1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION		
3	STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	Case No. 17 C 6260
4	Plai	ntiff,)	
5	٧.)	
6	CITY OF CHICAGO,)	Chicago, Illinois August 12, 2025
7	Defe	ndant.)	1:02 p.m.
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - PUBLIC HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE REBECCA R. PALLMEYER		
9	APPEARANCES:		
10	For the State:	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	
11		ABIGAIL	ANDRE LOWRY, JR. ROSE DURKIN
12 13			. BASS EHLER lph Street, 11th Floor
14	For the City:		IUS & HOLLISTER LLP
15	For the City:	BY: ALLAN T	
16		Chicago, Ill	
17	For the Monitor:	ARENTFOX SCH BY: MARGARE	IFF LLP T A. HICKEY
18		ANTHONY	-RAY SEPULVEDA r Drive, Suite 7100
19		Chicago, Ill	
20	Also Present:	Jill May, DH	PSA, City of Chicago R, City of Chicago
21		·	nt Larry Snelling, CPD
22	Court Reporter:	Official Cou	
23		Chicago, Ill	orn Street, Room 2504 inois 60604
24	* * * *		
25	PROCEEDINGS REPORTED BY STENOTYPE TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION		

(Proceedings commenced by video:)

THE COURT: Okay. Great. Good afternoon, everyone. We're here for our monthly status update and public hearing on our progress with respect to the Consent Decree.

I want to begin by thanking you for being here.

Looking forward to hearing from at least some of you. And reminding you that the record is being made by the court reporter, and that is not only the official record but it's the only appropriate record. And you must not be broadcasting, recording, or otherwise trying to convey the proceedings publicly, online, or in any other way. That's a matter of court rules and court policy.

I think we're going to hear brief opening remarks from the Monitor.

MS. HICKEY: Yes, Your Honor.

Thank you very much and thank you for convening this monthly status hearing. For those of you joining for the first time, my name is Maggie Hickey and I'm the Independent Monitor for the Consent Decree.

In today's hearing, you will hear from the City of Chicago, the Chicago Police Department, and the Office of the Attorney General regarding the CPD's Know Your Rights campaign and updates on the implementation of the DCI Consulting Group recommendations regarding promotion processes.

Last month, we did go over the allotted time for the

public hearing and the parties and I have worked together to make some updates to today's agenda to make sure we are able to delve deeper into topics without going over time.

Originally, the parties had intended to also discuss updates on the early intervention system and field training officers, but those updates will now be provided on a later date. When agendas are set or revised, the Independent Monitoring Team will work to keep those agendas updated on our website, CPDMonitoringTeam.com.

Before turning it over to the City of Chicago and the CPD for their presentations, I wanted to first highlight that on July 30th, 2025, the Independent Monitoring Team provided the parties with a draft Monitoring Report for the 12th reporting period. This draft report provided our assessments of the City of Chicago and the CPD's compliance efforts through June 30th of 2025, as we continue to work with the parties to file and release the report, which will be in early October.

And finally, as a reminder, next month's public hearing will include opportunities for public comment and will take place on Tuesday, September 9th, from 1 to 4:30 p.m. That will be virtually again by Zoom. The Court does intend to host a Saturday public hearing in the first quarter of 2026.

With that, Your Honor, I'd like to turn it over to the City and CPD for their presentations. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Slagel?

MR. SLAGEL: Sure. Good afternoon, Your Honor.

We have two presentations for you today. But as we've done in the past, to make sure that we communicate as much as possible on upcoming engagements, first you see here is engagements on the City's deescalation-use of force policies. And if people are interested in participating in any of those, you can scan the QR code. Keep this up for a moment and then we'll put this screen up again, Your Honor, at the end of our presentation. So if anybody misses it or joins late, they'll have that opportunity.

With that, I'll turn it over to Mike Milstein, who will be doing a presentation on the City's Know Your Rights campaign.

