1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION
3	
4	STATE OF ILLINOIS, )
5	Plaintiff, Docket No. 17 C 6260
6	vs.
7	CITY OF CHICAGO, Chicago, Illinois June 2, 2023
8	Defendant. ) June 2, 2023 Defendant. ) 2:00 p.m.
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS Hagning
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - Hearing BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHIEF JUDGE REBECCA R. PALLMEYER
11	APPEARANCES:
12	AFFEAMICES.
13	For the Plaintiffs: HON. KWAME RAOUL ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ILLINOIS
14	BY: MS. MARY J. GRIEB  MS. PATRICIA N. JJEMBA
15	100 West Randolph Street Chicago, Illinois 60601
16	MANDEL LEGAL AID CLINIC
17	BY: MR. CRAIG B. FUTTERMAN UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW SCHOOL
18	6020 South University Avenue Chicago, Illinois 60637
19	NORTHWESTERN PRITZKER SCHOOL OF LAW
20	BY: MS. SHEILA A. BEDI 375 East Chicago Avenue
21	Chicago, Illinois 60611
22	ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: MR. JOSHUA M. LEVIN
23	MS. ALEXANDRA K. BLOCK 150 North Michigan Avenue, Suite 600
24	Chicago, Illinois 60601
25	
l.	

1	APPEARANCES (Continued:)	
2	For the Defendant	CITY OF CUICAGO
3	For the Defendant:	CITY OF CHICAGO DEPARTMENT OF LAW
4		BY: MS. JENNIFER K. BAGBY MR. ARTHUR HAYNES
5		MS. DANIELLE CLAYTON 121 North LaSalle, 6th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602
6		TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LLP
7		BY: MR. ALLAN T. SLAGEL 111 East Wacker Drive, Suite 2800
8		Chicago, Illinois 60601
9	Independent Monitor:	ARENTFOX SCHIFF BY: MS. MARGARET A. HICKEY
10		MR. ANTHONY-RAY SEPÜLVEDA 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 7100
11		Chicago, Illinois 60606
12	Also Present:	MR. MAX FRAZIER
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	Court Reporter:	FRANCES WARD, CSR, RPR, RMR, FCRR
24		Official Court Reporter 219 S. Dearborn Street, Suite 2524A
25		Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 435-5561 frances_ward@ilnd.uscourts.gov

1	(The following proceedings were had via
2	videoconference:)
3	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: It is 2:00 o'clock right
4	now.
5	Welcome back or welcome, everyone.
6	MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, if you would wait one
7	minute. We need to put the YouTube on and everything else.
8	We are all here, but we need to get the next link.
9	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay.
10	MS. HICKEY: You should see in the top "custom
11	livestreaming."
12	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Yes.
13	All right. Good afternoon, everyone.
14	It is 2:00 o'clock. We are ready to resume the
15	virtual hearing that began this morning.
16	For those of you who weren't here this morning,
17	welcome. And for those of you who were, thank you for your
18	patience.
19	We are going to be hearing from some additional
20	members of the community. We had some very worthwhile and
21	thought-provoking comments this morning.
22	Before we dive into the next person on the list, I
23	know the monitor has some comments about the procedure for
24	this afternoon.
25	MS. HICKEY: Thank you, everyone.

Many of you are rejoining, but some of you are 1 2 newly joining this afternoon session, so I just wanted to go 3 through some housekeeping matters. 4 The first is that, if you have anyone watching on YouTube -- and we tried to do this over lunch to check in --5 6 it is a new link for the afternoon YouTube. The old link 7 directs them where to go. Also, we put it up on our website 8 just in case. 9 Just wanted to let everyone know that is in this 10 session right now that there is a new YouTube link. We have 11 also put it in the chat so you could copy and paste it to 12 anyone you need. 13 We have checked. YouTube is live. People are on 14 there, so we know it's working, but just wanted -- just in 15 case there was anyone that didn't realize that there was a 16 new link. 17 Second, I just did want to make people that are 18 speaking to the Court aware --19 MR. SEPULVEDA: Excuse me, Maggie. 20 I just wanted -- there seems to be a delay with the 21 YouTube. 22 MS. HICKEY: Okav. MR. SEPÚLVEDA: I just want to make sure that 23 24 that's working before we go past that point. 25 MS. HICKEY: 0kay.

(Brief pause.)

MR. SEPÚLVEDA: Okay. It should be up and running. I see it on my end.

If anyone is having any issues with that, please reach out via chat or email.

Thank you, Maggie.

MS. HICKEY: I will start over again. I'm getting pretty good at repeating myself.

Good afternoon, everyone. We had a slight issue with the livestreaming service, but I hope everybody is on.

But I did want to note, for those of you that are on the livestream and on this initial platform, that it is a new link for the YouTube. We were unable to reconnect. But when you got the old link back, it tells you -- it drops down, and the new link is right there. We have also put it in the chat here. And we have also put it on our website, cpdmonitoringteam.com.

Hopefully we were also able to get the closed captioning on the YouTube. If someone needs that, then they can turn it on through their own system.

For those people that are speaking and have just joined us this afternoon, I did want to let you know there is a timer system. If you are looking at your monitor, you will see one of the blocks says "timer." It will be green while you are speaking. There will be a yellow that gives you a

1 30-second warning that you have 30 seconds to wrap it up, and 2 then a red square when your time is up. So I just wanted to 3 make you all aware of that. 4 I think that is everything that I have. We will call the speaker's name and their number 5 6 that they received when they got the email that they were 7 selected to be a speaker. So that way you could kind of keep 8 track. You may come up quicker, though, because sometimes 9 10 people were unable to attend last minute. So just know that 11 if we are calling 26 and you are 40, it may not be 14 people. 12 It may be six or seven. 13 And that's everything I have, your Honor, for 14 housekeeping. 15 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. Thank you. 16 Again, I want to thank those of you who made 17 comments this morning. I have been making notes and 18 listening. I think you have made some very, very helpful 19 suggestions for us. The next -- we are up to No. 20 on our list, and 20 21 that would be José Almanza. 22 Mr. Almanza, if you are with us, I wonder if you 23 could begin your comments. 24 Again, do be respectful of the time limits. I know 25 everyone has been. I really appreciate that.

1	So if you are with us, Mr. Almanza, you are welcome
2	to get started.
3	(No response.)
4	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Nobody there yet for
5	Mr. Almanza.
6	What about Crista Noël? That would be No. 21. Are
7	you with us, Ms. Noël?
8	(No response.)
9	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: We will come back to these
10	people in case you are here and just haven't been able to get
11	connected, but I do want to make sure that we respect
12	everybody else's time as well.
13	Let's take a look at No. 22, Ponchita Moore.
14	(No response.)
15	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. How about Billie
16	Boxdale? Billie Boxdale, are you with us?
17	(No response.)
18	MS. MOORE: Hello.
19	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Hi, Ms. Moore.
20	This is Ponchita Moore who's with us.
21	Good afternoon.
22	You are welcome to make a statement, Ms. Moore.
23	MS. MOORE: Good afternoon.
24	Good afternoon, everyone.
25	Yes. My name is Ponchita Moore.

And first, thank you for allowing me to come here 1 2 today. 3 I just want to talk briefly about why I believe the consent decree needs to be enforced. 4 Recently I was elected to the 5th Police District 5 6 Council. The reason why I ran for that was strictly because 7 I live in the Roseland community currently. Well, I live in 8 Roseland. I want Roseland to look like Pullman that's east of me and Morgan Park and Beverly and those other 9 10 neighborhoods that surround me. 11 It's important -- right? -- that our community is 12 protected and respected. I just don't feel like -- I know we 13 don't receive that -- right? -- from the police. 14 So it's important that the consent decree is 15 enforced -- right? -- and that citizens -- Black and Brown 16 citizens are able to feel safe in their communities and also 17 that our community is able to be revitalized, because that's important as well. 18 19 That's all that I prepared to say today. I didn't 20 have much. I just wanted to, you know, get that out. 21 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Well, your comments are important to us. And I thank you for spending some time with 22 23 us today, Ms. Moore. Thank you. 24 MS. MOORE: Thank you for having me. 25 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you.

1 Billie Boxdale, are you with us, No. 23? 2 MS. HICKEY: And if he's not, your Honor, 3 Mr. Almanza is on now. CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Let's take -- José Almanza, 4 5 let's turn to you, sir. 6 MR. ALMANZA: Thanks, everybody. Thank you for 7 sending the invite to be a panelist. 8 Your Honor, thank you so much. First, I want to thank you for doing this. You certainly didn't have to. 9 10 Having community input and community feedback inform 11 potential policies that affects us the most is very 12 important. So I just want to say thank you for taking the 13 time to do this. 14 My name is José Almanza. 15 I want to give two perspectives -- my own personal 16 perspective and then the perspective from my role at 17 Equiticity here on the West Side of Chicago. 18 You know, I'm a fairly tall Brown person. I'm six 19 feet tall. Growing up in the Little Village neighborhood 20 here in Chicago, I was always looked at as an adult even 21 though I was 14, 15 years old, and police officers treated me 22 that way -- often stopping me on my way home from school or 23 on my way to school from home; often stopping myself and my 24 friends, who are just, you know, playing a pickup basketball 25 game or maybe walking to the Burger King to get some food.

I was constantly being stopped and frisked as a teenager. It was common for us. And I thought that was everybody, right? I thought maybe they were just trying to keep us safe, but no. As I got older, I realized that that is not how they treat everybody in the different neighborhoods here in Chicago.

And most recently I was pulled over here in Little Village. I was driving to get some food, and they put the lights behind me.

And as the officer approached my vehicle, he had his hand on his gun, which automatically was -- like, freaked me out, because I was like, whoa, why is this happening? So it's putting my anxiety up and making me more nervous.

So as the officer comes to the window, my hand is shaking giving him my driver's license. And I'm like, well, is that making me look suspicious?

Then he asked me, "Why is your hand shaking?"

And I was like, "Well, I don't know. Why is your hand on the gun? I don't understand why you feel such in danger."

Even talking about it now, it's like, "Calm down, José. You are in a Zoom call."

These are stories that I heard over and over growing up from neighbors, friends, colleagues, different versions of the same story.

Now, through my role as the Director of Advocacy for Equiticity here on the West side, we've held listening sessions here in North Lawndale and Little Village and Bronzeville to kind of gain folks' perspective on their interactions with police and specifically getting pulled over.

And I've heard -- the story that I just shared,
I've heard different versions of that over and over from
Black and Brown men throughout the city experiencing the same
thing and having the same physiological response that I did
when they are doing nothing wrong.

And now, these are not just based on our personal stories and feelings, but it's also backed up by data.

So as part of the Freedom Move Coalition, our partners at Impact For Equity, they found, to no surprise, Black and Brown folks are getting pulled over disproportionately more than White drivers.

However, the outcomes were very interesting. Less than 1 percent of those stops resulted in the confiscation of any contraband, whether it be drugs or firearms. Less than 1 percent of those stops resulted in a citation. Less than 1 percent of those stops resulted in an arrest.

So 99 percent of these stops of Black and Brown folks is just pulling over, wanting to run our name on the system -- getting pulled over for something as minor as,

like, a broken taillight, expired tags, hanging -- something hanging from your rear mirror, even having tints.

So we are getting pulled over for these nonviolent, nontraffic safety issues, mostly administrative things. And then it leads to: Who else is in the car? I want everyone's IDs from the car. I want to search your car. Where are the guns at? All these things that have nothing to do with the original traffic stop.

