1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS		
2	EASTERN DIVISION		
3	STATE OF ILLINOIS,) Case No. 17 C 6260		
4	Plaintiff, (
5	v.)		
6	CITY OF CHICAGO,) Chicago, Illinois		
7) January 14, 2025 Defendant.) 1:05 p.m.		
8	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE REBECCA R. PALLMEYER		
9			
10	APPEARANCES:		
11	TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LLP BY: ALLAN T. SLAGEL		
12	111 East Wacker, Suite 2600 Chicago, Illinois 60601		
13	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL		
14	BY: MARY J. GRIEB HANNAH YEON MEE JUROWICZ		
15	100 West Randolph Street, 11th Floor Chicago, Illinois 606061		
16	ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC.		
17	BY: ALEXANDRA KAY BLOCK 150 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 600		
18	Chicago, Illinois 60601		
19	NORTHWESTERN PRITZKER SCHOOL OF LAW BY: SHEILA A. BEDI		
20	375 E. Chicago Avenue		
21	Chicago, Illinois 60611		
22	Independent Monitor: ARENTFOX SCHIFF LLP BY: MARGARET A. HICKEY		
23	ANTHONY-RAY SEPULVEDA		
24	233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 7100 Chicago, IL 60606		
25			

1	Also Present:	Retired Chief Alfred Durham Associate Monitor	
2		Chief Angel Novalez, CPD	
3 4		Anna Katter Civic Consulting Alliance	
5		Mike Milstein	
6		Deputy Director, CPD	
7		Allyson Clark Henson Deputy Director, CPD	
8		Richard Brady, President Matrix Consulting Group	
9		Ian Brady, Senior Vice President	
10		Matrix Consulting Group	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21	Court Reporter:	HANNAH JAGLER, RMR, CRR, FCRR Official Court Reporter	
22		219 S. Dearborn Street, Room 2504 Chicago, Illinois 60604	
23			
24		* * * * *	
25	PROCEEDINGS REPORTED BY STENOTYPE; TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION		

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

2 (Proceedings commenced via videoconference at 1:05 p.m.)

THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, everyone. Thanks for joining us.

We have a very full agenda for the afternoon, so I'm not going to say much myself right now, apart from the monitor tells me this is one of the last days it's okay to say Happy New Year. So that's what my wish for all of us is a Happy New Year and a very productive one. We still have a lot of work ahead of us on the decree and I want much of that work to be accomplished and I'd like to see a lot of progress this year. I'm feeling good about the possibilities.

So I'll hear first from the monitor and from the associate monitor, Mr. Durham. But, Ms. Hickey, if you're ready to begin.

MS. HICKEY: Thank you, Your Honor. And thank you for convening us here today for our monthly status hearing.

We begin this new year with our continued commitment to moving reform forward in the City of Chicago. And we continue to urge the City and the CPD to understand the sense of urgency for reform that our communities feel.

Today we will hear status updates regarding the City and CPD's efforts around community policing and workforce allocation. These efforts are vitally important to successful reform across all sections of the consent decree. Because

there's a lot to go through today, I too will be exceptionally brief. I want to make a few comments, however, on the importance of the Workforce Allocation Study.

How the CPD chooses to allocate its resources and workforce truly matters. It can facilitate effective policing and reform efforts or hinder them. The Workforce Allocation Study should provide guidance and insights to the City and the CPD about how to distribute their personnel resources for maximum effect. And the City will provide some details today on their progress towards facilitating the Workforce Allocation Study.

In our reports, we have consistently expressed concerns about key units being underresourced. And we hope that the results of this study will help the City and CPD realign its resources to meet the requirements of the consent decree and to meet the needs of Chicago's communities.

Now I'd like to introduce Retired Chief Alfred Durham, who serves as an associate monitor for Supervision -- for the Supervision section of the consent decree.

I'll turn it over to you now, Chief. You have to take yourself off mute, Chief.

MR. DURHAM: Thanks for that instruction. Good afternoon. And thanks, Maggie.

I am retired chief of the Richmond, Virginia, police department, Alfred Durham. And I am the associate monitor for

the consent decree section on supervision. The two topics the City and the CPD will address today are crucial to their success and the Supervision section of the consent decree.

Paragraph 341 of the consent decree states effective supervisors who lead by example and actively engage with the subordinates under their direct command play a critical role in ensuring lawful, safe, effective, and community-centered policing. Some CPD supervisors have expressed staffing shortages and heavy workloads prevent them from managing all of their duties, including adherence to administrative functions that can affect the overall efficiency and effectiveness of the CPD.

Supervisors are responsible for responding to priority calls for service, to take control of a scene, and provide guidance to officers, but high volume of calls for service and low staffing prevent supervisors from responding to a scene.

Another impact of not having adequate number of supervisors is the inability to mentor, counsel, or conduct timely performance evaluations. These require a significant amount of time, but the shortages of sergeants limits their ability to fulfill important responsibilities that are essential for officer growth and CPD effectiveness.

Supervisors must not only be effective in overseeing officers, which requires not supervising too many officers at one time, but also must reenforce the importance of officers

engaging in community policing, truly solving problems on individual blocks and in communities.

The Workforce Allocation Study the CPD and the City are undertaking will shed light on many of these staffing and resource challenges and decisions that affect their ability to come into compliance with the requirements across the consent decree, including crisis intervention, support for CPD officers and personnel, and overall field operations.

The IMT is hopeful that the results of the Workforce
Allocation Study and its long-term implementation strategies by
the CPD will address the concerns shared with the Court today.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak today, and I look forward to today's presentation.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Durham, and thanks for your attention on this.

We're ready then to hear from the City about workforce allocation. Mr. Slagel, who is speaking on your behalf for the City?

MR. SLAGEL: Two presentations, and the first presentation will begin with Chief Angel Novalez. So Chief, turn it over to you.

MR. NOVALEZ: Thank you very much, Allan.

Your Honor, thank you very much for the opportunity to be here, and I want to briefly thank the IMT and the OAG, all the collaborative work that's been done. And I also want to

thank anybody else that's on this meeting for taking the time out to listen to what the Chicago Police Department is trying to put forward to make our city a much better city and provide better police service.

Your Honor, we're going to be speaking on two topics and I'm going to touch on community policing and our efforts in community policing. Once again, my name is Chief Angel Novalez. I was formerly the deputy chief of the Office of Community Policing, and prior to that, I was the commander of the Office of Community Policing responsible for our community policing efforts citywide.

Next slide, please, Casey.