MR. MILSTEIN: Good afternoon. Can everybody hear me okay?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MILSTEIN: Awesome. Great.

Good afternoon, everyone. Good afternoon, Judge.

Thank you for the opportunity to give this presentation today.

My name is Mike Milstein. I'm a deputy director with the

Office of Equity & Engagement for Chicago Police Department.

I'm grateful to talk about our Know Your Rights campaign.

So just a quick update and kind of a quick overview of how the campaign came to be. It really started with Paragraph

28 of the Consent Decree, which states that CPD will, with the assistance of the Office of Community Policing, institute a public awareness campaign with the public at least once a year about various topics related to individual rights. And then further with Paragraph 866, added additional language around investigatory stops, pat-downs, and the enforcement of loitering ordinances as part of the public ordinance campaign.

So CPD first launched its Know Your Rights campaign back in 2020 with what we called at the time Ask CPD. We partnered with Communities Partnering 4 Peace, CP4P; Youth District Advisory Council, and other individuals for the campaign.

We collected real questions from people and recorded CPD's members responding to those questions. Those videos and responses of the officers answering those questions were posted publicly on the CPD web page and also on our CPD YouTube pages.

Next slide. The three videos that were published addressed the following topics: Accountability systems and ways to file complaints of police misconduct, rules around officers activating or deactivating body-worn cameras, the public's rights to film or photograph officers in performing their duties, racial profiling and harassment by CPD officers, and rights when being questioned by police.

Then this slide here will identify three videos that were created at the time. There are links and QR codes for

where you can find each of these videos. And, again, each of these videos are also currently available on our CPD web page for the Know Your Rights campaign, which we'll highlight at the end here.

In 2021, we made our first update on the campaign. At that time, we partnered with DePaul University's Digital Communication and Media Arts program, where we engaged students in developing ideas for a revamped campaign.

The group of students were split into four different groups. Each group was given a task developing a campaign proposal that they then presented to CPD where one campaign was selected and was moved forward into the adoption of CPD's Know Your Rights campaign.

Following selecting that one campaign, CPD continued to work with Professor Marshall Goldman, who was a professor of that program, to help implement the campaign proposal that we ultimately selected.

This slide here just shows some of the examples of the ads that were created by the DePaul University students. They identified slogans or tag lines like, "Knowing where you live is easy" or "Learning the lineup is easy. So is knowing your rights."

And we added the QR code for -- where you can learn more. But again, these were ads that were created directly by marketing students at DePaul University for this campaign.

With those ads, CPD purchased a digital ad buy via
Facebook and Instagram in 2021 in 18 zip codes in Chicago that
we had identified as having the highest rates of interactions
with police. Those ads ran about -- throughout the summer
months and into the late fall of 2021. We also updated our
website to match the theme of the campaign, and those same
videos from the campaign launch were continued to be included.

And we also added additional questions with written answers. We also had a public e-mail that was also shared and invited the public to e-mail any questions about their rights to CPD, which we were able to respond to.

In 2021, we continued with those same advertisements. In 2021, purchased CTA ads on buses and trains on the Red, Orange, Green, Pink, and Blue Lines. We also added ads located in high-traffic train stations. The ads were launched in early summer and ran through mid fall when CTA traffic tends to be higher.

We also had physical brochures and other flyers that were created to match the digital ads and we were able to pass those physical materials out at dozens of events, engagements throughout the summer months in 2022. And at the bottom here, we just list some of the Chicago events, neighborhood festivals that our team actually had booths at, where we were actually able to give out these physical materials.

In 2023, we continued the campaign with another CTA ad

buy, again, those same advertisements that we just saw. We had continued to use the public website, the physical brochures and fliers, handed out at CPD and Chicago events.

We also introduced in 2023 what we call Know Your Rights Roundtables. These were hosted in partnership with local community organizations and institutions where we covered topics like traffic stops, investigatory stops, complaint procedures, and other youth specific concerns. We made sure that all of these roundtables were inclusive of different language needs or disability accessibility.