And all it is -- I mean, to me, it's no surprise why there isn't a great relationship between community members and police, because we keep getting harassed.

And we are seeing that the results are just not there for police officers. A thousand guns for 600 stops a year is not effective, and it's only contributing to the distrust that there is between community members and police.

So my recommendation and what I hope comes out of this is that the police and the City really forces the police to make the changes from the consent decree and really listen to community feedback and use that feedback to change the policies so that we are all living in safe neighborhoods with no -- with little to no violence, and our kids and everyone can just enjoy our beautiful city.

So thank you so much for taking the time to listen to me, and y'all have a great day.

CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you, Mr. Almanza.

1	Thank you for sharing your experience with us.
2	MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, I did want to let you know
3	that Speaker 21, Crista Noël, is on.
4	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Good. We will take her
5	comments next.
6	MS. NOËL: Hello, your Honor. I apologize for
7	being late.
8	I did want to start off saying that, although I
9	don't particularly care for CPD, they did assist in finding
10	my father, who's 93 years old and suffers from dementia and
11	decided that he was going to take my car one night and drive
12	around the city. They found him in one piece. My car
13	wasn't, but he was. And though I do appreciate that, I did
14	want to say that, as we all know, you know, certain incidents
15	(audio interruption).
16	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Well, thank you very much.
17	That was helpful, Ms. Noël.
18	MS. HICKEY: I think she got cut off. If she
19	rejoins
20	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: If she rejoins, you are
21	welcome to put her back on.
22	MS. HICKEY: Okay. Thank you.
23	Here she is.
24	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Oh, good. All right.
25	MS. NOËL: (Unintelligible). I just wanted to say

1 (unintelligible) --2 MS. HICKEY: I can't understand her. 3 MS. NOEL: (Unintelligible) have gone into her apartment or downstairs into the basement. 4 5 A person who was selling the marijuana/cannabis was 6 living in the upstairs apartment, but they went downstairs, 7 and they confiscated legal weapons out of my father's house. 8 And as I was saying, my father at the time, I think, was in 9 his 80s. 10 (Brief pause.) 11 MS. HICKEY: I do think Crista Noël was again 12 knocked off. I do not think it's on our end. I think it is 13 perhaps, you know, the device she is using. 14 I know that Speaker No. 23, Mr. Boxdale, is 15 available. He is in the Community -- oh, it's Mrs. I'm sorry. I saw "Billie." I'm so sorry. But it's a better 16 17 name for a young lady. 18 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: All right. Great. 19 MS. HICKEY: And we will put Crista back in when 20 she comes. 21 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Ms. Boxdale, go right 22 ahead.. MS. BOXDALE: Okay. Happy Friday. And I thank 23 24 y'all for inviting me. (Unintelligible). 25 I also live in Englewood. I have a story to tell,

1 but my story is over 50 years. Okay. 2 I have -- my brother was named David Boxdale. And 3 the police -- he was, like, a gang leader, you know, back in 4 the day. But still, my story is similar to the young lady --5 6 you know, my for call -- for -- the speaker before me. Okay. 7 The police had pulled your house -- surrounded our house in 8 1963 -- detective police. They kicked our door in looking for my brother 9 David, you know, saying, "Oh, we looking for him because we 10 11 are -- we looking for him for murder." 12 Okay. Then they told all of us to get facedown on 13 the floor. They ransacked our house. You know, they 14 couldn't find him. Yet still they had guns to our head. You 15 know what I'm saying? That was devastating, you know. 16 So after then -- I'd say maybe a couple weeks 17 later -- okay? -- we was out and about. So they found my brother David out there. He was trying to stop a fight. 18 19 The officer, his name was Smith. I remember him. 20 I'm a witness to that. He had some brass knuckles on. 21 Knocked his front teeth out. 22 Then they also -- after they did that, they took 23 him to jail, locked him up, and said no one could see him, 24 and beat him down. 25 So my family -- right now, it's still devastating

,	
1	because of the violence the police had by abusing him and
2	still doing it.
3	So my story is no different than someone's story
4	mine is over 50 years ago, and it's still happening today.
5	So when it's gonna stop? When is it going to end?
6	You know, something has to be done about this.
7	I thank God right now that we do have cameras. You
8	know, we can be able to see what's going on, because at that
9	time, you couldn't do anything. Whatever the police did to
10	you, there was nothing you can do about it, because they was
11	always right. It has to stop.
12	And that is my story, over 50 years ago.
13	Thank you.
14	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you very much,
15	Ms. Boxdale. I appreciate your time.
16	Okay. Are we up to Larry Dean at this point?
17	MS. HICKEY: Yes, your Honor.
18	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Larry Dean, if you are with
19	us, you are welcome to make a statement at this point.
20	MR. DEAN: Hi. Can everyone hear me?
21	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Yes.
22	MR. DEAN: Okay. Hey.
23	Hello to Maggie Hickey.
24	Hello, Judge Pallmeyer.
25	My name is Larry Dean. I'm an organizer and policy

1 associate with Community Renewal Society. I'm going to talk a little bit about the foot 2 pursuit policy that's in the consent decree. 3 4 So in August 2022, CPD issued its first-ever policy 5 to protect people against dangerous and racially 6 discriminatory foot chases, like the kind that resulted in 7 the officer killing of 13-year-old Adam Toledo and 8 22-year-old Anthony Alvarez in 2021. But even with the policy on the books, CPD officers 9 10 are still needlessly engaging in dangerous foot chases. 11 In April, Chicago police killed Reginald Clay, Jr., 12 when he turned toward an officer during a chase. 13 Creating new policy is not enough. Why has there 14 still been the same policy and practices that existed before 15 the new policy was adopted? 16 The CPD established a foot pursuit policy that has 17 very little training on its new policy. There is very little supervision to ensure that those new policies get followed. 18 19 And there is a lack of accountability for officers who violate said new policies. 20 21 So having a policy does nothing to change police 22 officers' behavior on the ground. 23 CPD must train and supervise all officers, collect and disclose data on all foot chases, and make sure the 24 25 officers who violate the policy are disciplined

appropriately, including terminated.

\_

The consent decree will never create real change in our communities until CPD moves beyond writing new policies into the phase of implementing those policies and changing CPD's culture on the ground.

I would also like to talk a little bit about creating safety for young people during the summer.

There has not been an outline plan so far to make sure that young people are safe and able to travel throughout the city like every other citizen.

We have seen many of our young people be targeted and be harassed and be kept out of important places downtown and not specifically how many is used through community policing or district councils for them to have safety wherever they are at.

So it's important that we have an outline planned that the mayor, the superintendent, CPD, and actually organizers and district council members can give input on and create so that young people do not feel threatened to go to all of our beautiful places as it gets hotter, but also that they feel safe going anywhere in the city.

It's important that we outline procedures and policies that are guided by the policies in the consent decree that will align with the work that we have all been doing to make sure that young people feel safe and that we

1 are giving them the opportunity to explore and have fun and 2 do the things that we all did as young people in the city. 3 So please think about how we are going to hold the 4 CPD accountable to make sure that young people feel safe, that everyone feels safe, and that we are including them in 5 6 our plans as the warm weather gets here. 7 Thank you. 8 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you, Mr. Dean. 9 Mr. Dean was No. 24. So I think we are up to 25 10 unless somebody behind has come along. MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, No. 25, Mr. Wilkins, is in 11 12 the Communities United room. 13 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Great. 14 MS. HICKEY: I see him right there now. MR. WILKINS: Good afternoon. Good afternoon. 15 16 Thanks, Judge, for allowing us to speak to you 17 again. 18 And I echo everything that Larry said before me, 19 you know, and I echo some of the things that are history of 20 what Ms. Boxdale said before me. 21 And it's like -- this is my 32nd year of doing this 22 My brother was wrongfully incarcerated, and that's 23 what brought me to this work. 24 I have been a part of the consent decree ever since 25 it first started. You know, Laguan McDonald was murdered in

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2014. You know, it's going on almost ten years. The consent decree went into effect in March of 2019.

You know, some of my colleagues and myself, we took it upon ourselves to help them out. We have been helping Ms. Hickey on a lot of different things and just showing that -- I'm sorry. Let me back up a little bit.

I'm from Roseland. You know, we have been voluntarily helping Ms. Hickey to just show that what's in writing in the consent decree, it's not being implemented on the streets of Chicago. You know, they are still handcuffing guys.

Now they got a new thing where they handcuff not only the individuals separately, but they are handcuffing them together. So they are using three sets of handcuffs, you know, one individually handcuff a person and then handcuff them two people together.

You know, and it seems more as if it's became kind of us against them, you know, and I feel uncomfortable about that because there are a lot of good officers out there. We have been able to meet a lot of the good officers. But it seems like the officers that are really doing the good work are the ones that have to do the cleanup, that has to sweep up and keep their area clean.

You know, since the consent decree started, you know, I still have my small boys. They are getting bigger.

My older -- Jalill (phonetic) is 11. Eric is seven. You know, and things are getting trying. They used to want to be police officers. Now they don't want to be police officers anymore, you know.

And they're coming up out of -- well, Jalill is. He's coming up out of the cute stage, you know. And I don't want him to go through the same things I went through in the summer months of being handcuffed, you know, being searched, you know.

So that's why this consent decree is personal to me, you know, because I went through that. I didn't put my hands on -- on a day like today, having to put your hand on a hot car. Sometimes they even put your face on a hot car. And I don't want my boys to have to go through what I went through, you know.

It has to be some type of respect line there where an officer can come up and be peaceful, and we could be peaceful in return.

But if you're coming with all the frustration and giving orders still like an overseer, I see no change, you know. And I want -- I don't understand where the misunderstanding is done because the consent decree has been in effect, you know. And now it's going on the 2020 -- it's almost midyear 2022, you know, so -- I mean, 2023. So when are they gonna really be implemented? You know, they're not

1 meeting their deadlines like they are supposed to. 2 Safety is the issue. The young people are maybe --3 some of them might be doing some things that are not right, 4 but everybody shouldn't have to suffer in the city of Chicago because of the color of your skin being Black and Brown, you 5 6 know. And that's basically what I really want to say, 7 8 because I don't want to see my boys go through the same thing 9 I went through, because I honestly believe if my son should 10 have to go through that, that will be the day that they will 11 have to kill me, and I don't want to die for my kids to have 12 they rights. 13 I thank you again for allowing me to speak. 14 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: I thank you for making the 15 time for us here today and telling us your views. So thank 16 you. That was Mr. Dean. So I think Eric Wilkins may be 17 18 next. 19 MS. HICKEY: That was Mr. Wilkins. CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Oh, I'm sorry. 20 21 MS. HICKEY: That's okay. 22 Mr. McKay, I believe, is in the same room, and they 23 just need to switch. So a couple seconds. 24 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Good. We will put him on 25 then.

1	MS. HICKEY: Thank you.
2	(Brief pause.)
3	MS. HICKEY: You are on mute.
4	So when you are ready, Mr. McKay.
5	MR. McKAY: Thank you. Thank you, Maggie.
6	UNKNOWN MALE: Ms. Maggie is here?
7	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Great.
8	MR. LEVIN: Maggie is right here.
9	UNKNOWN MALE: Let me is she is in this
10	building?
11	MR. LEVIN: No, no.
12	UNKNOWN MALE: Oh, okay.
13	MR. McKAY: Are we ready?
14	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: We are ready for you. Go
15	ahead.
16	MR. McKAY: Should I introduce you myself?
17	Okay. I'm Robert McKay. I'm newly elected to the
18	5th District Police Council on the South Side of Chicago.
19	The 5th District police station is located on 111th Street.
20	The issue and I understand I'm supposed to speak
21	about police accountability.
22	We find where the city of Chicago has created
23	issues and disenfranchisement in communities. The same thing
24	goes with the County of Cook here and the State of Illinois.
25	State of Illinois resources have been denied to

certain communities. And specifically in the Black community we find that when the police is dispatched to or unleashed to address issues, the City, the County, and the State of Illinois, and sometimes the federal government in its funding process have denied opportunity, resources which the communities need to survive and thrive with.