So, Your Honor, in this next presentation, what I wanted to do is go take a step back a little bit so you and all the listeners here have an understanding of why we are at the juncture that we are at today. And to do so, I want to provide a little historical account of where we've been.

Also with this agenda, I wanted to ensure that we were able to provide project background and goals, that we wanted to talk about the project approach and who are the involved stakeholders. I also wanted to have the group talk a little bit about community engagement and what our next steps are going to be. Also we will be introducing our partner in this endeavor.

Next slide, please, Casey.

All right. Your Honor, so taking a step back, just to get a historical context of where we're at, why we're at this juncture. So the Chicago Police Department has always been a pioneer in community policing and I'm sure that everybody on this call is familiar with CAPS, Community Alternative Policing Strategy, which was devolved and ruled out between the years of 1993 and 1995. The effort there was to bring communities and police together in community-building efforts. It was also to find and create opportunities by applying the crime triangle to solve problems.

The ultimate goal here was to create trust and bring legitimacy to our department of what is now known as police legitimacy. This approach created 25 offices at the time, 25 currently. In every district, we trained personnel that would carry out these responsibilities, these functions, so they can achieve those goals. Currently each of those 22 offices has one supervisor and six to seven liaison officers that address the needs of the district as a whole, and that's going to be important as we move forward.

Some of the highlights on this project, you know, in the years of -- year 2020, the community policing efforts were able to put on 14,000 community engagements or events where police had the opportunity to engage with members of the community, make those introductions to start that relationship.

Approximately about 4,000 of those were youth

engagements, which we know is a very important part of our city, is the youth and the direction the youth are going and the importance of the relationship between the youth and the police.

So back in 2019, CPD wanted -- because it had been so many years since the introduction of community policing, was we wanted to find ways on how we create premiums or innovate in the sphere of community policing. As part of that, we looked internally and looked for areas where we can innovate, where we can fill gaps. And what we found was that we needed to strengthen our problem-solving efforts. We wanted to, once again, evolve the problem-solving model, and that evolution had to do with garnering community participation in problem solving to return to them a sense of ownership of the geography they were living in.

To get this off the ground, we worked with the Policing Project out of NYU and we introduced a complementary initiative called Neighborhood Policing Initiative which revolved around two roles, which were the district coordination officers and the community ambassador.

All right. So this was once again a further commitment of personnel, but one of the premiums that we wanted to do was as the CAPS offices were addressing the needs of the City -- of a district as a whole, we wanted to shrink that geography assigned to officers so that those officers can get

to know the norms, the people in those communities, get to meet them where they're at in areas where they feel comfortable, such as their porches, their homes, their blocks, to address problem-solving issues.

With that being said, as we look at the IMR reports subsequently after IMR-4, we noticed that it created a level of confusion. It was highlighted by Associate Monitor Rickman where he felt there was two competing initiatives in community policing. So taking the feedback given to us by the independent monitor, we realize that if our monitor was having a level -- there was a level of confusion, that the public must be feeling a level of confusion.

So what we did is -- and you can move to the next slide, please -- we met with Superintendent Larry Snelling who's been incredibly -- an incredibly valuable asset to us here when it comes to the consent decree sphere. And we had discussions on what direction he wanted to take community policing.

One of the things he said that was incredibly profound was that we wanted to go beyond a function and we wanted to create a philosophy that it was the responsibility of every officer to be a community policing officer and it should not be relegated to an office in a district. So one of the things that he wanted -- one of the barriers we needed to overcome in this was that we lacked a cohesive vision and we wanted to make

sure we implemented a cohesive vision and that vision could not rely on individual programs. And also he wanted a level setting of the definition of community policing.

Your Honor, as you know, community policing may mean something to me as a sworn member, it may mean something different to a community member, so we wanted something that aligned. Right.

Part of those instructions as well, what he wanted us to do -- and you can flip to the next slide, please, Casey because I'm moving very quickly here -- is he wanted to ensure when we create a philosophy, it needed to do a few things.

Right? We wanted to ensure that we created a mindset where community policing principles guides the officers' approach and it should impact the heart set; right?

There were other instructions the superintendent gave us, but I want to talk a little bit about those specifically. When we create a cohesive vision, we wanted that cohesive vision, and what we want is that it permeates the department and moves away on overreliance on those programs. We should have programs to support the philosophy, but they cannot operate in a vacuum. Once again, level setting on that definition and the definition must be clear, it must be universal, and it must be cross-functional and it must be something that resonates with the community.

So critical part of that is ensuring that that

definition that we come up with has the input of community members and folks out -- that are impacted by it to provide some feedback when we come to that.

All right. Other instructions that the superintendent provided -- and I'm going to jump a little bit ahead and go back to the mindset, skill set, and toolset. One, part of those instructions was for us to remove redundancies to create efficiencies. Those had to ensure that they supported community needs, that they were in line with department needs, that they were keeping with the requirements of the consent decree, and that they were keeping with the goals of CCPSA, which is our community commission.

So touching on what we mean by the heart set, mindset, and skill set, right, and in creating the definition. We wanted to ensure that that definition had community input and we wanted it in terms of heart set, mindset, and skill set. We wanted to start with the heart set. We wanted a definition that goes to the why we do things. And it's important because you need to do that in order to change culture. And it is the why. Why is it an honor to take on this responsibility? Well, you know, why is it important to help people? Why is it important to care for our communities? And ultimately, why is it important to care for our cities? We needed to have that mindset.

Next we needed to ensure that we had that heart set as

well; right? That passion to do what it is that we are tasked to do and ensure that that is a key component in there because that changes behavior, that changes culture.

Lastly, we wanted to ensure that our officers had the necessary skills to understand -- and necessary skills, and what I mean by that, is having a clear understanding of the communities they serve, what assets are available in those communities, what resources and training that we can provide so they have that skill set, and how do we collaborate when it comes to problem solving.

Earlier I mentioned, Your Honor, that we wanted communities to have a sense of ownership. And what we found in NPI, and it's something we wanted to translate, is that a member of the community is awarded the opportunity to participate in problem solving to gain a sense of ownership. So that is a key component, that if we move forward in this endeavor, that we are ensuring that it is part of, because we need that community participation.

And then, Casey, if you can move to the next slide.

Your Honor, now I just want to talk a little bit about who the involved stakeholders are going to be in this project; right? For the superintendent, this was a very high priority project. He understands the importance of community support, community involvement, and community participation. So we wanted to ensure that at the highest levels, that this was

being driven by supervisory members at the highest level.