Another purpose of these roundtables was just to have more direct back-and-forth communication and dialogue with folks in communities around, you know, the best way for us to learn about the -- the best way for us to inform the public about what their rights are, really having those dialogues to understand, you know, communities' perceptions of police interactions and a better way for us to learn directly from communities who are most impacted.

Some of the roundtable partners that we worked with are included here at the bottom. They include the Greater Roseland Chamber of Commerce, Metropolitan Family Services, North Lawndale Employment Network, public libraries, and City Colleges of Chicago.

In 2024, we had another campaign refresh. We had been using those same graphics for about three years, but we figured

it was a good time to do a refresh. We continued to contract with Marshall Goldman, who was that same professor from DePaul University, but this time they worked with him through his marketing firm, which is the LeftHand Agency, where he assisted in developing an update on the graphics for the campaign.

The updated campaign graphics were designed to be responsive to community feedback that we had heard throughout the prior years of the campaign. Again, thinking about when we held those roundtables, a lot of the feedback that we got from the community was they felt like our campaigns should be more empowering of individuals. And so that's where tag lines such as "Empowered not powerless, know your rights" came from. It was really direct feedback from the community to make our campaign a little bit more empowering.

In 2024, we updated our website to match the new graphics. We continued purchasing CTA ads throughout the summer months, but this time, again, with the new graphics. We updated all of our physical brochures, continued to be distributed at public engagements, continued holding roundtables throughout the year. And then we also in 2024 added additional questions and information about rights around investigatory stops and traffic stops.

This slide here just shows some examples, real life examples of the current ads that are being used both in 2024 and currently right now, this summer. These are actual photos

that we were provided by the CTA. And as you can see, you know, the tag lines range from different intentionally empowering tag lines just to make sure folks feel more empowered to learn about their rights.

Our current campaign is running through the middle of October 2025. We did launch our CTA ads last month. We have made sure that all of our districts, all of our community focus units in CPD have the updated materials and are able to distribute those brochures and flyers at public engagements. Our website does remain live and still active with all of the updated campaign materials.

So an overall kind of summary to close out here is, you know, really since launching in 2020, we've continued to grow our Know Your Rights campaign each year. The graphics for the campaign are updated really approximately every three years or so. Campaign materials at this point include digital ads, CTA ads, physical brochures and flyers, a public website with questions and videos, public engagements, and roundtables.

And what I really want to stress is we don't view this campaign as a one-and-done campaign. This campaign is really ongoing. It does not end. Even though we may only have CTA ads for a few months of the year, we always have physical materials. We always have our website that talks about individual rights. So we don't look at this campaign as just only spanning a couple months of the year. The campaign is

ongoing. It does not end. It continues 24-7.

And so that concludes this presentation. I appreciate the time and I'm happy to take any questions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Milstein.

Are there questions about this presentation, this Know Your Rights campaign issue?

All right. Well, thanks for the update. I do like to see, you know, that you're continuing to think about how best to get this message out. It's useful.

I think we're ready to hear then brief remarks from the OAG about this same issue. Is that right?

MR. LOWRY: Your Honor, that's correct. Can you hear me?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. LOWRY: First of all, good afternoon, Your Honor, and members of the community that are here. My name is William Lowry, Jr. I'm an assistant attorney general for the Civil Rights Bureau of the Illinois Attorney General's Office.

Before I start, I want to briefly again thank you, everybody, for being here today and spending your time this afternoon to hear about these topics.

Today, as the judge already said, I'm going to speak about Know Your Rights campaign which you just heard about. I want to stress the importance to everybody, especially as a member of the Chicago community but a lifelong Chicagoan, the

importance of this information, the importance of these opportunities and resources being available all year round to everybody in Chicago. Knowing your constitutional rights and having that information is one of the most important things you can have as a citizen of this city.