The police are the first to meet the frustrations, the anger in the communities. And when that happens, the police reacts in a specific manner in which they are trained. And they are trained to respond to violence, anger, and sometimes that's misinterpreted.

When the police responds, in many cases, they overreact. They are out of order. And when the police -- this goes all the way up to the Supreme Court. Let me back up on that. Because when the police -- the Supreme Court makes rulings that address -- to protect the police. The police utilizes -- for example, I fear for my life. When they shoot someone in the back eight times or shoot Laquan McDonald -- the purpose of establishing the district council in the city of Chicago is because, when Laquan McDonald was shot down in 2016 -- was it 2016? Sixteen times this man was shot.

But three months prior to Laquan McDonald -- I don't have the name of the person -- a young man was shot in the back while riding a bicycle on 115th Street in Roseland.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The police spokesperson, then Pat Camden, came on the air and stated that the offender turned around while riding a bicycle and aimed a weapon at the police but nothing was recovered.

So when the police itself is corrupt, but it stands that the city of Chicago -- I should say municipalities across this country dispatch the police or unleash the police on the communities where they have created the issues. the problem is through denial of resources; disenfranchisement, as I stated. Here in the city of Chicago education has been shut down, an issue created by the City of Chicago and the Board of Education.

At one point we had vocational programs where people were thriving with skills, education, and jobs to go out in the communities to work. But the unions, who are behind the disenfran -- dismembering the vocational programs in the public school system and attempting to display that they are in a position to provide that type of education, and they are not.

Just last year alone, the unions, AFL/CIO, requested that CPS, the Chicago Public School system, bus 3,000 students over to McCormick Place so that they could display the kinds of education and skills they could provide through their union schools, because they announced that their enrollment was very low, almost to nonexistent. So the

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

City of Chicago complied with that and bussed 3,000 students over to McCormick Place.

And at one point the students who came from elementary schools, who were third and fourth graders, they were playing games as opposed to enlightening them to the kinds of skills they could have as a career. And that went on.

It appears that the unions were only performing that as a -- to give the impression that there was an outreach from the unions, and that they were outreaching out to poor communities, and it never occurred.

Another example of how we could accelerate on that is when the Red Line transit system here in Chicago, which stops at 95th Street and the Dan Ryan, is going to extend up to 130th Street. And that project will go through Roseland.

And just last October -- the entire month of October there were application -- apprenticeship application forms set up in nine cities outside the city of Chicago, including two cities in Indiana, where they provided applications to invite people from those communities to come in and train so that they could work on the heavy equipment, which will be utilized to construct the rapid transit -- the train system from 95th Street out to 130th Street.

So with that, the -- denial an opportunity -- and that was provided by the Local 150, the Operating Engineers Union.

And I sent an email over to the CTA president at the time, who I'm sure has a hand in this project, but I understand he is gone now just recently. But I requested that there be (audio interruption) placed on that application process, the training process, until those applications, opportunities are brought into the city of Chicago and specifically in Roseland where we have young people -- youth in our city have over 85 percent unemployment.

The City is not making any effort. Neither are CTA, which handled an application process for bus drivers and, I believe, mechanics.

But the opportunities to work on these jobs where Secretary of Transportation Peter Buttigieg announced that there were people who were coming into communities and working in hard hats who don't look like the people in the community they are working in.

So I'm sure that -- I'm preparing a letter for Mr. Buttigieg so that he can come into Chicago and perhaps bring a federal monitor from his department so we can address that specific issue.

CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you. Thank you so much for your comments. I really appreciate those views, Mr. McKay.

I think we are ready to hear from Regina Russell

1 next. MR. McKAY: Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry. 2 3 going on and on. 4 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: That's fine. MS. HICKEY: I do believe Ms. Russell is in the 5 6 So one moment, your Honor. queue. 7 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. Yes, I think I see 8 She is certainly on the call right now. 9 So once you are ready, Ms. Russell, we are ready to 10 hear from you. You need to unmute yourself. 11 MS. RUSSELL: Hello, everybody. 12 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Hello. 13 MS. RUSSELL: Hello. 14 So I'm here to talk about what -- I guess you 15 already know what's on the panel already. 16 But, first of all, I want to say -- I'm nervous. 17 Let me just calm down. 18 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Don't be nervous. You 19 can't make a mistake here. Don't worry about this. Just 20 relax and tell us what you would like us to consider. 21 MS. RUSSELL: Okay. What I would like you to 22 consider, since the consent decree was entered at first in 23 2019, the City has released meaningful change in policy. 24 CPD is woefully behind in meeting the consent decree requirements. At the most recent report filed by the 25

1 independent monitor last December, CPD had achieved full 2 compliance with 5 percent of the consent decree requirements. 3 For years, the City has paid for lip service to reform while 4 it has actually fought against a delay of necessary changes. 5 So what I'm here to -- what I wanted to say is, so 6 many people in the Black and Brown community on the South 7 Side and West Side had experience where all were harassed. 8 humiliation, and treated us unfairly, disrespectfully, and 9 assumed we are criminals. 10 Like my son, I experienced that. My son right now 11 is doing 23 years for something that he didn't do through the 12 Jon Burge police torture. 13 To make -- fast-forward, the story is, they said he 14 committed a murder. So I told my son to turn himself in. 15 Before I can get to the police station, they were beating 16 him. As I walked into the police station, I could hear 17 18 him hollering and screaming. "I didn't do it. I didn't do 19 it." And the other polices that's on the first floor, 20 21 they was just sitting there. And I just said, "Don't y'all 22 hear him hollering? Don't y'all hear him hollering?" 23 He said, "That has nothing to do with us. That's 24 the detectives upstairs." 25 So I ran upstairs. And when I ran upstairs, I

1	was I'm like, "That's my son. That's my son."
2	"Who's your son?"
3	I said, "The one that you're in there beating on."
4	I mean, I just heard all these loud noises. Bang,
5	boom, bop. I heard a slap.
6	And he's like, "Please stop hitting me. I didn't
7	do it. I didn't do it."
8	And one of the detectives, which is O'Brien, came
9	and said, "What do you want?"
10	I said, "I come to get my son that y'all beating
11	on."
12	"Ain't nobody beating on your son."
13	And so he went around there. I could hear. The
14	walls are thin. He said, "The parent is here. The parent is
15	here." So it stopped.
16	So the man say, "Get up. Get up." So I guess my
17	son was getting back up to get in the chair.
18	And so I said, "That's my son. I'm staying right
19	here until my son"
20	He said, "How old is your son?"
21	I said, "He's 19."
22	And they said, "19? He's an adult. He don't need
23	you to stay here. You need to leave."
24	I said, "I'm not leaving, because y'all beating on
25	my son. He said he didn't do it."

1 And the first thing O'Brien told me, "You better leave before we lock you up for obstruction of justice." 2 So I said, "Obstruction of justice?" 3 4 So I'm standing there. I'm standing there, and I kept standing there, and I'm crying. In my mind, I was, 5 like, "Don't leave him here. They gonna kill him. 6 7 walk out this door." He said, "You got five seconds to walk out that 8 door or we are gonna lock you up." 9 10 So I, as a mother, felt, I'm leaving my son in 11 harm's way. It killed me to walk out that door and go down 12 them stairs and leave my son in that police station knowing 13 they just got through beating him up. 14 So my thing is, the police need to be held 15 accountable for that. I mean, if you can't get -- get the 16 right conviction. Don't just lock somebody up for something 17 they didn't do and beat them up and make them sign. 18 Not only did he intimidate him, he went and got his 19 cousins from the same building that the murder happened and told them they're gonna take their kids. They're gonna lock 20 21 them up, and the kids are gonna be in foster care. Made them 22 sign false consents. We got proof of all of that. 23 My son is still in jail to this day, and we're 24 trying to get a retrial for that. 25 And these men -- these polices were known for their

torture. They got so many men that's locked up for being in torture. But I think that they should be held accountable. (Unintelligible) and investigating the police officers. They should not be getting paid when they leave. They should not know -- because if we, citizens, do something wrong, we get held accountable. So if the police do something wrong, and they have proved to see that they have been tortured, victimizing people, why can't they be held accountable?

And then our tax dollars paying -- paying for these officers to continue to work, to continue to serve the community.

Back in our days, we had Officer Friendly. We'd go in front of the police. They were friendly. We were safe. But there's no safety now. There's no safety now.

But if -- I believe if the goose is for the gander, it should be for everybody, not just for the community -- the citizens. It should also be held for all cops.

And under -- the new mayor of the city must take serious the critical -- the critical life-saving urgency of the consent decree.

Mayor Johnson should make clear to CPD's leadership and ordinary officers that increase the pay for CPD.

Compliance with consent decree is the mayor's top policy priority. The mayor should make clear the transformation of the CPD culture to ensure CPD policy in a matter that is

1	constitutional, fair.
2	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you. Thank you very
3	much, Ms. Russell. I appreciate your comments.
4	Do we have Jae Rice with us?
5	MS. HICKEY: I do not believe, your Honor, that
6	Mr. or Ms. Rice is in the Webinar. So I think we need to go
7	to No. 29, Joe Ferguson.
8	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: No. 29, Mr. Ferguson.
9	Joe Ferguson, if you are with us, you are welcome
10	to make a few remarks right now.
11	(No response.)
12	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: How about Bertha Escamilla,
13	No. 30?
14	MR. LEVIN: She is unavailable to join today. You
15	can
16	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Not available.
17	How about Ms. Cindy Greenwood, No. 31?
18	(No response.)
19	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: I think the next person on
20	my list is No. 32, Fred Hampton, Jr.
21	MS. GREENWOOD: Excuse me. I'm Cindy Greenwood.
22	The popup
23	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: You are Cindy Greenwood?
24	MS. GREENWOOD: Yes.
25	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: You are welcome to go

ahead, Ms. Greenwood. 1 Thank you very much. 2 MS. GREENWOOD: 3 Good afternoon. 4 As we said, my name is Cindy Greenwood. I am a leader on the Community Renewal Society's police 5 6 accountability team. In that capacity, I have been involved with the 7 8 Grassroots Alliance For Public Accountability, the GAPA 9 Coalition, for the last nine years; as well as the ECPS 10 Coalition, which ushered the empowering community for the 11 City of Chicago -- the Chicago City Council in July of 2021 12 to create a civilian-led police accountability structure for 13 Chicago. 14 I'm here today to talk about the City's failure to punish police officers for lying on their reports, which was 15 reported in the media on May 25th. 16 17 As a White woman, I do not have the lived 18 experience of many of today's speakers, like Mrs. Boxdale and 19 Mr. Wilkins, but through the work cited earlier, I have heard 20 hundreds of testimonies from people who have been abused and 21 mistreated at the hands of Chicago police officers. 22 We have a long, long road ahead to rebuild our 23 community's trust in the CPD and to improve public safety. 24 Our efforts are made even more difficult when CPD 25 continues to employ officers who lie in their reports, which

effectively condones this behavior.