So what he did is he put this team, this steering committee team together with himself, Chief of Patrol, the Chief of the Office of Constitutional Policing and Reform, Chief of BIA, the Chief of Detectives, the Chief of Bureau of Counterterrorism, and the Chief of Staff for our department, we wanted to ensure that our managing deputy director was involved. And it is not just the police approach. We're looking at this citywide. And also Deputy Mayor Garien Gatewood would be involved with our steering committee.

The responsibilities of our steering committee, Your Honor, was ensuring that everybody involved is participating, that the steering committee is providing guidance, that this steering committee is ensuring that there's accountability at the task to achieve the goals that we set forward, and also to be part of the positive messaging when it came to this program.

Also -- and I will not steal Director Mike Milstein's thunder. He will be talking about community-based organizations that are going to help us get community involvement, so I'm going to skip over that. Not saying that's not important. It's an incredibly important part of our presentation.

But I wanted to talk a little bit about the day-to-day working team. And in facts of this work, what we have is a steering committee that's going to guide us, which I will

participate and Allyson as well, but the folks that are going to be responsible in carrying this out at the ground level, which is going to be myself; MD Allyson Clark Henson; Deputy Director Casey McKenzie; Lieutenant Cho from Bureau of Patrol; Director Brooks, which everybody knows; Mike Milstein; and anybody else we need to involve to ensure we carry this out.

So what we wanted to do is high-level steering committee to drive the vision, a day-to-day working team to drive what was actionable, what needed to be achieved, and someone to be held accountable if we were failing there. All right?

So last thing that I wanted to talk a little bit about was this, Your Honor. We partnered up with the City Consulting Alliance. And for us, that was incredibly important. This is an organization that, one, has a good command of community engagement. They have the knowledge and bandwidth to work on large projects such as this. We felt as an agency that if we had not met the mark in several IMRs, that we needed to reach out to those who can help us achieve that goal.

One of the key things that I wanted to highlight that CCA brings to the table is the folks involved with 21CP. Now, Your Honor, this is a group of very, very smart, very, very experienced folks in the law enforcement sphere. When we look at Kathleen O'Toole, former police chief in Seattle, Chuck Ramsey, who was the grandfather of our original CAPS policing

strategy, and many more that would help provide guidance, give us feedback to ensure that we're achieving the goals that we need to achieve to grow that community trust and gain that police legitimacy.

Your Honor, I know I cut that a little short. I am going to now turn it over to the Civic Consulting Alliance so they can, one, give themselves a brief introduction to the folks on the call, and then talk a little bit about what our progress is going to be here and what our approach is going to be.

MS. KATTER: Thank you, Chief Novalez. And good afternoon, Your Honor. My name is Anna Katter, and as the chief mentioned, I am from the Civic Consulting Alliance. We are a nonprofit consulting firm based here in Chicago and we provide pro bono consulting services to government agencies, including of course the Chicago Police Department.

We have been supporting CPD in its effort to operationalize community policing since about mid 2024 last year. So the skill set that we bring to the project is really twofold and speaks to what a large undertaking this work is.

First, we're providing overarching project management for the work, really working to ensure that the project comes together in a meaningful output for the Department, for the City, and ultimately for the residents of Chicago.

Secondly, we are leveraging our experience as neutral

conveners of different stakeholders to ensure that all the different voices that have a perspective on this work, some of which the chief just alluded to, are represented.

What you see on the slide here is a high-level summary of the approach that we are supporting the department to take to this work. On the left-hand side, you can see that we are currently in the process of gathering several different inputs that will inform the outputs that are shown on the right-hand side.

Since summer 2024, Civic Consulting Alliance has led extensive internal engagement of CPD members, both sworn and civilian, across all bureaus, in order to understand how community policing is currently operating at CPD.

Of course this is something that has been documented, many times over, but it is a critical baseline in order to inform the future state of the work. So through interviews and focus groups, through surveys, through a lot of desk research, we've really tried to compile a comprehensive view of the current state of things.

What you see second there is external community engagement, which of course is essential to this work.

Currently underway is a really robust community engagement process that is being led by eight community-based organizations. And we're excited that there have been about 20 sessions so far with many more opportunities to engage still to

come. As the chief mentioned, Deputy Director Milstein will speak in more detail to that.

Finally what you see at the bottom is the 21CP solutions, which is, a national subject matter expert in this field has been contracted via philanthropic funding to research leading practices from other departments on how to operationalize community policing as a pervasive philosophy versus a standalone program.

So all three of these inputs will ultimately be leveraged in order to produce a summary of the current state of community policing, both as implemented by CPD and as experienced by community members.

Second, suggestions for a future state approach to community policing that leverages those community and -- perspectives and national leading practices, and most importantly, lives into the spirit of the consent decree and the superintendent's vision that community policing is a pervasive philosophy that informs the day-to-day behaviors of all CPD members, not just a select few.

And of course this work will produce a comprehensive change management strategy and associated implementation plans to really make sure the work moves from policy to training to operational practice.

So with that, I'm happy to pass things to

Deputy Director Milstein who will talk more about the community

engagement approach.

MR. MILSTEIN: Great. Thank you, Anna. Good afternoon, Judge. Good afternoon, everyone.

Again, Mike Milstein, deputy director here at Chicago Police Department. And I'm going to just touch on and go through our community engagement plan and our process on this project.

So as been shared, you know, throughout this presentation, we are really grateful to have a partnership of eight different community-based organizations across the city to really help lead this effort on how we get really meaningful community feedback into community policing.

This project or this process for community engagement started in the summer of 2024. There was a public application that went out, invited any organization with interest to apply to be part of this project. And then with a selection committee that comprised of folks from CPD, the mayor's office, City Hall, funders, other community stakeholders, these eight CBOs were selected to form this CBO committee.

And, you know, we really took into account, you know, the diversity of the different CBOs, you know, their ability to reach into many different hard-to-reach populations, you know, their ability to engage with folks. Many of them had sometimes negative interactions with police before. All of that we really took into consideration to ensure that we were reaching

as many folks as possible and as many folks who have had experiences with community policing, positive or negative, as much as possible. So, again, really grateful for the CBOs who have joined this project with us.

And then in the fall of 2024, CBO committee -- those eight CBOs were charged with co-designing the process for sessions of community engagement with the support of overall facilitator Melissa Young with The Intersect and project management support from the Civic Consulting Alliance.