Now those rights, I want to talk about those. Those rights and the ability to properly assert them are more important today than ever, to make sure that the rule of law works in the way that we all want it to, so that it benefits the people of the City of Chicago that it is meant to protect and serve.

I cannot emphasize enough how crucial it is for every person in Chicago to be educated about their rights and to access this information. It is of the utmost importance for safety and wellbeing of every community.

As a background, I want to briefly touch on, again, CPD's efforts of outreach with their public awareness campaign to inform the public. Now, Your Honor, these requirements are under the Consent Decree pursuant to Paragraph 28 of the Community Policing section. Today, I want to specifically highlight CPD's work with outside expertise, again, as you already heard, Professor Marshall Goldman of the LeftHand Agency, to develop and expand their campaigns over the years.

Now we appreciate their partnership with these outside experts to make sure that their ads and their campaigns are

updated routinely. Now this means for us in the modern age, digital ads, Your Honor, digital ad stations, QR codes, things like that that could bring more access to everybody. But also combining those more modern methods with traditional methods of outreach, such as signs, your billboards, things of that nature, physical brochures, to make sure everybody can save and share this information amongst themselves moving forward.

Additionally, we appreciate CPD's community engagement. Now Director Milstein touched on that, but we appreciate partnering with community organizations to do community-hosted neighborhood events, so in the neighborhoods, in the districts, hosted by members of the community, advocacy groups, things of that nature.

We are also grateful for CPD's civilian staff members, not sworn officers, but civilian staff members, for going out in these communities, letting themselves be known, introducing themselves, and talking to folks about these rights from a perspective of someone who's not just an officer as well.

And that includes, again, we heard Bud Billiken Day Parade, Taste of Chicago, things of that -- going out even in those events that are not as formal but more fun so that they can give that information in different settings. And we encourage CPD to continue doing this work. Because again, widespread accessibility to this information so that as many people as possible can have it is the most important thing.

Now with those positive aspects noted, we also want to note on a couple other things moving forward as this campaign continues to grow and develop. Our office has recommended certain improvements in this ongoing process. We understand, again, as Director Milstein said, that it will continue to develop. But with that, there are certain things we think can be effective in continuing to move this forward each and every year.

First, we want to say we are encouraged by outside expertise and partners, as we already said, so that CPD can stay, continue to stay ahead of curve in the ever-changing environment, including with technology and how youth interact with technology and outreach.

We also want to recommend that CPD continue to prioritize and develop their work in protecting the rights of kids and youth. That work should include how to improve engagement and reach so that young people not only learn their rights, which is the first thing, but also learn how to properly and safely invoke those rights if needed when interacting with law enforcement.

And number two, the CPD members will honor those rights, that youth will know the CPD members will honor their rights.

As an example, our office wants to highlight an engagement CPD has done in the past and encourage more like it.

CPD collaborated with Wendell Phillips High School during last year's campaign, CPS. And because of that, they were able to go into these communities and reach the people most affected by their rights and that's, again, kids and youth. So we encourage them to continue these types of partnerships. And we encourage the City to also support CPD in these efforts to reach kids and youth directly.

Lastly, Your Honor, our office is encouraged by CPD.

We encourage CPD to continue to evaluate what is or is not working in these campaigns and why, so that the time, resources, efforts of everyone dedicated and doing this work to this initiative may not be wasted but instead provide meaningful impact.

And to be clear, the measure of meaningful impact, my office wants to make it very abundantly clear, it's not just the total number of impressions or views or clicks, but also the actual result of people learning their rights and using them in a way that ultimately leads to interactions and outcomes with law enforcement that are more equitable and safer for everyone involved.

So looking ahead, we encourage CPD to continue doing this work and pouring into this work with resources and time. And community members, I want you to hear this. You can help ensure that they continue to do this work, while also encouraging both CPD and the City to spend even more time and

effort on this work, by really taking advantage and showing the investment is worth it. So please seek out and access this Know Your Rights information materials, show up to these events, ask those questions, and most importantly, learn your rights and share the opportunity to do the same with others in your community.

