1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  EASTERN DIVISION		
3	STATE OF ILLINOIS,	) Case No. 17 C 6260	
4	Plair	ntiff, )	
5	٧.	)	
6	CITY OF CHICAGO,	) ) Chicago, Illinois ) May 13, 2025	
7	Defer	ndant. ) 1:02 p.m.	
8			
9		OF PROCEEDINGS - PUBLIC HEARING HONORABLE REBECCA R. PALLMEYER	
10			
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For the State:	ILLINOIS ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE BY: MICHAEL MARK TRESNOWSKI	
13		115 S. LaSalle Street, 35th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603	
14 15	For the City:	TAFT STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LLP BY: ALLAN T. SLAGEL	
16		111 E. Wacker, Suite 2600 Chicago, Illinois 60601	
17	For the Coalition:	ROGER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC. BY: ALEXANDRA KAY BLOCK	
18		150 N. Michigan Avenue, Suite 600 Chicago, Illinois 60601	
19	For the Monitor:	ARENTFOX SCHIFF LLP	
20	For the monitor.	BY: MARGARET A. HICKEY ANTHONY-RAY SEPULVEDA	
21		233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 7100 Chicago, Illinois 60606	
22		cirreago, irrinors occoo	
23			
24			
25			

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:	
2	Also Present:	Allyson Clark-Henson, CPD
3		Mike Kapustianyk, CPD
4		Chris Papaioannou, CPD
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21	Court Reporter:	HANNAH JAGLER, RMR, CRR, FCRR Official Court Reporter
22		219 S. Dearborn Street, Room 2504 Chicago, Illinois 60604
23		* * * * *
24	PR0CEE	DINGS REPORTED BY STENOTYPE
25		ED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

(Proceedings heard by video:)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody. Thanks for joining us this afternoon. I want -- I'll ask the monitor to get I guess underway with some brief opening remarks.

Before I do that, just two quick comments from me.

One, ordinarily I'm relatively flexible about the timing for this meeting. Today unfortunately I have a hard stop at 2 o'clock. So I'm going to ask everyone to really stick with the time limits that have been imposed on us today. That's number one.

Number two, a general reminder, this is a court proceeding. Recording is not permitted and any preparation of transcripts is only to be done by my court reporter. Those of you who are familiar with this won't have any problem. If you're new to these hearings, please recall that you're not supposed to -- you're not permitted to broadcast or make a transcript.

All right. I think we're ready to hear from the monitor.

MS. HICKEY: Thank you very much, Your Honor. Thank you for convening this monthly status hearing. My name is Maggie Hickey and I'm the independent monitor for the Consent Decree.

During today's public hearing, we will be hearing from the Chicago Police Department regarding their progress towards

compliance with the consent decree related to search warrant reforms. My colleague Anthony-Ray Sepulveda will first provide a short update on the latest monitoring report that we filed a few weeks ago.

I'll turn it over to you, Anthony-Ray.

MR. SEPULVEDA: Thank you, Monitor Hickey, and thank you, Your Honor.

The Independent Monitoring Team filed its 11th monitoring report last month on April 11th, 2025. This report provided our assessments of the City of Chicago's and the Chicago Police Department's compliance efforts through December 2024. The report reflected a significant increase in Consent Decree compliance.

As a quick reminder, the Independent Monitoring Team determines compliance at three levels for each substantive paragraph of the Consent Decree, preliminary, secondary, and full compliance.

In addition to maintaining levels of compliance across the Consent Decree, the City and the CPD achieve new levels of compliance with about 25 percent of paragraphs under review or about 150 paragraphs. This included 42 paragraphs where the City achieved full compliance.

As a result, by the end of 2024, the City had achieved at least some level of compliance with about 92 percent of the paragraphs under review, including full compliance with about

60 percent of paragraphs.

As we wrote in our report, the City and the CPD's ability to cross key thresholds before January of this year was made possible by long-term efforts to build and build from sustainable foundations.

The 11th reporting period, this was made most evident when the City and the CPD's years-long investments in the policy development, training, community engagement, and officer support paid significant dividends in the 2024 Democratic National Convention. This event demonstrated that the tireless and ongoing Consent Decree efforts by members of the City, the CPD, the Office of the Illinois Attorney General, and Chicago's communities are making a difference. The CPD was better prepared than they would have been before the Consent Decree.

Independent Monitoring Report 11 is available on our website, CPDMonitoringTeam.com, along with all other Independent Monitoring Team reports.