According to a recent report by the Inspector General's office, as of November 2022, CPD employed or had recently employed at least 110 officers who violated Rule 14. This CPD rule prohibits officers from making false written or oral reports and also from knowingly omitting crucial information.

Rule 14 calls for dismissal as the appropriate disciplinary penalty. Instead, the Inspector General found that some of these officers have been assigned to specialized units, like an FBI task force.

Others have worked as detectives and were promoted even after being found to have lied or made a material omission.

It's amazing to me that police officers who have been proven to be liars are allowed to keep their positions and are even promoted. Does this signal to other police officers that there are no repercussions for falsifying reports?

I am urging COPA and the Police Internal Affairs
Bureau to routinely recommend firing for such violations and
for the police board to uphold any firings.

Failing to hold police who lie accountable undermines our efforts to reform the CPD and to rebuild community trust in our police officers. How can we have

trust without truthfulness? 1 2 Thank you. 3 MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, I wanted to let you know 4 that Mr. Ferguson is in the queue. 5 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Great. 6 Thank you very much, Ms. Greenwood. 7 We can move back to Joe Ferguson then. 8 MR. LEVIN: While Mr. Ferguson unmutes, your Honor, 9 I just want to say just a note that it takes people a minute 10 or so just to unmute, click the button and everything. So I 11 think some of the speakers are trying to unmute themselves. 12 But if you could just slow the pace down a tad, that would be 13 great. 14 Thank you. 15 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. I will allow more 16 time while we wait. I apologize. 17 We are definitely -- I want to assure everybody, I 18 am going to double back and check on every single person and 19 make sure everyone who's on this list has the opportunity to 20 speak up. 21 Okay. Mr. Ferguson, I think we are ready to go 22 with you. 23 MR. FERGUSON: Thank you. Good afternoon. 24 I appreciate the opportunity to speak as a deeply 25 concerned resident of the city but one who is steeped in

direct experience and knowledge from 12 years as the city's Inspector General.

The consent decree, as you are hearing today, is a faltering undertaking both in substantive accomplishment and in transparency for the public -- for many members of the public -- to such a degree that, in the absence of a hard methodological and operational reset, it is likely to fail.

In the mind of many most in need of the reforms, it is already failing. In year five of what was originally a five-year agreement, IMT six-month reports still speak principally in terms of preliminary compliance, which, to be blunt, is the low-hanging fruit.

The difficult stages, the ones that are felt by and matter to the public, still lay ahead. Training and systematic implementation with the requisite supervision, guidance, and performance metrics needed to move to latter-stage compliance, to date, the public does not sufficiently see and experience that even though we are in year five.

Principal responsibility, of course, lay with the City itself. Many comments are directed at CPD, but the mayor controls CPD.

A quick recount of what the City has been in the last four years.

We have had three mayors; four, soon to be five,

police superintendents; four, soon to be five, Corporation Counsels; five, soon to be six, deputy mayors for public safety; two chief administrators of COPA; two Inspectors General; and one entirely new police accountability agency, the CCPSA, which is still operating in an interim capacity, just in the period of the monitorship.

And with each turnover in these critical positions, the City has lost critical institutional knowledge. And with the turn of a new mayoral administration, we are almost at ground zero again, far worse off than where we started.

The internal CPD anchor point for consent decree implementation, the Office of Constitutional Policing, still has not been built to scale needed to sustain enterprise-wide reform.

In L.A., the equivalent office was 300 people strong. In Chicago, a larger department with far greater internal and external challenges and consent decree obligations, has generally operated with a staff ranging from 25 to 50 that has had constant cyclical turnover and cannibalization of resources. And yesterday its most recent head announced her sudden resignation.

Progress has met neither the timetables of the consent decree nor the greater urgency that is called for.

Lives are being lived and lives are being lost, and rank and file officers are being failed.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

The monitorship needs to be given license and charge by this court to be a greater public presence and force in voicing the resulting imperatives.

It is said that Chicago ain't ready for reform. The point of the consent decree is that Chicago must reform whether it is ready or not.

And as the city's former IG, I can state with certainty that the city must be prodded repeatedly and publicly to do so, notwithstanding court orders.

And I know that reform in Chicago will never occur behind closed doors through discussions among lawyers, lawyers who I respect but who ultimately are representing political actors.

Rough and -- reform in a rough and tumble city will necessarily be noisy and messy. A lot of the frustration from the public comes from the fact that they don't hear the noise and they don't see the mess because of the way the monitorship and the administration of the consent decree is occurring from the court.

In the absence of operational infrastructure and continuity in leadership and institutional knowledge in these circumstances, the Court and the monitor must be the driver in a very public and transparent way.

Beyond voluminous technical reports, the monitor must be charged with conducting its work and exercising voice

in a far more public way from an expansive interpretation of the consent decree, not merely from the cautious tethers of technical requirements and timetables, but based on its ultimate objectives, which is all the public rightly cares about.

The Court should consider giving the coalition plaintiffs and their counsel full standing to exercise voice publicly to enhance public legitimacy in the process and help drive the pace.

It should be troubling to the Court that the public sees little tangible benefits from \$14 million in fees and expenses paid for the monitor's work to date. There has been no apparent public-facing compliance with the Paragraph 618 requirements of a submission of an annual budget and comments from the parties, no transparency about what those fees -- what of those fees apply to technical assistance work.

It's more important that you hear from other people. I can make a written submission. I'm going to stop here. But we can't wait for milestone moments. Many of the provisions of the consent decree individually must be applied in a routine constant basis and utilizing the special master to resolve disputes that are long festering that are preventing the pace of reform that are needed.

I will provide additional comments through written submission.

1	And I thank everybody.
2	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Well, I thank you,
3	Mr. Ferguson. I very much appreciate your views, and I know
4	that you have some significant direct experience that should
5	be helpful to all of us. So thank you.
6	I think we have I guess Mr. Ferguson was No. 29.
7	I don't want to rush people for the reasons that were
8	mentioned.
9	Let's turn to Mr. Fred Hampton, Jr., and see if he
10	is here. We are going to wait and see whether he can turn
11	his microphone on.
12	MS. HICKEY: I believe he is in the attendee room.
13	So if we give him a couple
14	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. Good.
15	(Brief pause.)
16	MS. HICKEY: And, your Honor, could we also ask
17	I am trying look at his last name, but Jae Rice, if he would
18	raise his hand in the attendee room. It said that he is on,
19	but we can't identify him.
20	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Jae Rice, if you would let
21	us know where you are, and we will see if we can get hold of
22	you and get you on board here.
23	MS. HICKEY: I see Mr. Hampton is on, your Honor.
24	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. Mr. Hampton, would
25	you like to be heard?

1 MR. HAMPTON: Good afternoon. Forgive me, everyone. I'm actually in traffic. 2 Ι 3 had to pull over. I intended to speak first -- directly first, but I'm in traffic. 4 I guess my statement would be coming from personal 5 6 as well as an activist perspective from our interactions with 7 the Chicago Police Department. 8 I won't draw any foregone conclusions. I just wanted to say that my first contact with the Chicago Police 9 10 Department was actually when I was born, 11 December the 4th, 1969, in regard to the assassinations of my 12 father, Chairman Fred Hampton, and also Mark Clark, which I 13 said, my first pre- -- my first prenatal care -- my first 14 contact, as opposed to a doctor's stethoscope, was Chicago 15 Police Department's involvement. 16 And fast-forward today. It would be ideal if I 17 could see the relationship or the interaction with not only 18 myself but Black communities and oppressed people in general, 19 that if -- this change. But I would like to speak in specific of the 20 21 trauma -- the trauma, impact on -- the long-range impact not 22 only with the people that I've come in contact on a 23 day-to-day basis, but just in general. I -- I -- this case, it was just brought to --24 52 -- 53 years after the assassination of my father, 25

Chairman Fred Hampton, and Mark Clark, it just hit my -- it was brought to my attention -- my mother -- my mother's attention, who at the time, my mother-to-be, Deborah Johnson, that we -- to do this day, we wake up at approximately 4:30 a.m. every morning, to this day. And this -- we connect this to the fact that the assassinations occurred approximately at 4:30 a.m. in the morning.

And it would be ideal if this was an isolated situation, but in my community, every day we see blatant contradictions, such as the fact that -- like, in the White suburbs where there are protocols, the police will not -- they are silenced. They have to be placed on silent mode, take into account the impact our people -- the sound of sirens impact people.

Common -- you see the children faces where I grew up at -- some places include, like, Englewood,

North Lawndale -- just the impact of hearing sirens, just the impact of people just to mention the police.

People see on a constant basis when police pull up -- pull their parents over, coming through their doors, no-knock laws, so on and so forth.

So that's just one of the repercussions or ramifications or what have you that I just want to speak about pertaining to the interactions that myself and other people are subjected to in regards to Chicago Police

1 Department. 2 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Mr. Hampton, I thank you 3 for your comments. Thank you for participating in our 4 hearing this afternoon. 5 MR. HAMPTON: Thank you for having me. CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Now what about Jae Rice? 6 7 Did we hear from Jae Rice? 8 MS. HICKEY: I don't believe so, your Honor. There 9 were two Fred Hamptons in the attendee room. I don't know 10 who the other Fred Hampton is. Perhaps if they raise their 11 hand, they could let us know. But otherwise, I would 12 recommend that we head to the next person, and then we will 13 come back and call again. 14 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. We will just wait a 15 minute to see if the other Fred Hampton -- if there is 16 another Fred Hampton, that we hear from that person. 17 Otherwise, we are going to be moving on to 33, Olatunji Oboi 18 Reed. 19 (Brief pause.) 20 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. Can we ask for 21 Olatunji Oboi Reed to --22 MR. LEVIN: I know that Mr. Reed is on, so I think 23 he may just need one second just to go through the unmuting. 24 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. Great. 25 MS. HICKEY: Thank you.

MR. LEVIN: He just came on. 1 2 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Wonderful. Thank you. 3 I see you right now, Mr. Oboi Reed. You are 4 welcome to get started. 5 MR. OBOI REED: Hello. 6 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Hello. MR. OBOI REED: My name is Oboi -- Olatunji Oboi 7 8 Reed. CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Oboi. Okay. 10 MR. OBOI REED: I am the founding -- yes. I am the founding president and CEO of the 11 12 Equiticity Racial Equity Movement based in the North Lawndale 13 neighborhood on the West Side of Chicago. 14 Black and Brown communities in Chicago are 15 subjected to hundreds of thousands of brutal, racist, and 16 unnecessary police encounters every year. 17 CPD pulls over around 350,000 drivers and stops about 70,000 pedestrians every year. This strategy is not 18 19 working to combat crime, but it is highly damaging to communities of color, and it must change immediately. 20 21 CPD officers disproportionately stop Black and 22 Latinx residents in predominantly Black and Latinx 23 neighborhoods, mostly young men of color. 24 Black drivers in Chicago are five times more likely 25 to be stopped than White drivers. Latinx drivers are two

times more likely to be stopped than White drivers.

Traffic stops all too often escalate into officers beating, tasing, or even shooting people, as many high-profile incidents have shown.

You all may recall an officer shot and paralyzed a 13-year-old boy who ran from a traffic stop on the West Side in May of last year. The boy was unarmed and had his hands up to surrender when an officer shot him in the back. The officer who shot the child did not activate his body-worn camera as required.

For Black and Brown Chicagoans, traffic stops can be deadly. A study by the City of Chicago Office of Inspector General found that, among CPD traffic stops that involve an officer using force, 87.2 percent of such uses of force were against Black people.