We, again, from the Attorney General appreciate the time to speak today, Your Honor, and to members of the community, again, thank you for all your dedication and hard work, showing up, and being there for each other. We look forward to continue to push this forward with everybody. Thank you.

MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, you're on mute.

THE COURT: I just said thank you, Mr. Lowry.

And are there questions for him this afternoon?

Okay. I know that the City has a brief additional presentation, this one about the DCI Consulting report and recommendations. So if you could -- Mr. Slagel or Ms. Brown, Ms. May, one of you could hold forth on that issue.

MR. SLAGEL: You're still on mute.

MS. BROWN: Sorry. Good afternoon, Judge, and members of the public. I'm Director Joy Brown from OPSA, or the Office of Public Safety Administration. I'm director of Human Resources here to talk about the City's progress within the recruitment, hiring, and promotions paragraphs of the Consent

Decree and the DCI report.

So, Judge, just to give an overview here of the collaborative nature of recruitment and hiring and promotions, Recruitment and Hiring, that committee is headed by myself. And then the Police Promotions committee is headed by Director Jill May, who is also on the call, which will be providing more of an insight into testing. But this just demonstrates the nature of the collaboration that the City has in the different departments that have different responsibilities for these paragraphs.

So as a refresher, Paragraph 261, the City at least every three years is supposed to obtain an independent assessment of our promotional processes, particularly for the ranks of sergeant and lieutenant.

So without going into too much detail on the slide, though, the City has gone through this in two cycles, the work in 2020 and again in 2023, and the next cycle is planned for 2026. And so, again, we continue to seek independent expert knowledge in relation to our promotional processes.

And so, again, Paragraph 262, within 60 days of the implementation of the selection of the vendor, essentially DCI, we will develop, the City, an implementation plan for their recommendations. And so accordingly, our implementation plan was approved by the IMT in March of 2024 and then again by the OAG in April of 2024.

And so as it currently stands, Paragraph 261 has obtained full compliance. And then for Paragraph 262, we are currently under assessment for secondary compliance. And so we hope to attain both secondary and full in the near future.

And so to take it back as to when we selected DCI, the City engaged in a process to select its independent expert. So briefly, DHR, which is the Department of Human Resources, compiled expert consultants and basically reduced the vendor pool down to ten firms, and DCI was selected based on their knowledge and expertise in the area.

And so as it stands in 2020, there were 32 recommendations made by DCI and in 2023, this was the status with their additional recommendations. And so as of today, we have 12 recommendations that are currently in progress and we've completed 20. So the breakdown is there in terms of the percentage.

So just to briefly summarize some of the recommendations that came out in 2023, these are the first set of completed recommendations. And so these basically run from clarifying ownership of our responsibilities in processes, attracting new and diverse police recruiting, new recruits, and ensure that our promotional processes provide an opportunity to diversify the ranks as well. So these were the original 12 that were provided to us.

And then these were eight additional recommendations

that we've completed as well.

This presentation will be provided publicly after this, so if anyone wants to read all of these recommendations or need some time, these are ones we've already completed.

Okay. So the 12 recommendations in progress, they are all under submission status, but we'll focus on three current ones. They involve improvements to the merit process, discipline with regard to promotions, and setting the cut score for our promotional exams.

So with relation to Recommendation 8A, so the recommendation was initially to discontinue merit or make substantial changes, and so the City continues to make changes to the merit process. Again, the DCI recommendation was to evaluate those critical characteristics and factors. And so as a result, we streamlined the number of nominators permitted for the process and shifting the membership to control and focusing on productivity and, you know, meritoriously achieve components in our members of patrol.

And then we have also shifted the assessment dimensions that focus more on leadership and community interaction as part of those assessment criteria.

Secondarily, one of the recommendations was considering discipline prior to promotion. And so we've made progress on this recommendation. Again, this is a joint effort between the law department, CPD, OPSA, DHR, and so we continue

to meet to talk about recommendations that we'd like to implement for the processes.