The City and the CPD are currently working to reach additional levels of compliance in the 12th reporting period, which ends on June 30th, 2025. We will then provide our first draft of the next monitoring report to the parties for review in July.

With that, Your Honor, I'd like to turn it back to Monitor Hickey for a few remarks on the topic of today's hearing, search warrants.

MS. HICKEY: Thank you, Anthony-Ray.

On March 25th, 2022, after agreement from the parties and the Independent Monitoring Team, this Court entered a stipulation regarding search warrants. The stipulation clarified that the City and CPD must demonstrate that the search warrant practices are not unlawfully discriminatory or retaliatory and occur in an unbiased, fair, and respectful manner.

Specifically, the CPD must implement sufficient policies, training, data collection, supervision, and accountability systems to ensure that CPD's planning for internal review processes for, execution of, and after action review of search warrants, are carried out in a manner that fully complies with the Constitution and the laws of the United States and the state of Illinois and are in accordance with best practices.

Since then, and as you will hear more about today, the City and the CPD have been working toward reforming its implementation of search warrants.

This is including significant community engagement, including many meetings with and considering feedback from the Coalition. Earlier this year, the CPD posted another draft of its policy, Guiding Search Warrants, for public comment. The public comment period closed on March 14th of this year.

Along with the policy, the CPD has also been working

on corresponding training for its officers and ways to capture each step of a search warrant process electronically.

After hearing about the CPD's efforts today, you may also follow CPD's progress on search warrant reforms on their website.

With that, Your Honor, I would like to turn it over to the City and the CPD for their presentation.

THE COURT: Okay. From the City, Mr. Slagel?

MR. SLAGEL: I'll turn it over to executive director for Constitutional Policing and Reform, Allyson Clark-Henson.

THE COURT: Great.

MS. CLARK-HENSON: Good afternoon. Before we dive into the details of the extensive work that's been going on regarding search warrants policies and documentation and training, we just wanted to mention and let everyone know about some engagement opportunities that are -- that exist right now. There's a number of city-wide sessions and opportunities for next steps for community-focused policing and the Workforce Allocation.

That QR code on the right, if you take that QR code and go to that website that it brings you to, you have an opportunity to go ahead and sign up for any of those engagements that you may be available to attend, as well as if you prefer, there's also a survey that can be completed also on that site. So we just wanted to make sure for folks' awareness

that they had some interest in that. So please take down that QR code and sign up for what you are available to attend.

In addition, we have some opportunities for community input at CPD Policy Development. Currently we have our traffic stops policy that is open for public comments. It will be open through May to May 24th and we encourage individuals, if they could, to please, again, hit that QR code and to provide their feedback and input on those policies.

In addition, our interactions with people with the civility policy suite is also available online for public comments and please, again, take the opportunity to get that QR code and provide your feedback, we would greatly appreciate that.

We can put these back up at the end if needed for those to get those QR codes.

But I would like to turn it over to Mike Kapustianyk to start diving into the details of our search warrant policy and training progress.

MR. KAPUSTIANYK: Thank you, Executive Director.
Thank you, Judge Pallmeyer. Good afternoon, everyone.

Today, we're going to go through CPD's efforts in reforming our search warrants policies, practices, and trainings.

We're actually going to go back to the year of 2020, through 2025, and all the efforts that CPD has put into

revising our search warrant policy. There have been many iterations of the search warrant policy since then, many efforts by CPD. We want to summarize those for you today.

Beginning in 2020, CPD revised our policy and training around the service of search warrants. In January of 2020, we revised CPD special order S04-19, search warrants, after an internal review of CPD's practices, including looking at past search warrant incidents and past practices of the Chicago Police Department.

That policy revision required more accountability at the service of the search warrant, requiring two uniformed officers to be members of that entry search team, that any patrol, Bureau of Patrol search warrant that was served outside of the district of assignment would be approved by both deputy chiefs. We ensured our officers were activating their body-worn cameras during the service of that search warrant, and we were ensuring that we were reporting damages and search warrants that were served at wrong addresses.

Additionally, in January of 2020, CPD launched an in-person training titled CPD and Search Warrants, the 21st Century. This was geared towards members who conduct search warrants to make sure our practitioners were aware of current practices in search warrants and the policy revisions from 2020.

We trained approximately 300 members of this in-person

training, prior to the COVID restrictions being imposed.

CPD again reviewed and revised our search warrant policies beginning in 2020. In December of 2020, CPD announced this extensive review and revision of those January 2020 revisions to the search warrants policy, specifically in response to the community requests for revisions, including those with lived experience from CPD search warrants. That began a significant amount of community engagement that CPD conducted between December and March, December of 2020 and March of 2021.