What I really want to stress here is that CPD is not involved in how the community engagement is being done. It is essentially these CBOs. They are the ones leading the engagement. CBOs are moving that process. We're really relying on these eight CBOs as experts in their own field. They know how to engage their own community the best. That's what we want to empower them to do, is develop the engagement process based on what makes sense for them and their community. And, you know, we're able to help support that with, again, Melissa Young as the facilitator and CCA providing some of the project manager experience for them. Those CBOs, they all list a ton of great work.

And then they started hosting public engagements in November of 2024. Those engagements have continued throughout the rest of 2024 and have continued again throughout the beginning of this year. More engagements are currently

happening, and they are scheduled through the beginning of February 2025. These engagements are really intended to provide upfront initial perspectives on the vision implementation of the community policing and to eventually provide feedback on the proposed state future -- future state of community policing.

Once this process is done, once the engagements are all done, there will be synthesized reports, such feedback really being used to help and form the implementation plan. And there will be also be a public report made available that also shares what the feedback from the community was and how it's being used to implement it into this project. And we're looking at obviously probably sometime, you know, spring, early summer 2025 for that report to be made available.

Go to the next slide.

Again, just to kind of highlight and uplift the different -- the eight different CBOs who are part of this work, again, really grateful for them. We also are very grateful for the funders who are providing support for the CBOs to do this work. We know that that's obviously a huge barrier at many times and so we're grateful to have that support from the community and from the funding community to support these eight CBOs.

On the screen, there's also a link which will take you to the CPD web page that does list out more information about

this project. It will also list out the full calendar of any upcoming sessions that are open to the public. And definitely encourage anybody on the call today to go to that link to find an engagement that's happening in the next couple of weeks, and if you're interested, to come out and actually attend and share your input with us.

The next slide.

So on the questions, so we wanted to kind of again highlight some of the questions that are being asked in these community engagement sessions. Similar with the engagement process, we really -- CPD did not have any involvement into the creation of these questions. We obviously provided some insight into what we were hoping to get some feedback on, but we really let the eight CBOs amongst themselves and together as a group come up with what questions that they are going to ask in these sessions.

And so on the screen here is all of the questions that are being asked on the community policing piece of this engagement. They really range from asking things like, you know, how do you describe the police presence and interactions in your community? Is there too much police presence, not enough police presence? You know, why is that? What do you want to see out of police? What do you want to see more, what do you want to see less of in your neighborhood? And what other ideas do people have on how we can improve communication

between policing communities and improve interactions and really have more of a positive impact on people's lives. And overall, how do we build better relationships of trust between law enforcement and community.

Again, these are the questions that the eight CBOs together crafted, and these questions are being asked at every single public session engagement. So we wanted to make sure there's consistency across the board and across this process, so each of the community engagements, they are all asking the exact same questions, just to ensure that there is, you know, a -- making sure there's consistency there.

So just some of the next steps on this project, you know, the project team, we are continuing to meet weekly to continue advancing this work. The steering committee meets about monthly through the spring, and we will be providing updates to the Independent Monitoring Team and Attorney General's Office following the steering committee meetings.

There will be a publicly available report from 21CP expected to be released sometime again spring or summer 2025. And again, finally, those community-based organizations are continuing to host engagement opportunities to gather community input through early February 2024 -- I'm sorry, 2025. I haven't gotten used to the new year yet. And again, just encouraging anyone to go to that website and to look for an engagement to attend.

One thing I'll also note is that there is also a publicly available survey. So if you're not able to attend one of the sessions, the engagement sessions, there's also a survey that is available to members of the public to also take and provide feedback on. It's asking again the same questions. And you can find a link to the survey also on the web page.

Move on to the next slide.

And, again, yeah, this is just a current show of the upcoming public sessions. One thing to note, because, again, these CBOs, they are the ones coordinating their own schedules, more will likely be added over the next couple of days. But this is just kind of a snapshot of the engagements that are currently on the forefront over the next few weeks.

Again, moving on to the next slide. And that is it. We can pause there.

THE COURT: Thanks very much, Mr. Milstein.

Are there questions for any of these speakers?

I do have one question. I wonder -- I think you've kind of gone over this, Ms. Katter, but if you could tell me one more time what the Civic Consulting Alliance is, what your history is, and what your goals and responsibilities are.

MS. KATTER: As it relates to the project or just who our organization is?

THE COURT: Just more generally.

MS. KATTER: Sure. So we are a nonprofit based here

in Chicago. We provide management consulting services to government agencies, including the police department. So other clients of ours at various points in time have been folks like the public library or the mayor's office, the state's attorney, and we work across three different platforms, education, economic vitality, and safety and justice.

So the work that we support the police department with of course falls within our safety and justice platform. And as I mentioned, the types of skills that we bring to our clients are things like developing strategy, developing implementation plans for various strategic priorities that they identify, serving as neutral conveners of multiple different stakeholders.

We're fortunate to have worked with the police department, at this point, really since 2017 when much of the work relating to the consent decree got underway. And in the time since then, we have supported the department on a variety of efforts specifically related to the consent decree.

THE COURT: Thanks.

Are there other questions for any of these individuals?

Okay. What's up next here?

MS. HICKEY: I just wanted to ask Your Honor if the AG -- if the Attorney General's Office had any comments they wanted to make before the Workforce Allocation Study briefing.

MS. GRIEB: Thank you, Ms. Hickey.

Good afternoon, Your Honor, Mary Grieb from the Attorney General's Office on behalf of the state of Illinois. And I know we have a lengthy fulsome presentation to come so I'll keep my remarks grief.

I did want to reflect on -- just highlight some of the principles of community policing that were discussed today that are required by the consent decree. They include building trust and increasing legitimacy, community engagement, developing community partnerships, problem solving and collaboration between the department, city agencies, and community members to promote public safety.

Integrating an overall philosophy of community policing into the department both at a department-wide level and through individual officers is a cornerstone of the consent decree. It's also been a significant challenge as we've heard since the City began implementation efforts.

Monitoring reports from prior years have expressed concerns about, for example, the department's lack of followthrough with community organizations who provided input into CPD policies. Reports have identified significant challenges with the department's attempts to integrate this community policing philosophy with department operations, with their crime fighting goals.

But as our office said during our December status

hearing, we are hopeful and would like to see the department use the momentum created in 2024 to move forward. We've very much appreciated the presentation today on the work being done to date. And we encourage the department and CCA to continue conducting this work as transparently as possible, with a good start presenting this information to the Court and the public today.