CPD issues eight times more tickets to bicyclists riding bikes on the sidewalk in Black neighborhoods and three times as many in Latinx neighborhoods relative to White neighborhoods.

Meanwhile, minority neighborhoods are less likely to be provided with safe bike lanes for cycling.

Since 2016, about two-thirds of all investigatory stops were of Black residents while the city is only one-third Black.

Such pedestrian stops often mean officers throwing

young people over hoods of the cars. They throw them up against a wall with their arms and legs spread and conduct humiliating and traumatic pat-downs by shoving their hands down people's pants.

And almost all of the time this horrific treatment results in nothing but trauma for the people who are stopped. CPD reports that 95 percent of the traffic stops result in verbal warnings, not even a ticket.

Out of all Black drivers stopped by Chicago police in 2021, just half of 1 percent had contraband, guns, or drugs in their vehicle.

The vast majority of people that CPD stops have done nothing criminal; and if they are lucky not to be left with physical scars, are left only with the conclusion that the police are brutal and racist.

In short, as I close out, these high-volume, low-yield vehicle and pedestrian stops are not only ineffective, they are counterproductive.

CPD's policing strategy of conducting hundreds of thousands of random street and vehicle stops must end now. It is not making communities safer as proven by the fact that it yields little in the way of illegal guns or drugs.

It is, however, irrevocably eroding trust and respect between the police and the community.

Racially marginalized communities here in Chicago

1	after generational sustained this investment deserves better.
2	Thank you all.
3	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you very much,
4	Mr. Oboi Reed. You are a good speaker.
5	MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, it's my understanding that
6	Jae Rice is in the waiting room and is trying to get in. I
7	know you called
8	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Let's do that. Let's hear
9	from Jae Rice. That would be great.
10	MR. LEVIN: If we could just give 30 seconds or so
11	for Jae
12	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Absolutely. Sure.
13	MR. LEVIN: Thank you so much.
14	MS. HICKEY: Yes. And then we do know that
15	Ms. Proctor and Ms. Winters are in the waiting room, too.
16	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Great. We will be coming
17	right up to Ms. Proctor and Ms. Winters after we have hear
18	from Jae Rice. Thank you.
19	(Brief pause.)
20	MS. HICKEY: The problem may be I wonder if
21	Mr. Rice is the phone number in the attendee room.
22	Do you know, Josh?
23	MR. LEVIN: I'm not 100 percent sure.
24	MS. HICKEY: We are trying to locate him.
25	MR. SEPÚLVEDA: Maggie, I believe the phone number

is the court reporter. 1 2 MS. HICKEY: Oh, I'm sorry. 3 MR. LEVIN: Do you see Jae's email address? 4 MS. HICKEY: We do not. (Brief pause.) 5 MS. HICKEY: And we are able to see others. 6 7 What we can do is follow-up with Ms. Proctor and 8 move through. And then at the end, we will unmute every single person that's in the attendee room. 9 10 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: I think that's a good idea. 11 Let's go ahead with Ms. Proctor. 12 MS. HICKEY: We can't locate him in the room. 13 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Let's go ahead with 14 Ms. Proctor. 15 MS. PROCTOR: Thank you, your Honor, for the opportunity to testify. 16 My name is Jessica Proctor, and I'm a policy 17 18 analyst at Alternatives. 19 Alternatives is a not-for-profit that supports 20 Chicago youth to build safer communities through a 21 combination of restorative justice and behavioral health 22 services. 23 We work primarily with Black and Brown youth on the 24 South and West Sides of the city. Our youth know what it's 25 like to be humiliated, harassed, dehumanized, and

criminalized by the Chicago Police Department.

I will begin with a personal story.

When my brother was a young man, he was racially profiled by CPD. My mom has a beautiful black Porsche, which she was kind enough to let my brother borrow. He and his friends decided to drive downtown Chicago and grab some food from his favorite chicken restaurant.

Before he headed downtown, he drove to Auburn
Gresham to pick up a friend. In total, there were five young
Black men in his car. They were having a great time
listening to rap music before two cops -- one White man and
one Black man -- pulled him over. They came up to my
brother's window, gun in hand, and demanded all five boys
exit the car.

Now, for added context, my brother was a college football player. He is in great shape and rather broad-shouldered. The police officers put him in handcuffs for no other reason than his size. They proceeded to search the car without my brother's consent.

When they did not find any illegal substances, the White officer picked up a bottle of cough syrup on the road and proceeded to question them on whether they were high on cough syrup.

Eventually a crowd of onlookers began to draw and pressured the cops into leaving my brother and his friends

alone.

While a gun was put to my brother and he was placed in chains, he was lucky compared to many Black indigenous youths of color who encounter racial and gender violence by the hands of CPD -- Michael Elam, Jr., 17; Tyrone Dandridge, 20; Marvin Williams, 17; Martinez Winford, 16; Marcus Landrum, 18; Louise Cullen, 18; and, of course, Laquan McDonald, who was 16 years old -- all young men and all were killed at the hands of CPD.

Many of the youth we work with at Alternatives have been stopped, searched, and treated as criminals by the Chicago Police Department.

In 2017, the Justice Department, Civil Rights
Division, and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Northern
District of Illinois concluded their investigation into the
Chicago Police Department. They found what many Black and
Brown youth already knew from experience. CPD has engaged in
a pattern or practice of unreasonable force, including deadly
force, in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the
Constitution.

Sadly, this affects the history between Black indigenous people of color communities and the police.

The police were originally formed to carry out settler colonialism by possessing indigenous people from land and its resources.

1 During the 1700s, police were created to put down 2 slavery volumes and control slave populations. 3 In the northern part of the United States, they were created to break worker strikes. 4 During the Reconstruction era, police provided a 5 6 sense of security for White communities while terrorizing 7 Black communities. 8 During the civil rights movement in the 1960s, 9 policing centered around crowd control. Police used water 10 hoses, police dogs, tear gas, and other crowd control 11 measures to break up protests and peaceful sit-ins. 12 Since then, the police have evolved to incorporate 13 discriminatory practices, such as stop and frisk. 14 Today CPD continues to harass and brutalize Black, 15 Brown, indigenous, and working-class communities. The city of Chicago has never forced the police to 16 17 reckon with its history in a structural and transformative 18 Now we must utilize the consent decree to reform the 19 police and hopefully stop the terror. 20 Thank you so much for your time. 21 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you, Ms. Proctor. 22 appreciate that. 23 I want to see whether we have Jae Rice 24 available. Otherwise, I know Ms. Karen Winters is available, 25 or she was earlier.

Ī	
1	MS. HICKEY: We have been unable to locate
2	Mr. Rice. We have resent an email copying counsel on it,
3	too. So hopefully we will locate him. But, in the meantime,
4	I think we should move to oh, he is on.
5	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Great. Great.
6	Jae Rice, we are interested in hearing from you,
7	sir.
8	MS. HICKEY: It might take him a minute to
9	transfer.
10	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: That's fine.
11	(Brief pause.)
12	MR. RICE: Hello. Can you hear me?
13	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Yes, we can. Thank you,
14	Mr. Rice.
15	MR. RICE: Thank you. So sorry about that,
16	everyone.
17	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: No problem. You are
18	welcome to get started.
19	MR. RICE: So my name is Jae Rice. I am the deputy
20	CEO of Brave Space Alliance. We are the first Black-led,
21	trans-led, LGBTQ center in Chicagoland on the South Side.
22	Unfortunately, one of our biggest programs is our
23	funeral fund, and that is the fund where we are able to give
24	up to \$6,000 to families who have loved ones who have been
25	victims of anti-trans violence in Chicago.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One thing that we have to make sure that we are recognizing is that, unfortunately, Chicago holds the name and the record as the deadliest place for Black trans people in the world. Not just the Midwest. Not just the nation. It is the world. More Blacks and Brown trans people die in Chicago than anywhere else.

What that looks like with our relationship with the police in the Chicago Police Department is that, in over 20 years, there has not been a murder that's been solved for a trans person.

It's unfortunate that when we work with the Chicago Police Department and work with the different families that our organization helps, one of the biggest things that they always say is that, "We don't hear from anyone. No one has contacted us. No one is telling us about what's happened to our loved one."

The way that people are finding their loved one -we're not just talking about folks who are out on the streets. Our community members are being found in trash cans. Our community members are being found beheaded. Our community members are being beheaded on Facebook Live.

So when I think about that and I think about the access to technology that the Chicago Police Department has, I'm disappointed. I'm disgusted. And I'm wondering why, in over 20 years, there has not been a murder solved from a

1	Black a trans person, period, who has been murdered in
2	Chicago.
3	One thing that we would like to see as an
4	organization is, how does the Chicago Police Department plan
5	to build trust and put equity back into our communities?
6	Thank you all for your time, and I appreciate you.
7	I'm actually going to go get Stephanie right now.
8	That's Ms. Skora. And she has some additional words.
9	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you, Mr. Rice.
10	MR. LEVIN: And just to clarify, your Honor,
11	Stephanie was registered to speak as No. 10, but may not have
12	been available when her name was called earlier.
13	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: But we will hear from her
14	now; is that right?
15	MR. LEVIN: Correct.
16	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Great.
17	MS. HICKEY: Is she on the same link as Mr. Rice?
18	Do we know?
19	MR. LEVIN: Yes, I believe so.
20	(Brief pause.)
21	MS. HICKEY: I think he may have hung up, because I
22	no longer see his name.
23	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: It was Stephanie?
24	MS. HICKEY: Yes.
25	He is back in the attendee room, so we will re-up

him to this platform. 1 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: 2 Okay. 3 (Brief pause.) 4 MR. RICE: Hello. Can you hear me? CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Yes. 5 6 MR. RICE: Wonderful. Hold on one second, and I 7 will be right with you. 8 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. MR. RICE: We are getting to a place without any 9 10 background noise so you all can hear me loud and clear. 11 (Brief pause.) 12 Can you all hear me? MS. SKORA: 13 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Yes. 14 MS. SKORA: Okay. All right. I'm ready. 15 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Go right ahead. 16 MS. SKORA: The consent decree, obviously, is a key 17 part of ensuring that all marginalized communities in the 18 city of Chicago have the ability to know that the folks who 19 are entrusted with our safety can respect our basic human 20 rights. 21 Speaking as a transgender individual and as a 22 lesbian, I don't feel comfortable interacting with members of 23 the Chicago Police Department, because I do not know if they 24 are going to respect my human rights, if they are going to 25 respect my dignity, or they are going to respect my

1 (unin

(unintelligible).

Some may, but, unfortunately, the historical trend for interactions with my community is that we do not get the respect we deserve.

The consent decree is an important step forward in fixing that breach in trust between CPD and the communities they are assigned to.

LGBTQ people in this city need the consent decree to be fully implemented, to be enforced, and to be respected.

Black people in the city need the consent decree to be fully implemented, to be enforced, and every part of it to be respected.

Every marginalized community in our city relies on the consent decree, which is in place for a reason. We, as leaders in our communities, need these constraints to be put on CPD so that we can be safe in our interactions with the people who are entrusted with our safety.

Speaking, again, as a transgender individual, members of my community are cut down in the streets all too frequently. The solve rate of hate crimes against our community is shamefully low. Part of the reason for that is that our community members do not feel that they can trust the police with our most sensitive information, with our lives, and with our deaths. That's a damn shame.

