the community, because now we have a more well-trained, better equipped, more well of mind and mentally and physically well officer that's going out to the community, less likely to violate someone's rights, less likely to go out and harm someone in a way that is going to continue to destroy the relationship between the community and the police.

So, again, this does take time, but from the top down, there's a level of accountability for command staff all the way down to our lowest-level supervisor, which would be a sergeant. And so it's not just holding the police officers on the ground accountable. What officers are out there doing oftentimes, especially when they're forcing laws, a lot of time has to do with the mission that they've been given. And we want to make sure that whatever mission we're giving those officers, and

that mission should be to work with the community in a way where the community are proud and comfortable working with our officers, they're feeling a level of support from our department and that level of respect, because that is the most important thing. No matter what happens, human beings want They want to be respected. And that feeling of disrespect destroys relationships, and it destroys reputations of industries who are supposed to be interacting with people in a positive way.

So this early intervention is just one step, and a major step, I believe, to keeping our officers on track so that we don't continue to go down the path that we have in the past.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Any other questions for Superintendent Snelling or any of the other speakers here?

Okay. Can I ask, does the Monitoring Team want to make any final comments?

MS. HICKEY: That was my final remarks, Your Honor, just with the March hearing.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. HICKEY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Then I'll see all of you in March. I'm expecting that we're going to be moving forward on some -- on several of the outstanding issues at that time, and I'm looking forward to it.

| 1 | I want to thank everybody for being with us this |
|----|---|
| 2 | afternoon. Looking forward to seeing you all again in March. |
| 3 | MS. HICKEY: Thank you, Your Honor. |
| 4 | THE COURT: Thank you. |
| 5 | SUPERINTENDENT SNELLING: Thank you, Judge. |
| 6 | (Concluded at 2:34 p.m.) |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 |
| 10 | COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE |
| 11 | I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. |
| 12 | Them the reserve or presserings in the above entered matter. |
| 13 | Dated this 14th day of March, 2025 |
| 14 | /s/ Hannah Jagler |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Hannah Jagler, RMR, CRR, FCRR Official Court Reporter |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| | d . |