This includes increasing connections and developing continued connections with individuals and organizations most impacted by the department's policies and practices, and we heard some of those groups mentioned today including young people, the LGBTQ+ community, unhoused individuals, individuals with disabilities, the immigrant community, and individuals in crisis. It will also be important to maintain these connections, create new connections, and provide a feedback loop to the CBOs that we discussed today so that they're able to see how the department has implemented their input.

And as the department continues to work on large-scale reform, such as the Workforce Allocation Study which we'll hear about next, and implementing a consistent staffing and supervision program known by the shorthand we all use as unity of command, span of control, we urge the department to ensure the community policing does become a core philosophy throughout the department, from top leadership to frontline sergeants to beat and tactical and other officers in the districts.

As the department puts together its strategic plans for this year and develop strategies to combat crime and maintain public safety in 2025, it will be very important to do so with these strategies and input identified through this

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Grieb.

project. Thank you very much, Your Honor.

And just so everybody's aware, I know that all of you have schedules that you need to meet. I do not have a hard stop at 2 o'clock today. So if we run a little bit over, I don't want people to feel they have to turn off the computers.

Okay. So we're ready to hear from another speaker unless there are additional questions.

MS. HENSON: Thank you. Good afternoon, Your Honor. Good afternoon, everyone.

As many of you have heard from the previous presentation I provided in October of 2024, CPD has identified a vendor with extensive expertise and experience to provide a comprehensive and replicable agency-wide staffing level and workforce allocation analysis to ensure sufficient and efficient staffing to meet the needs of public safety throughout the City of Chicago.

Matrix Consulting Group was onboarded late last year and is here today to provide greater insight on their background and expertise, as well as the analysis and overview of its key phases.

At this time I would like to turn it over to Richard Brady, the president of Matrix Consulting Group, to delve into a little bit more about their backgrounds and the plans with analysis.

MR. R. BRADY: Thank you, Allyson.

Good afternoon, Your Honor. I am Richard Brady. I'm the president of Matrix Consulting Group and the project manager on this assignment. And with me is Ian Brady, senior vice president with the firm who will be the lead analyst on the project.

As Allyson mentioned, we're here to provide a brief overview of who we are and the team that will be working with you and the department over the year. And Ian will give you an overview of the approach we will utilize.

First of all, briefly about Matrix Consulting Group, we're California based, but we are a national firm with experience over two decades, 23 years in fact, of providing analytical services to primarily local government.

Well, we provide a lot of different kinds of services to our clients, human resources studies, public work studies, et cetera. By far our largest and core area of service delivery is in law enforcement and criminal justice. And in the 23 years that we have been in existence, except for 22 years before that for me, before I founded the firm, we worked with over 400 police departments in 45 states, plus

three provinces in Canada, including many large police departments including Los Angeles, San Francisco, Kansas City, Fort Worth, and others.

As I mentioned, I lead the firm. And with me will be a team that I will briefly introduce in a second. That is a hybridized team. They include people such as myself who have never had a career in law enforcement per se, but I've been involved in every one of those 400 or more studies for law enforcement agencies around the country. But we've got other people on our team who had a prior career as a senior manager in law enforcement, but who are now full-time consultants with us and are equal analysts with our team. So it provides a blended approach to analyzing law enforcement workforce and deployment needs.

Our proposed timeline for this is a year from now, and Ian when he talks about our approach will get into that a little bit more.

Next slide, please.

Just to give you two brief examples of the kind of work that we have done for our police clients that is quite relevant for the work that we're doing for Chicago.

In San Francisco, we developed a Workforce Allocation Study for them that first of all developed a baseline and what their current staffing needs and every function within the department, but we developed a workload-based model for them

that took workload elements and relationships between one function and another to develop an interactive model for law enforcement that the department can use, the board of supervisors and the mayor could use, to analyze staffing needs throughout the police department. We even developed the charter change for them, which ended up being passed by the electorate by over 60 percent. And they're still using the model today.

For Los Angeles, we developed a comprehensive redistricting program for them that looked at how to allocate their resources in an efficient and effective way throughout the city that involved boundary changes, but also their first effort at civilianization in the field, which they have created in the interim.

Next slide, please.

So a little quick introduction to our team. So again, I lead the team. I have over 40 years of consulting experience, mostly in police and justice consulting. I am here to really make sure that it all works together. I'll be involved in every phase of the project and we'll bring together the efforts that will be made by our diverse team.

With me will be Devon Clunis first of all, former transformative chief in Winnipeg, Canada, substantially changed a fairly reactive department into a quite proactive one working in one of the most diverse communities in Canada. And he'll be

working alongside me primarily in the external stakeholder interface that will happen, but also as that relates to community policing kinds of efforts.

Ian Brady, who you will meet in a second, senior vice president with the firm, he's been with us for 12 years. He has developed all of our models as that relates to staff allocation, deployment, and projections. And so he will clearly take the lead in that and certainly as that relates to everything in field services.

John Scruggs, senior manager with the firm, he has been with us for eight years. But before he joined us, he had a career in the Portland Police Bureau in Oregon, but he's also been an interim chief in a department in Pennsylvania. He has developed our staffing models as that relates to investigative services and the case side of internal affairs. It will have an important role to play in that analysis.

Next slide, please.

Greg Stewart, also formerly from Portland Police
Bureau, where he spent 24 years, he has a career with us. He's
been with us for four years but also has done separate
consulting before he joined us relating to training, internal
affairs procedures and professional standards, but also
policies and procedures, the lead analyst on training and
internal affairs.

Suelyn Knight, a senior manager with the firm, she

leads our practice as it relates to organizational culture and DEI, especially as that relates to law enforcement and justice agencies. Before she joined us, she had a senior advisory capacity role with the RCMP, the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, but also the police, the Toronto Police Service.

Tim Donohoe, formerly with the Reno Police Department where he was for 26 years, he's been with us for three years. Before he joined us, he worked for DOJ, the Department of Justice, on international consulting and local law enforcement, formative efforts in Ukraine and in Armenia. And he'll focus on special operational functions.

Paul Isaak, also formerly with Winnipeg Police
Service, where he spent 30 years focusing on employee health
and wellness, but also the crime lab, so he'll have a lead role
in those two very different kinds of service functions in this
project.

Next.

So I'm going to turn it over to Ian, who will summarize the objectives that will be used to inform our efforts and a little bit about how we will conduct the study. So thanks again.

MR. I. BRADY: The objective of the study first and foremost needs to take into account the consent decree and address it, not just the input we received, but in terms of the output with the eventual interactive staffing models through

recommendations. It all needs to be able to meet consent decree requirements.