We need to make sure not only can we feel respected

1	and trusted and trustful in our actions with police in life,
2	but that they take crimes committed against us seriously
3	after we are no longer here to advocate for ourselves. In
4	order to do that, they need the trust of our community. And
5	that is why we need the full enforcement, implementation, and
6	respect for the consent decree.
7	Thank you.
8	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you very much.
9	That was Stephanie Skora, correct?
10	MS. HICKEY: Yes.
11	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Great. Okay. Thank you.
12	Then I think we are ready for Ms. Winters at
13	No. 35.
14	MS. HICKEY: That's correct, your Honor. Just a
15	minute to transfer over.
16	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay.
17	(Brief pause.)
18	MS. HICKEY: Ms. Winters is on. I think she just
19	needs to unmute.
20	MS. WINTERS: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Maggie.
21	Thank you also, Judge Pallmeyer.
22	Oh, Jesus. I have so much I want to say. I have
23	just been listening to all the testimonials.
24	But I just would like to say to Ms. Billie Boxdale,
25	thank you for giving voice to the historic trauma of Black

people here in the city of Chicago.

And also, I kind of cut out on Fred Hampton, Jr., but thanks to his father and the Black Panther party, who were the first organization to call for community oversight of the police, that we have that in Chicago now under the current Communities for Public Safety ordinance. And I am -- I was newly elected as the 15th District Council member.

So I have heard a lot here today. I am almost brain-scrambled. But one thing I want to say is that, you know, for people who are saying that the consent decree is failing, the people are failing. CPD and the City are failing.

But I'm going to put some weight on community members, too, because some of us -- I'm speaking for the Campbell plaintiffs by the Coalition. We have been involved in this work since 2017, and it was hard for us to get organizations to join with us. And then even after the consent decree got passed, we were trying to do teachings in the community. We couldn't get people engaged. Couldn't get people out. And now all of a sudden it's the hot topic, so everybody is on the sideline chiming in.

Raise your sleeves up. Get in and help us do some work. Because had we had more people helping us with this heavy lifting, maybe we could have been putting more pressure on CPD. Maybe more changes could have been made. But that's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

not what's happened.

But this is what I would like to say. I want to be forward-thinking right now. We have a new mayor. We are about to have a new superintendent. We have a new judge. And we have a new oversight body over our police department. So we have some phenomenal things working on our behalf right now. So that's what we should be focused on. So everybody get in and do some work.

But what I wanted to talk about was the working groups.

So, your Honor, I'm pretty sure you are familiar with the working groups. And I was the cochair for the Use of Force Working Group. We had our working group back in 2020. And this was under the consent decree in terms of community engagement.

And we were supposed to have had -- whether it was simultaneously or whatever the case may have been, but following -- we were supposed to have had several -- multiple working groups in other areas, like in training and recruitment, SROs, women and gender, hiring and recruitment, CIT, critical -- CAT, critical assessment.

But after the Use of Force Working Group, CPD and the City dropped the ball. And not only did they drop the ball, but they deflated it.

And I know that the monitoring team, they started

25

doing deliberative dialogues around, like, policies and issues, but those -- what is my phone doing? -- those dialogues -- in my personal belief, I just -- and maybe, you know, Maggie can prove me wrong -- I just don't think they yielded what the Use of Force Working Group was able to yield, because we had over 34 community members present and working on that. We were working on the policies together.

And even though it was the first time -- so we had to kind of -- what they say, we were flying a plane and building at the same time.

But a lot of the language that CPD used around it, especially in terms of communities or that, we didn't know what we were doing.

But when they used terms for themselves, they would say, "Well, we're new at this." No. We were all new at it.

And the engagement with them, it was very hard.

They put up a lot of resistance, because they -- and I always say that they were just not ready to share power with community members, especially impacted community members.

So they even said that the group wasn't diverse enough, which wasn't true. We came up with half the people, and they gave us a list of half. We ranged from -- I think our youngest person might have been about 20 to 21 years old to almost 70 years old.

We had representation from all over the city. We

had transgender representation, LGBTQI representation. We had impacted people, people who -- a Jon Burge torture survivor. We had religious leaders in the group. We had lawyers in the group. We had organizational leadership in the group.

So it was diverse. We were everything that we

So it was diverse. We were everything that we needed to be. And I don't think they expected for the community to do the gravity of work that we did.

And so for them to discredit that and to undermine that in a time when they are constantly saying how they want to build trust, it just wasn't apparent, because that could have been a very good opportunity to build trust. And that's what we could have reported out to the community. Oh, no. Like, no, they're really working with us.

We had to push them so hard. They tried to get us to look at nine policy suites in six weeks. And there was just no way that we were able to do that.

And we had -- I think Maggie or the Attorney

General or somebody had to pretty much force their hand for
them to continue doing work with us.

And we ultimately -- I think we really just wrapped up -- let me see. We started this in 2020/21. We just wrapped up, maybe, with our last report, which all working groups should do. After they make their recommendations to CPD, they should also follow-up and see the training, because

we were able to do that. And that was a very important measure.

But that's what I'm saying. I don't know how it needs to happen or who has to get this done, but we need to bring -- all those other areas that should have had working groups, we need to have those working groups.

And, to be honest, I don't even know if it needs to be 30 or 34 people, because at the end of it all, it got down to about 12, maybe 14 people. And that is really when the bulk of the work started to get done.

I know the then-mayor had to push it out, but it could be a smaller group. But that also gives opportunity -- community opportunity to kind of get an inner workings so they can see what we are up against.

But it also, on some level -- and I have to give credit to some of the officers that were there, like Hapistanic (phonetic) and I know D.S. Bork (phonetic) is no longer there. But I think over time, I really do believe they started to get it. You know what I'm saying?

And I know it's a culture, and I know it's, you know -- and it's not just a Chicago culture. This is things that are happening nationally. Right? So it's not just Chicago.

But Chicago is also in a very special and unique time right now. And I just want us to seize this moment and

1 take full advantage of what is in front of us, because it is all about public safety. Everything relates to public 2 3 safety. 4 And that's one of the things about -- in the 5 ordinance -- the ECPS ordinance, it says that when 6 communities work with their policing department, it could 7 really begin to circumvent a lot of the crimes and violent 8 things that are happening because people have some semblance 9 of trust. 10 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Right. MS. WINTERS: That's what I'm pushing at. We --11 12 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: That's wise. I think 13 that's -- I think you're exactly right. 14 Your history with this is very useful to me. But I 15 think you are exactly right, that we are all safer and better 16 off if relationships with the police are healthy and 17 effective. 18 So thank you very much for your comments, Ms. Karen 19 Winters. I think -- are we ready for No. 36, Mr. Terrell 20 21 Barnes? 22 MS. HICKEY: Yes. He is in the queue. It might 23 just take 30 minutes to a minute to switch to him. 24 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: We will get him on. Thank 25 you.

(Brief pause.) 1 2 MR. LEVIN: I am trying to turn on the video and 3 getting a message that the host has stopped it. 4 MS. HICKEY: We will do our best to turn it back 5 on. CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: 6 Great. 7 MR. BARNES: Perfect. 8 I would like to start by saying, thank you, Judge Pallmeyer, and all of you for staying on this call for 9 10 the long haul for this most important issue. 11 My name is Terrell Barnes. I'm the policy and 12 organizing manger for the Community Renewal Society. We are 13 136 years old, a faith-based organization that works with the 14 communities to address issues of race and poverty. 15 A section within our platform for renewal and focus 16 is on the Chicago police consent decree. 17 I'm here on behalf of our member congregation of 18 churches that make up the active members of our Chicago 19 faith-based community. CRS is here to lend our voice in support to the 20 21 work of the coalition. I'm here on behalf of our member 22 congregations to carry a simple message. I will be brief. 23 CPD must -- we need to ensure that community voices 24 are heard. We are all at the table with respect to the 25 consent decree going forward.

1 This monitor and this checking-in process is great. 2 but the daily -- when the rubber meets the road, the 3 community needs to be involved, and that's the faith-based 4 community. That's all. And the goal of the consent decree is ultimately to 5 6 restore the trust between the police and the community. And we're just asking the community has a voice. We are here. 7 8 We are ready to help restore that trust. 9 Us, on behalf of the faith-based community, offer 10 CPD to keep the faith-based community front of mind when it 11 comes to moving toward a community we all aspire to. A basic 12 message of accountability begins with a true accounting and 13 bringing everyone to the table. I would like to just echo 14 and reiterate that. 15 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you so much, 16 Mr. Barnes. Thank you very much. 17 Dorothy Holmes, No. 37. 18 MS. HICKEY: I do not believe she is in the 19 attendee's waiting room. CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Well, let's keep her on the 20 21 list. 22 We will move on to Tiffaney Boxley, if she is 23 available. I do not believe she is in the waiting 24 MS. HICKEY: room either, but I do know that, on your list, Rebecca 25

1	Raines, Rebecca Cook, is available, who is No. 39.
2	(Brief pause.)
3	MS. HICKEY: I think we lost the Judge. So if
4	everyone will just hold on for one minute, we will move
5	No. 39, Rebecca Raines, over to be available to speak while
6	we have the Judge redial in.
7	MS. RAINES: Is it not time?
8	MS. HICKEY: You know what? Rebecca, it is time
9	for you, but just as you came over, the Judge I think the
10	Judge is rejoining us now.
11	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Yes. I got turned into an
12	attendee. The host turned me into an attendee. So I had to
13	rejoin as a panelist.
14	So I'm back on board, and I would love to hear from
15	who's next. Is that Rebecca Raines?
16	MS. HICKEY: Yes.
17	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Good first name. Good
18	first name, Ms. Raines. I would like to hear from you.
19	MS. RAINES: Thank you.
20	My name is Cook now, but I respond to Raines.
21	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay.
22	MS. RAINES: I am coming here from the Chicago West
23	Side branch of the NAACP let me turn my camera on
24	Chicago West Side branch of the NAACP. And we have a lot of
25	things to say in regards to the consent decree.

We have been a part of the Campbell plaintiffs since 2017. We were working on this issue since before the consent decree was passed and before we started the lawsuit five years -- or was that six years ago now?

So I was listening to CPD make their kind of -- I don't know -- introduction at the beginning of this meeting around 10:00 something this morning. And she made the statement that it takes 60 days for a comment period to go through and that nothing can really happen until that comment period happens, and so basically giving themselves an excuse for why there are not more CPD policies.

And I kind of did a little bit of math. And I kind of came up with, in 60 days -- there are thirty 60-day increments in five years. So that means that there have been 30 opportunities for policy within the past five years. And you mean to tell me that in that long amount of time we could not figure out how to get one policy through?

I've heard them say all the reasons about why policy couldn't come about, but I didn't hear them say how many policies have come about.

I think it's been about two years since I have been in a public comment period to remark on a policy that came through CPD, and even that policy was not encouraged by the community because it was in opposition to an ordinance that we were trying to put through on home raids.

So I really don't know what they are doing. And she said that they are working hard every day, but you can't be working hard every day if you're not producing any results.

And so there's no more excuses. No more talking about, oh, we changed mayors. We changed mayors twice now.

And we don't know where the bathroom is, so there's no way possible that we can tell you how to get this thing going or why a policy isn't there.

Something else has to be done. They have to be coming under some consequence for the fact that they are not meeting their goals consistently and with nothing but excuses in that place.

So we need some better enforcement, something better to say what's going to happen moving forward, because we can do this for another five years.

All the stories that we have heard -- the personal stories that we have heard from people can be our same story 5, 10, 15 years from now. And how sad would that be?