CPD attended City Council Public Safety Committee hearings. They also received a report from the Office of the Inspector General, urgently recommending changes to the search warrant policies. Draft policies were announced and posted for public comment. And CPD hosted two community focus groups on CPD search warrant policies.

That resulted in May of 2021 of CPD sworn members being enrolled in an E-learning to train on the new search warrant policies and the parameters of that search warrant policy prior to being implemented. And on May 28th of 2021, the new search warrant policy was published and announced, responsive to the feedback from the community and reaffirming CPD's commitment to dignity, deescalation, professionalism, and constitutionality in the service of search warrants.

In particular, some policy revisions that were made at

that time is that CPD required an independent investigation to be conducted to verify and corroborate the information that was used to develop that search warrant. The approval of a search warrant was raised to a CPD deputy chief for all residential search warrants for locations where occupants may be present.

No-knock search warrants were limited to only when there is a danger to life or safety of an officer or an individual within the service area of that search warrant. And those no-knock search warrants must be approved internally by a CPD bureau chief, and then ultimately reviewed by the Cook County state's attorney and approved by a judge.

Efforts were also made in preplanning stages and in the development stage to identify and plan for multiple persons, that included children, who might be present at that search warrant location.

Additionally, during the service of that search warrant, a member, a CPD supervisor, a lieutenant or above must be present and a female officer must be present at the scene for all residential search warrants.

All officers were required to treat all persons with courtesy and dignity during the service of that search warrant, be courteous, respectful, and professional during the service of the search warrant, and to wear and activate the body-worn camera for the entire search team.

Additionally, all wrong raids that were identified as

serving a search warrant at an address different than what's on the location or where the facts and circumstances immediately apparent during the service of that search warrant led to believe that the probable cause was different than what the actual observations were at the scene, both of those circumstances are subject to a misconduct investigation and a critical incident review.

As you can see, that impacted the numbers of the CPD search warrants. Since 2019, the number of search warrants has decreased from over 2,400 in 2019 to almost 1,800, 1,791 in 2024. That's the total search warrants.

However, if you look at the residential search warrants by year, since the implementation of the new policy in 2021, the number of residential search warrants are significantly lower than they were in 2019, down to about 210 in 2024, which is about 12 percent of all search warrants conducted by CPD.

However, during that same time, the evidence recovered and the arrests associated with those residential search warrants maintained a level of consistency with about 90 percent of all residential search warrants being served resulting in evidence being recovered and between 44 and 60 percent of residential search warrants resulting in an associated arrest.

Now we go into the recent efforts of the CPD search

warrant practices being included within the Consent Decree. As Monitor Hickey mentioned, in March of 2022, the stipulation was entered regarding CPD search warrants and was approved by the Court, adding search warrants to the Consent Decree, which included the policy and training review and the community engagement.

Between June of 2022 and December of 2022, CPD again entered into a significant amount of community engagement around the search warrant policies. Again, we posted the current version of the search warrant policy for public comment. We created a public input form that gathered anonymous feedback on specific components of the search warrant policy by asking specific questions.

CPD conducted two virtual community conversations in November and December of 2022. We engaged in deliberative dialogue with individual organizations groups or those with lived experience to meet individually with CPD and CPD members on the development of the search warrant policy. And we collaborated with the IMT, the OAG, subject matter experts, other City agencies, and community members in revising and reviewing CPD's current practices for search warrants at that time.

That resulted in January of 2023, CPD posted for public comment a revised suite of search warrant policies that was reflective of the community engagement feedback and

national best practices. In that posting, we included a public posting supplement, CPD search warrants, which identified in plain language the revisions we were making to the policy and the -- what we've heard from the community and what they anticipated the policy to include.

That single policy for search warrants was broken into four independent policies. The parent policy or search warrants was focused on the overall service of search warrants and ensuring that the policies were consistent with the community engagement feedback and best practices.

And then we had copied specific addenda that were included in the development, review, and approval of search warrants. An addendum on search warrant service, and then one on post-service documentation and the review of search warrants.

Also included in that posting was the draft search warrant documentation, which was completely revised and broken into a development, pre-service, risk assessment, and post-service documentation.

Significant changes that were made at that time in the policy revisions in the drafts of 2022 was an emphasis on respectful, courteous, and professional treatment, ensuring accountability, prohibiting retaliation, and to accommodate those that have religious beliefs in the service of a search warrant.