It needs to be able to achieve principles of unity of command and span of control throughout every single district in the department, but as we build the staffing model and develop methodologies for determining staffing needs of each assignment, it needs to be something that is data driven, something that uses quantitative inputs, whether we're talking about calls for service, crime, population, whatever the workload drivers are for that specific position, and incorporate that into the model.

As it relates to field services and in particular patrol, it ensures that the same team of officers that's working the same geographic location is also critical to the analysis as it pertains to the redistricting structure but also in terms of the allocation practices that are followed in patrol.

Throughout the department, incorporating community policing strategy is also critical, that it can't work in isolation but that it needs to be engrained into everything that is done, from the staffing methodologies to strategic priorities and how they're implemented. But throughout the department, examining total resources and examining things from the capacity versus workload perspectives will be incorporated into each of our methodologies, as well as whether

opportunities to have alternative service delivery approaches such as civilianizing positions and looking at what the impacts in terms of costs, benefits, and how civilianization will play out in different functions.

Next slide.

Ultimately there's three core components to this analysis. First is the workload -- workforce allocation specifically within patrol and the functions it encompasses. Two, as it pertains to everything else in the department, but what's so critical about this is that this is something that is replicable throughout the future. This is not designed to be a report that will be produced at the end of a year and it will sit on a shelf, but something that the department can use on an ongoing basis in the City to be able to replicate everything that we're talking about here.

Next slide.

So how we build up to that interactive model begins with where we are right now, which is Phase 1, the foundation. That's where we are conducting interviews with both internal and external stakeholders and developing our foundational understanding of the department. So we'll be conducting interviews in every assignment within the department but also importantly at all levels of the organization, not just talking to commanders but going all the way down to line staff as well including ride-alongs in the field.

And with that foundational understanding, we will document our understanding of the department, what we call a descriptive profile. That will detail the staffing of the department, organization, different allocation strategies, and that will be used as a base to start developing what methodologies we will use in terms of how we will determine staffing needs of each position and assignment within the department. And so we'll develop what we call a framework document that will outline what those strategies are, the data that we've collected to be able to develop these quantitative methodologies, and whether specific formulas or other methods for determining what the staffing needs for each position

should be.

And in Phase 3 is where we actually conduct this analysis, using the data we've collected and determining what the staffing needs are from a current standpoint, which then in Phase 4 is where we develop that into the replicable model, the interactive model that can be used throughout the future on an ongoing basis.

And then finally in Phase 5, we develop the plan to implement it, final report, and presentations. And you can see on the next slide how this maps out onto a timeline. You can see where the red star is, that indicates opportunities for community engagement, which are structured throughout the process, so that at each deliverable, there is the opportunity

for an engagement with the community.

So right now we are in that first phase. By early March, there will be an opportunity as we begin developing the profile, and then later in the spring with the framework document, there will be the opportunity, and then with the staffing analysis, current staffing analysis done right around the middle of the summer, late July, early August, and then the project wrapping up by the end of the year in late December.

So I'm going to talk a bit more about the community engagement in this next slide. So it begins first by taking the work that the CCA has been doing and doing debriefings with each of the CBOs to be able to understand what they have learned as far as priorities relating to the study, and then building upon that as we move forward with the profile and framework.

And then April, May, distributing educational materials on what we've conducted so far with the profile and the staffing framework. And at that point, we'll also do informational briefings for the community on where we're at in terms of the framework and the strategies that we're using to determine staffing so that the community has a chance to provide input on how their priorities should be interwoven within that.

So we'll then go and actually conduct a staffing analysis, and then there will be another opportunity in

September where we will do more additional informational briefings and educational materials on what we've come up with in the analysis, but also solicit feedback on the impacts as that generates different recommendations that we have. I think particularly as it pertains to the redistricting analysis, that's really critical because it's so ingrained into how it aligns with different communities and being able to have that community policing focus ingrained within that redistricting alternative. So ensuring the community has a chance to comment on that and provide input is going to be really important.

And then later in the fall, October, the information materials on the actual interactive model which by that point will have been developed.

And finally December, with the final report, the implementation plan, there will be more informational briefings and informational materials developed which will provide another opportunity for the community to engage with the project.

Next slide.

MS. HENSON: So I'll take this. Talk a little bit about the steering committee. That's an integral body that's going to be working throughout this process with Matrix. The steering committee members incorporate both CPD membership as well as City and external organizations. On the left, you see the members identified within CPD who will be participants in

the steering committee. This includes myself, the chief of staff, all of the chiefs, so the chief of Patrol, Internal Affairs, Detectives, Counterterrorism, Office of Constitutional Policing and Reform, as well as our general counsel and Legal Affairs.

On the right, you can see our City or external organizations that are also sitting on the steering committee. This includes the CCPSA president, Anthony Driver; CCF representative and funder Robert Boike, CCF representative and funder Timothy Daly; mayor's office, Deputy Mayor of Community Safety Garien Gatewood; and obviously includes Richard Brady and Ian Brady from the Matrix Consulting Group.

I just want to flag that as we notated earlier, during different phases of the project, through our subject matter experts who will be participating in Matrix and during those phases as appropriate may be participating on the steering committee as well.

You can go to the next slide, please.

So at present, our project staff has been meeting regularly with Matrix to facilitate any ongoing data requests that they need and also to mitigate any potential barriers to the timeline that was previously discussed. Our formal launch with the first steering committee is this month, which it will include all steering committee members. At the meeting, we really hope to gather more input from the steering committee

members, namely those representatives from CCPSA, the mayor's office, and CCF, really on how to best engage the community on various stages and deliverables from the project, in conjunction with, as we discussed, Matrix has been collaborating with CCA and with utilizing the input from the CBO-led engagements, including that synthesized report that will clearly provide some valuable information for the workforce allocation project.

Our bureau chiefs have already been briefed on their roles in the study and on the steering committee and currently interviews are underway just beginning with those members.

And then in addition to the community engagement that we've previously spoke about, I think it's important to mention that there will be regular updates provided here at the public hearings as well as our ongoing meetings with the Independent Monitoring Team and the Office of the Attorney General.

Next slide.

And if there's any questions, we're happy to answer those at this time.

THE COURT: You did answer one of my questions which was, I wanted to get a general sense of your timeframe and we did see a graphic on that.

Are there other questions?

Okay. Unless we have additional questions, I think it's time for us to turn to the coalition. I know there are --

MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. I believe the Attorney General's Office may have some comments.

THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Yeah. I didn't see that.

All right. Let's hear from the attorney general.

MS. JUROWICZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I was just waiting in case other people had questions before we started.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. JUROWICZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor and members of the community. My name is Hannah Jurowicz. I'm speaking today on behalf of the Illinois Attorney General's Office, representing the people of the state of Illinois.

We really appreciate and are very encouraged by the expertise that Matrix brings to this long-awaited project and the commitment that CPD has voiced today for ensuring transparency throughout every phase of the project.

It's no exaggeration to say this study is foundational to the reforms across the entire consent decree as well as the department's operations. As IMT's Chief Durham mentioned earlier, efficient data-based staffing allocation is critical for enabling effective supervision, meaningful performance evaluations, mentoring, supporting, and accountability.

As Paragraph 356 of the consent decree mentions, the department is required to ensure that its staffing and allocation decisions provide for a range of the reforms, including patrol field supervisors, to ensure span of control

and unity of command, well trained and qualified field training officers, staff to train recruits and officers, staff to conduct timely misconduct investigations, certified crisis intervention team officers and officer assistance and wellness staff.

Now this comprehensive study is meant to complement as well as inform the ongoing work that is happening within each of the sections. And so by way of example, the crisis intervention section requires that CPD must collect and analyze the number of calls for service involving individuals in crisis for every watch and to evaluate the number of certified CIT officers needed to timely respond to incidents.

The workforce analysis data gap analysis and its design may influence this work, just as the crisis intervention section's operational expertise and priorities may inform the study's baseline assumptions and analysis as it goes forward.

Additionally, for example, for officer wellness, to ensure that department members receive adequate and timely care, the wellness section must identify the minimum number of personnel needed for substantial caseload levels. This analysis may be invaluable to determining the staffing needs here and providing a replicable way to right-size staffing as officer needs shift in the future as well.

As we stated in the October 2024 hearing, transparency from Day 1 design to the results is fundamentally important.

The hearing today and the inclusion of stakeholder input and updates at every phase is an important step in charting a different path for this long awaited and much needed study.

We were very encouraged today to hear that the CPD and Matrix will be ensuring community briefings at each stage, input from the community, as well as steering committee meetings that include internal and external stakeholders, as well as public updates like the one that we have today.

As the study progresses and Matrix and CPD continues to update the public on the study, we urge them to share with the public the scope of exactly what each analysis is asking and what it is not asking. Clear, well-defined questions and priorities will increase the likelihood of achieving the desired outcomes of time -- within time and budget.

It will enable stakeholders to evaluate the process and outcome more effectively, and it will help stakeholders to understand the landscape to ask informed questions along the way and help manage expectations of everyone involved. For example, as we heard earlier, it's essential that CPD with community input clearly define specifically what community policing looks like and what it means to people on the ground.

Recognizing the complexity and the breadth of this study, the resource and data limitations, and one-year timeline, hard decisions may arise about what to prioritize. To the extent that pertinent staffing-related issues are not

1 fully addressed in this study, we encourage CPD to be clear 2 about what followup analyses will be needed and how it plans to 3 advance the consent decree commitments in the meantime. 4 Now the value of the study will ultimately depend on 5 its effect and how it is used to transparently inform public 6 safety and staffing allocations going forward. We and the 7 public will be following closely the progress going forward, 8 and appreciate all of the work that's going into it. Thank 9 you. 10 THE COURT: Thank you very much. 11 Any additional comments or questions? 12 Is it time now to turn then to response All right. 13 from the coalition? Comments from the coalition? 14 MS. HICKEY: Yes, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Great. I understand the two 16 of you will be speaking and that's fine. Ms. Block first? 17 MS. BLOCK: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm not sure 18 if Ms. Bedi is on. She was going to speak first. But I'm 19 happy to start off for the coalition. 20 MR. SEPULVEDA: Alexandra, I'm sorry, this is 21 Anthony-Ray. I think she logged off and is back on and is 22 being promoted to panelist right now. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Good. 24 MS. BLOCK: Thank you. I'll defer to Sheila to begin. 25 THE COURT: That's great. Thanks.

MS. BEDI: I apologize. I just had some tech issues.

Hello, Your Honor. Thank you so much for the opportunity to speak. The coalition truly finds these opportunities incredibly important for our ongoing role in the consent decree.

I'm going to focus my remarks on the Workforce
Allocation Study and I'll start by quoting former
Superintendent David Brown. And he stated, and I'm quoting
here, that police officers grapple with societal failures over
issues including mental health, drug addiction, and schooling
that they are not equipped to handle. Policing was never meant
to solve these problems. This is a direct quote from former
Superintendent Brown.

And that's important here because the Workforce
Allocation Study needs to examine how CPD is allocating its
policing resources as we've already heard extensively here
today, but it also needs to examine what we're asking of police
that is just simply incongruent with the nature of policing.

Now Chicago has already made some progress towards this by implementing alternative response, the Treatment Not Trauma campaign, also by making some investments in youth peacekeeping through initiatives like the Peace Book, which ensures that young people are engaged in productive, prosocial activities, eliminating the need for police response.

And Chicago's also had a longstanding investment in

violence interrupter peer-to-peer mediation, the kind of civilian-based responses to community violence.

But these alternatives to policing, alternatives to violence interruption, remain woefully underfunded. Far too often, even now with the consent decree in place, police officers are engaging with community members who are in crisis or who are suspected of a minor offense, and that incident escalates into discourtesy or violence, and there's two pieces of CPD data that really underscore this point.

The first is that there's been a 36 percent increase in uses of force. And then the second, and this is based on the Office of Inspector General's data, that from 2022 until the present, the most frequent offenses that black people in the City of Chicago are arrested for are traffic violations.

The National Institute of Justice has undergone a research study into alternative approaches to traffic enforcement. This is all related to workforce allocation because the analysis of how we distribute CPD resources should not just be done based on what we're currently asking CPD to do, but should really heed the call of former Superintendent Brown and examine what would we ask of CPD if we fully resourced these alternative public safety responses.

So we're urging those involved in the Workforce

Allocation Study to not just look at the current demands on CPD

but to look at these attendant initiatives and determine how

fully funding those could decrease the demand on CPD and its services.

I'll end my comments there. Thank you again, Your Honor, for the opportunity to provide comments today.

THE COURT: Thank you for joining us. We always appreciate the comments from the coalition.

And I think Ms. Block may have something to add as well.