So our first kind of iteration in terms of tackling this kind of heavy lift essentially is benchmarking research that we've conducted with addition to our expert, independent expert. We've also looked at independent research regarding promotions in our sister cities, including Indianapolis and New Orleans. And so we continue to meet regularly. We hope to have a draft recommendation this cycle.

And so with regard to evaluation of the cut score, I'll turn it over to Director May, who can provide a little more depth into working with our vendors specifically.

MS. MAY: Thank you, Director brown.

My name is -- good afternoon, Your Honor. Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Jill May and I am the director of Selection and Assessment Methods with the Department of Human Resources at the City of Chicago. That's a mouthful, a lot of "ofs."

So yes, I'll be talking about Recommendation 11, the reevaluation of cut score use. So first, I'd like to talk about what a cut score is. So in the context of testing, cut scores or passing points are scores on exams that select candidates in or out of further consideration for a position. And this is done when a score is established, where any score above a point is accepted and the candidate passes, and any

score below that point excludes candidates from further consideration and a candidate would fail that process.

And test developers have different ways of establishing cut scores, such as minimum qualifications for a job. Now the City has worked with our consultants to strike the right balance and the amount of information provided to candidates, because if we provide too much information that's very technical, it can be overwhelming and very unnecessary. And if we provide too little information, that lacks transparency and is just poor communication for individuals.

So something that we have done to improve communication about our cut scores is to add a statement to our Part 1 sergeant results based on the DCI feedback, explaining factors considered in cutting those cut -- cut score, as well as noting -- noting that individual names of candidates were not used or known when the decision -- in the decision process or when any decisions were made. So we tried to make that transparency very clear.

All right. Thank you. Now we'll take your questions.

THE COURT: Are there questions for Ms. May concerning the cut score and the whole process that she's been using?

MS. HICKEY: None from the Monitor, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Any questions from the OAG on this?

MS. DURKIN: No questions, Your Honor, but we do have

a statement.

THE COURT: You're certainly welcome to make your statement at this time then, Ms. Durkin.

MS. DURKIN: Great. Thank you. Good afternoon. My name is Abigail Durkin and I'm an assistant attorney general in the Special Litigation Bureau.

I'm here today to speak on the status of these efforts to comply with the Recruitment, Hiring, and Promotional section of the Consent Decree with a specific focus on the Department's efforts to implement DCI Consulting Group's recommendation.

I want to thank Director May and Director Brown for their thorough explanation and I promise to do my best not to repeat the helpful information they've already shared.

So today, much of what I will discuss will focus on what, if any, steps the City has taken to comply with certain recommendations of DCI. These are recommendations which the City has already agreed to implement. As I will discuss and as I have explained to this Court previously, our office has concerns with a lack of progress on certain longstanding recommendations, many of which date back five years.

But I first want to ground us in what the Consent

Decree says. As Director Brown explained, every three years,
the City must retain an expert to assess the promotions process
for CPD sergeants and lieutenants. This assessment is very
thorough. For example, the expert assesses topics and issues

that impact both the Department and the public, topics like the frequency of exams and transparency in the process and how candidates are selected for promotions.

After its assessment, the expert then provides the recommendations. After that, the City has 60 days to develop an implementation plan and thereafter implement those recommendations.

First, to meet these obligations, the City retained an independent expert, DCI Consulting Group, that we will refer to as DCI, to conduct this analysis in 2020. In December 2020, DCI provided the Department with 32 recommendations with varying levels of priority that were required and estimated length of time to complete.

Now at that point, it was the Department's or the City's responsibility to create an implementation plan based on those recommendations, but unfortunately, the City did not do this.

Three years then went by, and in 2023, DCI was again retained and again provided its analysis. It found that to the City's credit, and as explained by Director Brown and Director May, they had successfully completed 12 of the recommendations from years prior. Director Brown went through those so I will not go through those again. That's great progress and the City and the Department should be commended for putting those recommendations into practice.