2 3

That policy also acknowledged search warrants as a traumatic experience. And it instructed officers to exercise caution and use tactics to minimize any potential trauma and to protect and respect the rights of all, including vulnerable people such as children, the elderly, persons with physical developmental and special disabilities, a mental health condition, limited English proficiency, or others, to ensure that plans were adjusted for their presence during the service of the search warrant.

Additionally, there was requirements to continually assess the need and use of handcuffs, considering a person's age, size, and safety concerns to ensure that handcuffs were used appropriately during the service of the search warrant.

That policy, as we mentioned, also increased the documentation that we talked about in terms of service of search warrants, but it also imposed a requirement to create and document these forms in an electronic application.

That search warrant development form is used to document the information regarding the development and the investigation and the approval of that search warrant. The risk assessment is used to assess the risk for all persons involved in the service of that residential search warrant, including CPD officers, the people inside the location, and community members that might be nearby.

The police service planning form is used to record the

approval and denial of that planning session to ensure that we allocate the resources and safely serve that search warrant, including those considerations for vulnerable people. And then the post-service form, which is used to document the results of that search warrant. The supervisory reviews, and any policy compliance, training opportunity, or disciplinary procedures that have occurred during -- for the service of that search warrant.

It also created additional requirements when serving residential search warrants. It required a CIT or a crisis intervention team officer to be present at the scene of the search warrant, to ensure that we have the resources available for those suffering from a mental health condition.

It also required specific documented reasons by a command-level supervisor of Chicago Police Department if a search warrant was served outside of the hours of 6 a.m. and 10 p.m., that all officers are recognizable as police officers, whether being in uniform or wearing specialized garments or other materials to ensure that they are easily and readily identifiable as Chicago police officers, and that one marked CPD vehicle would be at the scene of that search warrant.

It also made sure that our officers are abiding by the knock and announce rules and give people the reasonable opportunity to comply with that announcement based on the size and nature of the premise, time of day, and any response

officers receive during the announcement of the search warrant.

It also required CPD officers to avoid handcuffing or potentially pointing firearms at children, unless necessary -- reasonably necessary under the totality of the circumstances.

And it also instructed officers to minimize the impact on children when caregivers or parents might be arrested.

It also mandated a supervisory review of search -residential search warrants, including an on-scene lieutenant
and reiterating that the approving deputy chief or above will
not only approve that search warrant but approve the
post-service documentation to ensure the search warrant as
approved was served in that direction.

It also provided for increased accountability and transparency. It ensured that COPA, the Civilian Office of Police Accountability, was notified of all wrong raids, violations of the law or CPD policy, either observed or allegations of misconduct for -- during the service of that search warrant to ensure a proper investigation.

It also established a search warrant review board which would review wrong raids internally to ensure that our policies, training, and tactics are modified to minimize any risk of harm to the public or the CPD officers. And it required CPD to publish annual search warrant data and to conduct audits of our search warrant practices.

Then through August of 2023 and January of 2024, after

that community engagement that was conducted on that draft, CPD continued to collaborate with the Consent Decree Coalition and over 20 topics that they identified as needing continual conversations in the search warrant policy.

CPD and the Coalition reached agreement on approximately 13, including harm mitigation, protection of children, and other vulnerable people, and repairing harms,

The City, OAG, and Coalition remained at impasse on several issues and sought court resolution. In May of 2024, Judge Pallmeyer issued the resolution of search warrant issues at impasse between the City of Chicago, the Office of the Attorney General, and the Coalition, directing a path forward for the issues at impasse and resolution to those seven issues.

Here's a summary of those seven issues issued by the Court. And they include risk benefit assessment, prohibition on minor offenses, restricting gun pointing, the prohibition of no-knock warrants, a minimum wait time, a scope of supervisory review of body-worn camera footage, and release of body-worn camera footage.

CPD at that time revised our policies consistent with the resolution issued by Judge Pallmeyer. We continued to collaborate with the Coalition, IMT, and OAG, updating the draft directives to ensure that they are still being reflective of the community engagement and the national best practices.

As Monitor Hickey mentioned, February 2025, CPD posted

our version of the draft directives and a community response summary again, summarizing the efforts that CPD undertook from the last public posting. And we have included that community engagement process, finalizing those draft policies, reflective of that engagement that was conducted.

The additional revisions made since that January of 2022 posting are including additional protection on the rights of all persons present during the search warrant, including promoting the sanctity of life, accommodating for religious beliefs, including allowing people to wear or permitting them to wear religious head coverings or other clothing as identified as religious articles, ensured accountability with supervisors with developing and serving the search warrant and specifically prohibiting retaliation, and respecting the gender identities consistent with our policies, requiring pat-downs and searches to be conducted respectfully and consistent with the person's gender identity, as expressed, clarified, or requested.