And so my other point that I wanted to make is a little bit personal, because at the NAACP, we represent a community of people. We say all -- in the meantime, our policies are not happening and we're suffering. But we live in the communities that we represent.

So we are suffering on both sides. Not only are we

1 2 3

worrying about police officers who are disrespecting us and shooting at people in the street and pulling their guns for no reason, but we are also worried about the criminals that live within our community that are shooting us down.

I recently -- a few months ago, I had an aunt who was killed. And the person who shot her went around, did a -- stole a car in Oak Park. Did a driving spree where they went around and shot four people. And when they went around making target practice, they shot her in her face as she got out of her car, just taking her daughter -- bringing her daughter home from work. And she was murdered right in front of her house just because he felt like seeing if his gun worked.

Those kinds of things can happen when the police do not have their stuff together. When they are not able to use all the technology -- they make the claim for the ShotSpotter. They make the claim about why they need to be on the streets stopping people and why this harassment is necessary. But then when someone is actually shooting people and making a trail throughout the city -- and he had a person who was on house arrest in his car, which means that he could be monitored while they stole this car and were driving it around -- they could not be caught because, as they said, police can't be everywhere, but they are everywhere harassing people. And we are suffering when they are doing these kinds

of things, like I said, on both sides. 1 2 So we are here to make the plea that some action be taken. Judge, we are asking you to come through and put down 3 4 some kind of order about what needs to happen about the fact 5 that they are not meeting their goals. 6 They think the consent decree is a joke. They think the community is a joke. They are part of this 7 8 community. So it's not an us versus them. Black officers, when they take off their uniform, 9 they are still Black. They still get harassed, too. 10 11 So we still -- we all need it. This is not 12 something -- us against them that we need to say only this 13 happens for this group. 14 So I say that to say, we are looking to you to put 15 down some kind of order, some kind of enforcement to bring 16 these policies about. It is not -- it's not a game for us 17 even if it's a game for them. 18 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you so much, 19 Ms. Cook. I very much appreciate your comments. I take them 20 very seriously. Okay. Thank you, Ms. Cook. 21 I guess -- she is No. 39. Do we have 37 or 38, 22 Dorothy Holmes or Tiffaney Boxley, with us? 23 MS. HICKEY: We do not, your Honor. 24 I do see No. 40 is in the attendee room. And then 25 No. 41 already went. No. 42 is in the Communities United

1 room. 2 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. 3 MS. HICKEY: So we have two more speakers coming up 4 quick, and then I believe there are some others in the 5 attendee room. 6 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okav. Well, let's go with 7 Michael Harrington, No. 40, would be next. 8 (Brief pause.) 9 MR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Looks like I'm set. 10 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Yes. Go right ahead, 11 Mr. Harrington. 12 MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you very much. Thank you, 13 Judge Pallmeyer. I appreciate it. 14 I'm Michael Harrington. I'm cochair of Network 49, 15 our civic and issues organization in Chicago's North Side Rogers Park community. 16 17 We are a number of Campbell plaintiffs and the 18 joint community police and Use of Force Community Working 19 Group. Today I call your attention to the Court's powerful 20 21 authority to improve in a range of areas, but especially 22 Chicago's multimillion dollar police training program, which 23 teaches officers to fear Chicago residents as potential 24 threats, teaches and fails to minimize -- fails to minimize 25 police violence, and also teaches officers how to justify and

1 cover it up.

In March, our working group released a report detailing what happens at the Chicago Police Training Academy. It's titled "Chicago Police Training Teaches Officers That Their Lives Matter More Than Community Lives."

We documented how training is conducted, what officers are and are not taught, and what they do and don't learn about using force. We cited problems and proposed solutions.

There is a widely held belief in training that it is a foundation of professional policing.

We ask you to consider the human and financial costs of failed training and when it is contrary to policy and our values.

I think about these costs when I recall the terror I felt one morning years ago. I walked into my regular CTA station. Six plain clothes officers suddenly emerged from the crowd. With guns drawn, they grabbed and handcuffed me.

Months earlier an armed robber had shot the station attendant. Police planned a stakeout to capture a criminal who supposedly looked like me. It was a case of mistaken identity.

I got a "We will be watching you" warning instead of an apology after they released me from the Belmont area lockup later that night.

Through improved training, CPD must acknowledge who is hurt most by its failure. Black Chicagoans are more likely than Whites to be victims of police misconduct and police violence.

The sanctity of all human life is CPD's highest priority. Officers must prioritize deescalation and use the least amount of force necessary. However, during days spent at the police academy, we discovered that training teaches the exact opposite due to fundamental flaws in its design and implementation.

CPD's one-day use-of-force training undermines its own policy and encourages the problematic culture that led to the consent decree.

Officers attend training because they are required and paid. However, attention is where many fail. The CPD does not devote enough time to training. There are too few quality instructors and too many students per instructor. Exhausted officers fell asleep in class because they worked the night before. Officers showed resistance to training.

Everyone talks about building trust. The lack of community perspective in training is its major weakness.

Officers do not consider their actions from the perspective of community members.

A repeated theme in training is that an officer's first priority is to go home at the end of the day because

their lives are seen as worth more than community member lives. This "us against them" mentality teaches police to fear us.

Also, instead of learning how to document use of force, it was appalling to watch training on how to justify and even cover up police brutality.

What we observed in some of the hands-on training scenarios was deeply troubling. When faced with uncertainty, officers drew their guns, pointed them at the simulated community members. That's a life-altering trauma, especially for children.

For the safety of officers and Chicagoans, CPD must follow best practices and prohibit officers from automatically pointing their guns at people.

Judge Pallmeyer, Chicago approved nearly \$2 billion for this year's CPD budget and also built a new training facility. More millions of dollars are thrown at the problem, millions for lawyers to defend CPD against lawsuits and to compensate mostly Black and Brown victims of police abuse and violence.

Millions more fuel the work of several related City agencies and the consent decree monitor.

Every penny relates to the need for consent decree compliance, yet oversight work is thwarted because CPD won't acknowledge or redress a root problem: its historic and

CPD

1 ongoing racism and bias. This failure sends a message. does not think it's a real issue or that it's anything that 2 3 officers should care about. 4 I will conclude by sharing that in March, our 5 Use of Force Community Working Group had one perfunctory Zoom 6 meeting with CPD officials about our training report. We are 7 accustomed to them not really welcoming or accepting critical 8 community feedback; and, thus, even though we asked, there 9 has been no follow-up and only silence from CPD. 10 Consent decree goals are not met when CPD ignores 11 the people they serve and protect. 12 Thank you. 13 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you, Mr. Harrington. 14 Do we have Mr. Mark Maxson with us? 15 MS. HICKEY: Yes, your Honor. 16 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Mr. Maxson, you are welcome 17 to go ahead and speak to us. 18 MR. MAXSON: Okay. There was a speaker earlier. 19 His name was Eric Wilkins. I believe his number was 25. I'm his older brother. He has been in this work for 32 years 20 21 now. 22 Since I have been released from prison for 23 something that I didn't do, there are -- I still have issues 24 because I have a pending case against the police department 25 and the City of Chicago. I could understand what the

1 gentleman before was speaking of.

There is a Chicago Torture Justice Memorial that was cited to be built but has never been built since 2015. We have had Rahm Emanuel. We have had Lightfoot. And now we have Johnson as mayor. And they still haven't put it forward.

I'm a survivor of that. My judge, my prosecutor, and on up the prosecuting side of my case are related to Jon Burge in some type of way.

I have a certificate of innocence that was given to me, but when I get pulled over on a traffic stop, it's the first thing that pop up, is that I was detained or did 25 years for something that I didn't do.

I wonder why that is, if you have a certificate of innocence, that that pops up?

The system is corrupt a bit, I think. The City of Chicago has never apologized to me, and that's very offensive to me, because it's like bringing up the same case all over again. It's harmful to my family. I mean, it makes me angry sometimes. But I'm in therapy, so I adjust.

My thing was just to make it known and come out in support of what my brother's work is, because I find that inspiring, and it gives me something to do as well.

People aren't as ignorant as you may think they are. I'm grateful just to be here and to acknowledge that

the injustice still exists. 1 2 So for me, again, I can't take an apology from the 3 City of Chicago because they never apologized to me since I 4 have been home, which I find quite interesting. Those are 5 the slaps in the face that I have to deal with. 6 I'm happy to be here again. I just want to speak lightly and introduce myself to this genre of policing, 7 8 because I just wouldn't like this to happen again to any 9 family, because my family is going through it again. 10 like opened up an old wound and going over the same -- you 11 know, pouring salt in it. It's not a great feeling at all. 12 So with that being said, I hope everybody is 13 getting some type of justification out of this, because the 14 justice for me still hasn't happened yet. The City of 15 Chicago has never offered me a nickel or even an apology. 16 That's unacceptable. 17 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Mr. Maxson, I appreciate your time and your thoughtful comments. Thank you. 18 19 I believe 43 and 44 have dropped out. 20 45 is Roxanne Smith. 21 MR. LEVIN: Your Honor, she is here in the 22 Communities United room, but we need a minute just to 23 transition things. 24 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: That's fine. Just let us 25 know when you are ready, but take your time.

1	(Brief pause.)
2	MS. HICKEY: And, your Honor, I believe after that,
3	we do have Alderman Martin and Cordell Williams are
4	available. And then Crista Noël has rejoined and stabilized
5	her computer. So if you will add her as 48 to the list.
6	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: I will do that right now.
7	So we have got
8	MS. HICKEY: I thought I would take care of some
9	housekeeping while we had a minute.
10	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Right.
11	So we will have Ms. Smith and then Alderman Martin
12	and then Cordell Williams and then Crista Noël.
13	MS. HICKEY: Correct. And then go through
14	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Then we will go back to the
15	beginning and see who might be here.
16	MS. HICKEY: We will unmute the attendees and see
17	if there is anyone else.
18	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: All right. Good.
19	MS. HICKEY: I apparently did not move in a long
20	time, because all of my lights turned off. So I'm just going
21	to stand up.
22	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay.
23	MR. LEVIN: We will be ready with Ms. Smith in one
24	second, your Honor. Thank you.
25	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: That's great. Thank you.

1 Thank you very much. 2 (Brief pause.) CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Ms. Smith, I think I see 3 4 you. MS. SMITH: 5 Hi. 6 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Go right ahead. MS. SMITH: All right. Thank you for having me 7 8 today, your Honor. 9 My name is Roxanne Smith. I'm a mother of three. 10 I live in the Austin neighborhood. 11 I'm a leader with Communities United, and I have 12 been fighting for years for real change in policing, because 13 my family has survived police violence over and over again, 14 and just like so many Black families in Chicago as well. 15 In particular, I have seen how CPD brutalizes 16 people with disabilities, and I have witnessed how the police 17 lie under oath. 18 My son, Seneca Smith, was shot six times by the 19 police in 2004. He is alive, but he was wrongfully convicted 20 because the police lied under oath. 21 And according to the *Sun-Times*, a major newspaper 22 in Chicago, they wrote a story on my son and how the police 23 officer's story doesn't even add up. The police officers 24 falsified the reports and contradicted themselves. 25 What Seneca went through still impacts him today,

and it impacts me as a mother. We are still fighting this case 18 years later for something that he didn't do.

I just lost a son, who had Fragile X syndrome, in April, and I buried him. And he had some issues with the police officers because of his anxiety. He had an anxiety attack, and they just came in and -- that's another story. But they just immediately handcuffed him like he was a criminal. All I needed was some support to get him some help.