So there are significant areas where progress has drastically lagged. One of these concerns, the consideration of discipline and promotion, as I explained to this Court almost exactly one year ago today, the vast majority of candidates promoted, CPD does not consider their prior discipline in deciding whether to promote them, subject to further and few narrow exceptions.

In 2020, during its first assessment, DCI made this recommendation and told the City that it was a high priority to do both, one, consider discipline prior to the promotion, and two, determine the parameters for the same.

But as I mentioned, after 2020, three years went by.

And in 2023, DCI conducted its next analysis. The City did not complete this recommendation and DCI, again, found that discipline was not considered and reiterated, that CPD was an outlier among major city police departments.

To the City's credit, in March 2024, in creating the implementation plan, they agreed to consider discipline prior to promotion and determine the parameters for considering the same.

At the time, the City estimated this whole process could take six months to two years. But now where are we? The City and the Attorney General's Office reported on much of this information one year ago and our office noted the history of these recommendations, that the Department was an outlier and

the City's inaction to address the same. We stressed that action needed to be taken and discipline must be considered prior to an individual's promotion. This Court agreed.

Now one year later, CPD has still not implemented a policy on whether discipline will be considered and what those parameters are. It's almost five years since DCI's first recommendation and determination that this was a high priority.

The Attorney General's Office and the public are in essentially the exact same spot. Discipline is still not considered when selecting individuals for promotion for the vast amount of candidates.

But we do understand and appreciate that there are many entities within the City involved in this policy change, as Director Brown explained, from the Department to the Department of Human resources to the Office of Public Safety Administration, just to name a few.

And we do understand that the City has had meetings to talk about this issue, but the Attorney General's Office and the public need to see forward movement. It's also what the Consent Decree requires. Now the City notes that this is in progress, but substantively, from our perspective, nothing has truly changed.

I also want to briefly address one additional recommendation of DCI relating to the merit process. As DCI has explained, the merit process was established to consider

exemplary work performance as well as broader work characteristics beyond job knowledge in the promotion process. Merit promotions account for a minority of individuals promoted. No more than 30 percent of promotions go to these candidates.

DCI found there's an overwhelming negative perception of this process, including relating to the process generally, which is concerns with its transparency as well as the individuals promoted. DCI recommended that the Department completely discontinue this process or alternatively, if the Department seeks to continue it, it must make substantial changes.

This is a high priority in 2020, and again in 2023. In 2024, the Department said that it was ready to start implementing these recommendations, and as Director Brown noted, that this is in progress, but again, we have not seen much substantive forward progress.

We have strongly urged the Department to take action on all of its obligations to implement these recommendations, even where that implementation is challenging. Promoting qualified candidates in a transparent way will help CPD ensure consistent and effective supervision, as well as accountability to the public.

I want to thank Your Honor for the opportunity to update the Court and the public on these important reforms.

Thank you.

THE COURT: I do appreciate that very much. It's useful information and I wonder whether the -- will there be any response from the City on this issue? I don't know whether you want to comment on it right now, Mr. Slagel or any of your colleagues.

MR. SLAGEL: Ms. Durkin is correct, but as we presented today, both of those items are things we are working on and I believe at the end, the superintendent will talk about his thoughts on the merit promotion process.

THE COURT: Great. All right. Well, we'll want to hear about that.

Okay. Other comments from the OAG?

And I understand that the Coalition will not be making comments today, so I think we're ready for closing remarks, unless there are additional questions about the issues that we've just reviewed.

MS. HICKEY: That's correct. The OAG -- I mean, the Coalition is unavailable today. And before we go to final remarks, I just wanted to say thank you again to everyone attending and that when looking for any updates on topics that will be discussed during future hearings, please look at our website CPDMonitoringTeam.com.

That's all we have, Your Honor, if you want to turn it over to CPD.

THE COURT: I'm ready to hear again from the City.
Thanks. And the Department.