We also included additional requirements to minimize the trauma, ensuring our officers and our supervisors modify their tactics to reduce any trauma, intrusion, damage as they enter that search warrant location as conditions change.

We also added requirements to verify the information used during that search warrant development, including assessing the reliability of the informants, requiring the risk

assessment, and ensuring that a search warrant is reviewed in terms of achieving a law enforcement objective.

Additionally, there was further development of that electronic search warrant reporting application, which required additional data collection, including efforts during the entry and during the actual service of the search warrant, including persons that were arrested or evidence that was recovered.

It also strengthened additional requirements on serving the search warrants. It required CPD to conduct comprehensive training on those with action service search teams or developed search warrants and seek approval of search warrants. It ensured there's a document pre-service planning so that we can identify and plan for those vulnerable populations specific to that location.

It required us to adhere to the knock and announce requirements, that a readily identifiable officer will make that knock and announce requirement, and specifically, the search warrant's timeframe was reduced to 6 a.m. to 9 p.m., absent exigent circumstances.

We also include special precautions for children, to make sure they were identified during that preimplementation or that preplanning phase and will avoid selecting time to serve a search warrant when children or other vulnerable persons might be present, absent exigent circumstances.

It also recognized and minimized the trauma of

children, including like we discussed before, avoiding handcuffing or potentially pointing at children, a firearm at children, unless reasonably necessary, avoid -- handcuffing a caretaker out of the view of a child or make reasonable efforts to handcuff that caretaker or parent outside the view of a child. It included provisions to avoid questioning a child, except when there's an immediate threat of harm, and also to provide and to take measures again with that child's caretaker to ensure that if that caretaker was arrested or that caregiver was arrested, that those dependents are accounted for and taken care of.

That led us to a finalized policy here in 2025. That finalized policy, that suite of policy is posted on our public department directives website at Directives.ChicagoPolice.org. It's under the preimplementation phase, pending publication, all four addenda of the search warrant policy. That whole suite is posted there, the final language, including the public posting supplement that provided the responses to the community feedback.

Additionally, CPD has added a search warrant reform page that's accessible on our main website at www.ChicagoPolice.org. From the main website, you can go to that search warrant reform website, and it includes information on the current search warrant policy, this timeline we discussed today, the revisions that we've made to the policy,

and the proposed suite of policy, amongst other information that's included on that website.

Currently we're continuing to develop that search warrant, electronic search warrant application with our subject matter experts to ensure that the development, risk assessment, pre-service and post-service documentation is consistent with best practices and contains the policy requirements as prescribed.

CPD expects to submit that to the IMT and to the OAG for review within the next monitor reporting period.

Here's a quick review of that process and some of the anticipated data that we are going to collect and the approvals and reviews required from the development and risk assessment, through the pre-service planning to the post-service requirement of the electronic search warrant application up into the final approval of the post-service of the search warrant.

Additionally, this year, CPD is going to conduct search warrant training that's currently being developed with CPD's subject matter experts. It will be in two phases. The first phase will be a department wide e-learning to make sure all CPD officers are familiar with the search warrant policies and the changes in the policies. The second phase will be a two-day in-person training of those identified that are involved either in the search warrant development, approval, or

service, that will include a policy and process review and tactical skills and operational preparedness. That, again, is expected to be submitted to the IMT and OAG review, consistent with the Consent Decree provisions in the next monitor reporting period.

I would like to pass it to Deputy Chief Papaioannou, who will discuss a little bit more detail --

(Audio interruption.)

MR. PAPAIOANNOU: Good afternoon, Judge Pallmeyer, everyone on the meeting. Thank you for having me here.

Definitely excited to discuss the training phase that we're a part of.

So as this whole process has been evolving, what we did here at the Bureau of Counterterrorism, we identified a cadre that would be teaching the department in training for the search warrant policy.

And with that, we identified instructors that we are going to have as a cadre. Initially in the first quarter of the year, we sent them to get the instructor certified so they could be certified instructors with the state of Illinois, so they got their certification with the Illinois Law Enforcement Training and Standards Board.

To further their certifications, we had the Federal

Law Enforcement Training Center come down and give a basic room

entry class to ensure everyone is on the same page and

understands the basic tactics when entering a residence and conducting a search warrant. This is part of the instructor development and falls into play with our training rollout strategy.