I would like to thank the Office of Inspector General for releasing the enforcement of the Chicago Police Department's rule against false reports, a report which demands that the Chicago Police Department improve and enforce Rule 14, which prohibited members of the Chicago Police Department from making a false report, written or oral.

This report was released 23 years after my son was brutalized by the Chicago Police Department. Although I'm appreciative of the Office of Inspector General for releasing this report, we all know that people have been demanding police reform for decades. It should not take a report to call for the police department to make improvements in order for the City to listen.

Particularly Black and Brown folks know the injustices that we are up against, and we demand that the

1 necessary changes are implemented and our voices and lived 2 experiences are taken into consideration. 3 I spoke about this last time, six months ago, right 4 in the courtroom to you. And nothing has changed. And I will come back again and speak again in the next six months. 5 6 You all will know me because change needs to happen. 7 I don't mean to be bold, but there better be some 8 I'll be back. They will see me again. changes. And thank you for hearing me. I appreciate your 9 10 time. 11 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you. And thank you 12 for coming back. Thank you for sticking with us. 13 MS. SMITH: Yes, ma'am. You are welcome. 14 CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Is Alderman Martin next? 15 MS. HICKEY: Yes, your Honor. We just need a 16 minute. 17 (Brief pause.) ALDERMAN MARTIN: Good afternoon. Can you hear me 18 19 okay? CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Yes. 20 21 ALDERMAN MARTIN: Great. Good afternoon, Judge, and Monitor Hickey and others. 22 23 I'm Alderman Matt Martin from the 47th Ward. 24 is the second time I have joined you all to provide input in 25 terms of my perspective regarding the consent decree as not

only the alderman of the 47th Ward but also as formerly an employee of the Attorney General's office during which time I helped work on consent-decree-related issues, including the drafting initially on some parts of the consent decree, including around transparency and data management.

I want to start by underscoring that safety for all Chicagoans is a top priority of my ward service office. I very much assume all of my colleagues on the City Council and the mayor's office would say the same.

I fervently believe that the public safety we all need and deserve at this critical moment in Chicago's history requires our police department as well as all of our public safety institutions, not just the police department, to respect the rights of all Chicagoans, to be accountable to all of our communities, and to be transparent with regard to outcomes and operations.

The consent decree, in my opinion, must be a critical component in our city's public safety plan. And it's my expectation that City Council and the mayor's office will prioritize compliance and implementation.

As many, if not all, of you know, our new term as city leaders began just three weeks ago. And in that short period of time, I have had multiple conversations with other alderpeople as well as members of the mayor's office who are in fact deeply committed to working with the monitoring team,

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

the Attorney General's office, the Coalition, and this Court to ensure that consent decree -- the consent decree fulfills its transformational potential.

To be clear, it's not the box-checking exercise that I think too often it's been treated as.

As others have mentioned, including our former Inspector General earlier today, yes, we have seen some modest improvements with regard to consent decree compliance. But with preliminary compliance -- we're talking about substantial compliance, full compliance, where the rubber really hits the road -- and we see whether and to what extent reform can really take hold. My personal vantage point is, we have been especially challenged there.

And while I understand that there have been a lot of turnover in key positions, it's important for us to move forward constructively and collaboratively. And knowing that when we have had order of City Council turnover in a short period of time, we have had a new mayor and some vacancies in critical positions, that I want to make sure that our other partners outside of elected office know that what's happened over the last several years with regard to consent decree, in my opinion, is not a path forward.

When I look at continued opportunities, as have been outlined in the monitor's various reports, around community input involving CPD policies, particularly early in

the drafting stages; when I look at supervisor-to-officer ratios 10 to 1 needing to be achieved, expanding officer wellness, suicide prevention resources, as well as improving data collection management and analysis, I see the biggest and heaviest lifting is before us, not behind us.

I think collectively, as City leaders in an elected capacity, we have to acknowledge what's worked well but also what hasn't. And I would respectfully ask the other leaders who have been involved with the consent decree to consider the same.

And I don't bring that up from a buck-passing perspective, but more I think that the accountability structures that have been in place in recent months and years can be tightened, can be strengthened, because if we were able over this five-year period of time to fully implement the consent decree, we might not have needed it to begin with.

Five years was known by many, if not most, that that was going to be an initial period, but that was very certainly going to need to be extended, especially when you look at other jurisdictions' experiences with consent decrees, putting aside the COVID pandemic and how disruptive that's been.

So I am, at bottom, encouraged with the modest movement of the new people in office that I have seen, but I

1	do think that more aggressive and more creative structures
2	for accountability will be needed as we put the worst of
3	COVID in the rearview and have few, if any, other things to
4	point to.
5	So thank you for your time, and thank you for the
6	opportunity to speak.
7	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you very much for
8	being involved in the process, Mr. Martin.
9	Okay. I think Cordell Williams is with us.
10	MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, we did not see him in the
11	attendee room. So I would suggest we go to Crista Noël.
12	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Crista Noël.
13	MS. HICKEY: I will have to double-check that.
14	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. Crista Noël, if you
15	could step up, we would love to hear from you.
16	MS. HICKEY: She will just need a minute to
17	transfer over.
18	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Okay. Good.
19	MS. NOËL: Hello. Hello. I'm back. Thank you.
20	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you.
21	MS. NOËL: I believe I was thank you, Judge.
22	I believe I was finishing up.
23	Yeah. So they went downstairs into the basement,
24	and they took all my father's legal weapons out of the house.
25	My father wrote a letter back to CPD and asked them for the

weapons back.

I will tell you what we had.

We had an old shotgun that my grandfather used to hunt with. We had a .30-06. My dad had a Beretta. And we had a Japanese World War II rifle that one of our cousins brought back from World War II. He was a Buffalo soldier.

So they took all the weapons. My father wrote a letter and asked for them back. And he told me that there was a big White guy in a white shirt that came to him and basically said that he was not gonna get his weapons back.

And I thought that that was so disrespectful of our family, of the tenure that we had in the community -- my grandmother had been living in the police since the '70s -- early '70s, like 1970 -- and disrespectful of my father as a veteran.

So I moved to an incident that I experienced at the Veterans Administration Building when I was with my father taking him over there. The police drove -- and I'm not sure if I have told this story to you, Judge. I may have only told it to Maggie. But the police drove up, and they blocked all of the area for the valet parking. Two cars drove up, and they pulled a vet out the back of the car, who was hollering and screaming.

Instead of going into the VA building and saying, "We have a vet out here. You guys need to come out. Calm

him down. Bring some doctors downstairs," or whatever.

"He's sitting in the back seat. We got a call on him," or whatever happened. They drag him out. Pick him up by his legs and his arms and bring him into the VA hospital.

I run into the hospital and tell them they got a vet out here that they are bringing in. When you do that, the folks at the VA -- I don't know if you have ever been at Jesse Brown -- they immediately start running around, getting done what they need to do in order to come out and handle any veteran in any crisis.

And, like I said, these cops never went inside.

Never told them they had a vet outside, and just treated this vet like crap, right?

And he's saying as he's going in, "I hate you mother fuckers." Right?

So I'm, like, "I'm down with you, man, because this is not the way you are supposed to be treated."

So with that being said, your Honor, I personally don't believe that we can talk this away. I believe that these testimonies are good to put things on the record, but we will not be able to talk this away.

This needs dismantling, and it needs a lot of community engagement, and it needs people within the Chicago Police Department that are serious about, not reform, but transforming and dismantling the structure. We don't have

that yet.

This takes revolutionary thought. It's not going to work conservatively. Right? We are not going to start treating people with neurological mental health disabilities. We are not going to get police officers to start treating these people with respect, period, through training.

We have to start recruiting different people, and we have to recruit different ways. We cannot call them commanders. They have to be regional managers, managers, you know. They have to have a whole new way. Militarizing our police department is not the way to do it.

So with that being said, I heard a lot about ending arbitrary arrests and stop these unnecessary stops. While they are going around shooting our loved ones, they are stopping people for nothing.

So I put the Bland Chavez Act on the table to end arbitrary arrests. I hope that I receive your support as well as your support in creating the Leaders' Working Group, because I'm a little upset about hearing that the sexual assault policy is out. And we really haven't had an opportunity to look at it and give our consent.

CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Thank you so much for those comments. I appreciate the time you spent with us today, Ms. Noël.

I know that we have Mr. Cordell Williams at the end

1	of the list here, but I want to I think Ms. Hickey
2	suggested what we could do is unmute everybody and find out
3	whether there are people on the list who are waiting. I
4	would like to hear from you if you are here and have not yet
5	had a chance to speak.
6	MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, we have checked the
7	attendee room, and every person in the attendee room has had
8	an opportunity to speak.
9	I would just ask Josh, who has the Communities
0	United room, if there is anyone in his room that has not had
1	an opportunity to speak and would like to speak now.
2	MR. LEVIN: Thank you very much for checking,
3	Maggie. Everyone on our end has already gone. So we are
4	good.
5	MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, I believe everyone that is
6	attending in the attendee's room and also on the Webinar
7	currently have had an opportunity to speak.
8	CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: Well, I have a few comments
9	I would like to make then.
20	First, I want to thank all of you. I have been
21	taking some notes as you make comments because you have
22	spurred some ideas in my mind about what steps we ought to be
23	taking.
24	I know that there is a lot of impatience out there.
5	I feel very strongly that we do need to make progress, and

that if we don't, we can't move forward as a city in the way
that I think everybody who loves Chicago would like to see
that happen.

I think some of the things that you have suggested to me suggests concrete ways that we should be looking at the problem and ways that we can actually measure results, because I know one of the frustrations all of you have is that, to the extent that we are getting results here, they don't seem like they are going in the right direction or they don't seem very robust. So we do need to find ways to measure what's happening and make sure the message gets through.

I know that we are going to be doing this again in person in about six months, and I am looking forward to that. I think sometimes -- obviously this method that we had today worked very well because of the hard work of the people who put the whole hearing together. That doesn't include me. I was a participant like the rest of you. But I know that it's a lot of work and coordination, and it worked well. But I also think there is a lot of value in our getting together. As we move past the pandemic to a greater degree, it's going to be possible for us to do that.

I am hoping that by the time we get together the next time, there is going to be some concrete results and some concrete plans that all of you feel like are not the

final answer but are moving in the right direction. 1 That's important to me. 2 3 Again, thank you. I know it was a long day, and 4 you were sitting here looking at a screen just like I was, 5 and that's not always easy. I know that we all think that the consent decree 6 7 and the effort to make it work is an extremely important one. 8 I know that your time devoted to it is an indication of your own commitment to this project and making it work. So thanks 9 10 again. 11 I look forward to seeing many of you again when we 12 get together. In the meantime, you are always welcome to 13 communicate with me or certainly with the monitor about your 14 own views and what suggestions you might have about how we 15 move forward. 16 If there is nothing further, I think we can 17 Is there anything else I should be thinking about adjourn. 18 our talking about right now? 19 MS. HICKEY: No, your Honor. CHIEF JUDGE PALLMEYER: All right. Well, thanks 20 21 once again. I think we are adjourned for today. And I will be in touch with the monitor, of course. And you will all be 22 23 hearing from us. Thank you. 24 MS. HICKEY: Thank you. MS. NOËL: Thank you. Take care and be safe. 25

1	(An adjournment was taken at 4:04 p.m.)
2	* * * *
3	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
4	record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
5	/s/ Frances WardAugust 7, 2023.
6	Official Court Reporter F
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	