MS. BLOCK: Yes, thank you so much, Your Honor. And thank you to everyone who presented today. My name is Alexandra Block. I'm the director of the Criminal Legal System and Policing Project at the ACLU of Illinois, and one of the other attorneys for the coalition.

Building on what Ms. Bedi said about expanding the scope of the Workforce Allocation Study, to look at not just what is CPD doing with its current resources, but what are structural changes that could reimagine what policing can be in Chicago, another large set of questions is, what are the constraints that are currently being placed on officer allocation and resource allocation by collective bargaining agreements, collective bargaining agreements both at the officer level and the supervisor level.

We've had a number of conversations over these sets of status hearings about officer responsibilities and officers who have unique skills, for example, officers who are trained in crisis intervention as Ms. Jurowicz mentioned. Another set of issues that we know we've presented to Your Honor a number of times are officers who have language skills, multilingual officers who can engage with their communities in their native language. And the fact that union contracts sometimes make it difficult to send officers to the districts where their language skills could be most beneficial to members of the public. These are the types of questions that we hope the resource -- that the Workforce Allocation Study will address, in addition to all of the other questions that were mentioned on today's presentations.

Because it's important to know and have recommendations to the City of Chicago that if collective bargaining agreements are presenting an obstacle, what positions should the City take in future labor negotiations to remove those obstacles and create better service for people in crisis, for people with limited English proficiency, for people who need various types of specialized services, with officers who have specialized skills and need to be deployed in particular districts or in particular shifts to most efficiently and effectively serve the policing needs of the City of Chicago.

The other -- in addition to the collective bargaining agreements, the other issue that I'd really like to focus on is the community engagement plan. We appreciate very much the

presentation today about the community-based organizations who are doing engagement regarding community policing and workforce allocation. It is quite surprising that today is the first day to my knowledge that the coalition has ever heard about this community engagement process. The coalition is a coalition of 14 civil rights and public safety invested organizations that have been involved in this process since before the consent decree was entered. Our client organizations are uniquely positioned to provide input on what community policing and workforce allocation should look like in Chicago.

With all ultimate respect to the organizations that are apparently leading this community engagement process in conjunction with the Civic Consulting Alliance, most of them have no background in policing and public safety issues. And, you know, that's concerning. It's concerning because it makes it look like CPD is only engaging with organizations that are going to be friendly to CPD and not with the coalition organizations that have this broad and deep experience and represent tens of thousands of community members who are directly impacted, historically and currently, by CPD's racist, violent, unconstitutional policing.

We would ask that the coalition be invited to participate in this process. It's pretty stunning that the coalition hasn't been invited yet. We encourage further transparency. It's great that Matrix Consulting is going to

provide informational briefings, but that's not community engagement or transparency. What true community engagement is, a feedback loop that incorporates community comments and closes the loop in response to those community comments. So we're a bit concerned about this process and how deep and genuine the community engagement really can be. And we would encourage some rethinking to include organizations that are directly involved in community reform and policing reform efforts in the City of Chicago, and to really create a genuine sustained closed loop, you know, community engagement process that can be seen as legitimate by the organizations that are most invested in these issues throughout the City.

Thank you very much, Your Honor, and everyone on the call. I really appreciate the opportunity for the coalition to provide our perspective today.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Block. I appreciate your perspective and I think it's really worthy of consideration. I do.

Are there some replies that you might want to make on behalf of the City or the OAG this afternoon?

MR. SLAGEL: I want to say thank you for continuing these public hearings. That provides an opportunity for the City and CPD to update yourself as well as the public on the efforts that are being made on the consent decree.

THE COURT: Well, it benefits me definitely and I hope

others feel too that this does give us an opportunity to be heard. Whether or not -- whether, you know, whether or not we're making progress as rapidly as everybody wants is another question. But we do need to -- we do need to push forward and doing that in a public way is important.

Ms. Grieb, did you have a comment you wanted to make?

MS. GRIEB: I will defer to Ms. Jurowicz to close us
out.

MS. JUROWICZ: Thank you.

We agree. We greatly appreciate the opportunity to start the year off with updates on these two very important topics. And we are really encouraged that this is just the first of many updates that will be coming this year. We agree with what Ms. Block said in terms of the importance of briefings as well as input at each of the phases, which sounds like it is part of the plan that we heard today and hope that that will continue to be the case.

And we also hope with the workforce analysis that when the results of the study are being used to chart a way forward, when all of the results are in and the data is that the study will be an essential piece of the bigger picture as Ms. Bedi mentioned, and that when difficult decisions come up in the future based on the study, you know, that the larger picture of the city is taken into consideration as well.

We're really encouraged by the work that we're seeing

today and we appreciate the opportunity today.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Closing remarks from either OAG, the City, the monitor? Let's begin once again with the Office of the Attorney General for closing remarks.

MS. GRIEB: Mary Grieb.

MS. HICKEY: I think I'm the only one left or, Mary, did you --

MS. GRIEB: I just wanted to thank you everyone for the presentations and the presence in court today and we look forward to next month.

THE COURT: All right. Then from the monitor, anything -- any closing remarks?

MS. HICKEY: I just wanted to thank everyone that participated today and we look forward to, throughout this year, continuing the briefings for the public about the workforce allocation.

And I also wanted to let everyone that's on the line know about our hearing next month, February 11th, which will be regarding the parties' status of their response to the IMT's comprehensive assessment that was filed in late 2024 I believe. Forgive me, I filed so many things, I don't remember the exact. But that was filed a few months ago and we will have an update on where the parties are responding to the comprehensive assessment next month.

And then I also wanted to encourage people in the next weeks to go to our website CPDMonitoringTeam.com, where we will list out the dates of all of the hearings for the year so that people can put them on their calendar. We are just finalizing that in these last two weeks of January. So be on the lookout for that. We will also send it out through constant contacts and I think if you've joined this hearing, you're probably on our mailing list and will receive that. And if you don't receive it from us, the City or the Attorney General's Office or the coalition sends things out to their contacts too.

So I just wanted to let everybody know that and make them aware of what they can be looking forward to in the continuing updates throughout 2025.

THE COURT: Great. Well, I think that brings us to the end of our time. I want to thank everybody. I know we went a little bit over today, but it was quite useful to me. So thank you for participating and I know I'll see you again in just a few weeks.

MS. HICKEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Adjournment at 2:18 p.m.)

COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. Dated this 13th day of February, 2025 /s/ Hannah Jagler Hannah Jagler, RMR, CRR, FCRR Official Court Reporter