Utilizing our department database, we were able to identify the bureaus and units that conduct the majority of search warrants throughout the department and we broke it down into groups:

Group 1, which is the Bureau of Counterterrorism, which conducts the majority of search warrants through the department, they would be getting the training first. And they're responsible for over 85 percent of the warrants that are executed in the city.

And our second phase would subsequently train teams from the Detective Division, along with Patrol, based on the level of activity of the warrants that they've been doing throughout the last year.

With that, the training -- our training is broken down into two modules, Module 1 and Module 2. Module 1 is a structured approach to equip officers with the necessary skills and ability to effectively implement the electronic search warrant policy and enhance operational efficiency and legal compliance.

So part of that Phase 1 is the department-wide e-learning module. This will give them the basic legal

foundations, department policy overview, rules, guidelines, and role-based responsibilities, just kind of an overview, when they're getting into -- so this would be a prerequisite for them to get into this course.

During the first module, the scope would be all about the policy and procedure review. They would learn all about the new policy. They would learn how to develop a search warrant, types of search warrants, how the investigation is involved to create the search warrant, the pre-execution responsibilities, what is entailed in the execution phase.

It's a step-by-step operational guideline to allow the officer to understand exactly what they have to do from Step A to Step B, and then post-execution procedures, what the reporting requirements are, what the supervisor responsibilities are, and everything that has to be completed in the packet with the search warrant so we can ensure that everything is done on the electronic search warrant page but done properly.

Our second module would be a component of more of a hands-based scenario -- hands-on scenario-based training, and this is designed to enhance officer safety, to ensure coordination and operational effectiveness while they're executing this warrant.

This is going to be a hybrid of half classroom, half scenario-based, which will also give officers the ability to

learn about the mission planning, have a structured approach to the pre-mission, to understand what is involved. They'll teach them basic room entry techniques to create a safe environment for everyone involved. Also to ensure safe weapons handling, to ensure that they're complying with our policies and everyone's staying safe. They'll be taught how to reenforce their firearm safety under stress, and very importantly, stress management in search warrant.

We know that many of these search warrants that we go to, if not all, are high risk. So with that, we're going to teach techniques for maintaining composure and decisionmaking under pressure. We're going to focus on breathing techniques to ensure we can reduce physiological responses.

And we can ensure that the training that we're doing for all of our officers is designed to reenforce all the legal compliance, tactical preparedness, and accountability during the execution of the warrants under issue.

That's what I have so far. And if you guys have any questions, I'll pass it back to you, Allan.

MR. SLAGEL: Thank you, Captain Kapustianyk and Deputy Chief Papaioannou.

Just going to put back up on the screen, Your Honor, briefly the information for the public if they want to participate in any of these sessions here. And we will be sharing this with the Monitor and it will be available shortly,

a few days, on the Monitor's website as well.

That's all the City has today, Your Honor, unless there's something -- any questions that you have.

THE COURT: No. The only question I have is whether you could -- I think -- I know it looks like I'm not looking at you. I can't get the screen to come down where it belongs. But if you wouldn't mind getting -- I know you've been able to get me the slides. At some later point, I'd like to see those. Some of these have a lot of data that I want to review. So thanks.

MR. SLAGEL: Sure. We'll get that to you through the Monitor, as always.

THE COURT: Great. So we're ready to hear from the Office of the Attorney General.

Mr. Tresnowski, is that right?

MR. TRESNOWSKI: Yes. Good afternoon, Your Honor.
Mike Tresnowski for the Office of the Illinois Attorney
General. And I'm going to briefly offer our office's
perspective on CPD's search warrant practices and talk about
three things, where CPD's practices were, where we see them
today, and where we expect CPD to go in the future.

So let's start with the past. There's a history in the City of Chicago of harmful and careless search warrant practices. We've all seen the news stories, stories about wrong raids, police smashing down the doors of a home, rushing

in with firearms drawn, even though they have the wrong address. We've seen stories about raids with children in the home, where firearms are pointed at children unnecessarily, raids that result in trauma to Chicago's residents.

So in light of that past, CPD has a new set of search warrant policies today. And as Lieutenant Kapustianyk outlined, this -- these new policies are the result of process that involved a March 2022 stipulation between the parties and collaboration between CPD, the City, the Coalition, the Office of the Attorney General, the Monitoring Team, members of the public, and the Court in resolving contested issues.

So that brings us to today. CPD has a new search warrant policy, and from our perspective, this policy has multiple strengths, many of which Lieutenant Kapustianyk walked through, but allow me to just reiterate a few.