MR. SLAGEL: Yeah. The superintendent is available today and he'll be making our closing remarks.

THE COURT: Well, thank you for joining us, Superintendent Snelling. We're -- we know your time is valuable and it's very important to us to hear from you.

MR. SNELLING: Thank you, Your Honor. And good afternoon, everybody on the call.

First of all, let me just start by, you know, thanking everybody for their comments. Let me thank CPD for the hard work that they've put into this.

First thing, you know, from the OAG's Office, Bill Lowry, just those comments were spot on, especially when it comes to the youth. Those are things that we're working on right now currently. Reaching out to the youth has been extremely important, not only with the Know Your Rights campaign, but whatever we can do to talk to young people about safety and comportment. That will be just great to continue that effort.

When it comes to the hiring and our promotional process, there are a couple of things that I just want to.

Address and thank you to AG Abigail Durkin for those comments.

One of the things that I'll tell you, when it comes to our merit promotions, that process is slightly different from

just testing. So with our merit promotion process, we do consider background, we consider disciplinary history, and those are things that I take into consideration before I make any merit promotions. So we have eliminated people who appear to be good candidates on paper, once we do a background on that individual.

Currently at this moment, you know, we're still working with the City around people who are just being promoted right off of the test, so we'll continue that effort. I do believe that disciplinary history should be taken into consideration when we're making promotions, because these are people who are going to be leading other people. And just scoring well on a test is -- I don't believe that it's enough to just lead other officers. We do have to take disciplinary history into consideration.

So that's one of the things that we're working on. These processes sometimes take a little longer than we would like, but we have other people who are involved and we want to make sure that if these things go to litigation, that we are airtight on what we're doing, to make sure that we have our policies in place.

So that being said, we are working really hard, as you can see, Your Honor. The CPD and our people are working really hard to get things done. We're going to continue to do that and continue to move things in the right direction.

So, again, you know, I always thank everybody on the call, the OAG, for the feedback. It's been extremely helpful. And I just think we've been working pretty well together to get to the bottom of what we're trying to do moving forward, moving the Department forward, and just doing a lot of work to help repair and continue to grow our relationships with our community members.

With that, Judge, if you have any questions, I'm here to answer.

THE COURT: I want to thank you. I don't have any questions. I know that we all share the view that disciplinary history is something that ought to be considered for all kinds of reasons. You're right, Superintendent Snelling, that it relates to leadership capability. It also relates to the kind of incentives you want to create for officers who do want to be promoted, to make sure that they understand that their disciplinary record is something that would be considered.

Are there other comments, you know, from the OAG or the Monitor?

MS. HICKEY: Nothing additional from the Monitor, Your Honor.

MS. EHLER: Nothing additional from the Attorney General's Office.

THE COURT: Thanks as always to everyone here.

As the Monitor mentioned earlier, we will be having --

1	our next public hearing will be in September, but we do expect			
2	to conduct an outside hearing or I'm sorry, a weekend			
3	hearing in early next year. You'll certainly have substantial			
4	advance notice of that and I know that the Monitoring Team's			
5	website will provide lots of publicity as well.			
6	So I'll look forward to seeing you again in about a			
7	month for our next public hearing in the afternoon on			
8	September 9th.			
9	Reminder, please no recording of this proceeding other			
10	than what the court reporter prepares. I think that's all for			
11	today.			
12	MS. HICKEY: Thank you, Your Honor.			
13	THE COURT: Thank you.			
14	MR. SNELLING: Thank you, Your Honor.			
15	(Concluded at 1:45 p.m.)			
16				
17				
18				
19	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcrip			
20	to the extent possible, of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter, given the limitations of conducting			
21	proceedings remotely.			
22	<u>/s/ Hannah Jagler</u> <u>September 23, 2025</u>			
23	Hannah Jagler, RMR, CRR, FCRR Official Court Reporter			
24	Official Court Reporter			
25				