First, we see it as a highlight of the policy that officers are going to be required to verify all the information they have is accurate before they head out to serve a search warrant, the address, who will be at the home, times when vulnerable people will be home. And they've committed to using their investigative tools and systems to verify this information.

Second, we see it as a genuine highlight that officers will avoid handcuffing or intentionally pointing firearms at children, unless they deem it reasonably necessary. And as the

Attorney General's Office has argued, the circumstances when those things would be reasonably necessary are exceedingly rare.

CPD has limited the hours in which they can execute search warrants, only to be served between 6 a.m. and 10 p.m.

The officers will now be required to conduct a risk assessment before serving a search warrant, considering whether the risk of service they impose on residents will be outweighed by the benefit of the search.

We see it as a genuine achievement that a crisis intervention team officer will be present at the scene of all residential search warrants. This would allow someone who's experiencing a behavioral mental health crisis to interact with an officer who's specifically trained for such circumstances.

Finally, it's a genuine achievement that CPD will collect substantial data about the search warrant process and make that data public.

So that brings us to the future. I've gone through highlights of the policy and there are more highlights of the policy that Lieutenant Kapustianyk discussed. The policy changes will only result in changes for Chicago residents, if CPD officers follow the new policy. And that's the next step.

Sound search warrant policies only become sound search warrant practices with excellent training, transparency, and accountability for officers who do not follow the policy.

Lieutenant Kapustianyk mentioned training is being developed. We look forward to reviewing that training as soon as possible. Officers need to know the requirements of the new policy, need to obtain the skills necessary to provide the due care they owe to Chicago's residents.

And the point I want to finish with is this. The power to raid somebody's home is a tremendous power. CPD must use that power responsibly, conduct its search warrant practices in accordance with the law and best practices, many of which are in the policy itself.

The Attorney General's Office looks forward to reviewing the training CPD plans to provide its officers and we will continue to provide updates to the Court and the public about the status of CPD's reform of its search warrant policies. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Tresnowski. And thank you for your careful review of this -- the proposal.

I know that the Coalition's with us and Ms. Block will be heard from next.

MS. BLOCK: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. Alexandra Block from the ACLU of Illinois. I'm one of the attorneys for the Coalition, and I appreciate the opportunity to address the Court, the Monitoring Team, the parties, and members of the public here this afternoon.

CPD and the Attorney General's Office have explained

this afternoon their new search warrant policy and other operational changes that will result in substantial improvements. One of those substantial improvements is -- has already occurred, which is a dramatic decrease in the total number of residential search warrants executed in Chicago, from over 1,300 home raids in 2019 to only 210 home raids in 2024. We want to emphasize that the Coalition agrees that both the policy and the decrease in number of unnecessary home raids are both positive steps in the right direction.

I just want to add three points to the points that have already been brought up today. First, to emphasize again the very significant decrease in the number of individuals and families who have been subjected to traumatizing raids of their homes. Second, I want to emphasize that we have all achieved these successes together. And third, I want to mention some of the work that remains to be done.

So on the first point, the Coalition is thrilled with the decrease in the total number of unnecessary home raids. Reducing the number of raids is a victory for community members throughout Chicago and particularly for black residents who disproportionately suffer through wrong and violent home raids in the years before CPD began efforts to improve its policies and practices.

And we are especially pleased to hear CPD discussing these numbers. This type of quantifiable outcome, a decrease

in the number of violent and traumatic raids, is exactly the kind of quantifiable metric that we've been encouraging the parties and the Monitor to adopt when measuring the city's compliance with the Consent Decree.

Second, I want to emphasize that this progress has been a group effort. Every party here in this hearing played an important part. And I know Lieutenant Kapustianyk went through some of the history, but some of it bears repeating, and we have our own perspective on this, which is that the Coalition first raised this issue with the City in August of 2020. When the City refused to engage with the Coalition at that time, the Coalition filed an enforcement motion in January of 2021.

In the wake of the infamous wrong raid of the home of black social worker Anjanette Young, as well as numerous other wrong and violent home raids, our motion showed that CPD was not adequately investigating search warrant applications. We also demonstrated that these home raids disproportionately targeted the homes of black people. And we showed that officers often used excessive force, including routinely pointing guns at children and their parents.

Our enforcement motion led to the stipulation that others have mentioned already in March of 2022 between the Attorney General's Office and the City, agreeing that CPD search warrants are subject to the Consent Decree and must

comply with the law and best practices.

In 2023, the Court assisted us in establishing a framework for negotiations regarding our enforcement motion, and then the Coalition, the City, the Attorney General's Office, assisted by the Monitor and the Court, engaged in many productive discussions regarding CPD's search warrant policies. When we reached impasse, the Court assisted us by resolving the remaining issues in 2024.

And then the parties and the Coalition came together again more recently to discuss CPD's updated draft policy. And I'm reviewing this history again just to show that this process is an example of successful engagement on CPD policy. The CPD gained critical insight from the Coalition and our members with lived experiences and the Coalition learned from members of the CPD.

With the Coalition present at the beginning when CPD started to draft its new policies, we were able to work through these issues together before the policy was final.

Now this process took longer than anyone anticipated, but the result is a better substantive policy that also came about from a better process that the public can trust.

While this policy represents a significant improvement from the past, and I won't reiterate all the improvements that others have already covered here, there are five critical changes that the Coalition believes still need to be made to

prevent unnecessary harm to members of the community and to police officers.

First, we believe that CPD should ban no-knock warrants outright. No-knocks are incredibly dangerous to both officers and the people in a home. And CPD should establish a minimum wait time of 30 seconds between knocking and announcing and entering a home to be sure that people have enough time to come to the door before officers barge in.

Second, CPD should categorically prohibit raids for certain low-level offenses, such as possession of small amounts of drugs or unlawful possession of a weapon without a crime of violence.

Third, CPD should revise its policy on gun pointing to completely prohibit officers from unnecessarily pointing guns at people, both adults and children, during home raids.

Fourth, to promote accountability to the new policy, we have urged CPD supervisors to review body-worn camera footage of all home raids and to proactively release that footage to the individuals who are affected by the raids.

Fifth and finally, when CPD arrives at a home to execute a warrant, they should present a copy of the warrant at the door if a resident requests it and if there isn't an emergency. Particularly in this fraught moment when many communities in Chicago are terrified of immigration raids by ICE, it is crucially important that residents have a right to

know who's at their door and why before opening the door to law enforcement.

We continue to believe that these changes that I just reviewed are crucial for the safety of community members and for CPD to hold its officers accountable to the department's new standards. While there is more work to do, we hope this policy can serve as a model of what can be accomplished when the City genuinely engages with the Coalition and other members of the public.

Thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Block. I appreciate those comments.

I think -- we're ready for some closing comments first from the City and the police department and then from the OAG and the Monitor.

So let's begin with the City. Mr. Slagel?

MR. SLAGEL: Thank you, Your Honor, for holding this public hearing. We appreciate the opportunity to explain to you and to the public where the City and CPD is in the progress on the search warrants policy and training. Thank you.

THE COURT: Great. Anybody from the police department that want to weigh in here? From the OAG?

I'm sorry, Ms. Henson, did you want to comment?

MS. CLARK-HENSON: I just wanted to echo what

Mr. Slagel said, our appreciation for this opportunity, and to

continue being able to provide updates on a regular basis.Thank you.

THE COURT: Great.

Anything from the OAG?

MR. TRESNOWSKI: I just want to reiterate that the --what Ms. Block said, that the process that resulted in the current search warrant policy is a genuine achievement of collaboration. And then to reiterate that we look forward to the next step, which is reviewing in detail the upcoming trainings.

THE COURT: Okay. I guess from the -- do we need -- we can hear from the Monitor.

MS. HICKEY: Yes. Quickly, in closing, I want to thank everyone that participated today and especially the community who's participated over the six years of the Consent Decree to its success. Thank you.

THE COURT: Let me just add my comments before we recess for the afternoon.

I think Ms. Block is exactly correct, that this was a -- that we have made progress that could not be achieved, could not have been achieved without participation of everybody here. And I know sometimes it becomes combative, but I think that the result has been very effective. So I agree with her very much on that.

Another thing that I think is -- I think we're all

agreed on and I just want to emphasize, the quantifiable metrics, the data, are just really critically important, because without the data, it's too easy for people to, on the one hand, praise, and on the other hand, criticize. We really do know what -- we really need to know what actually does happen. And without that data, we're not in a position to rebut the claims that everything's great or the claims that everything's terrible. This way, we have the information, we can say, it's not perfect, but we're making progress in the following areas, and here's some areas where we still need to work on this.

Thank you all for your time and for your commitment. You know, we're in such a fraught time in our nation with respect to disagreements and disputes. And to see that even when we disagree, we can make progress, reach agreement, and move forward is really -- it's the function of the Courts in the best possible way and I very much appreciate all of your involvement in this.

So I'm going to be seeing -- oh, and by the way, some of the things that you mentioned, Ms. Block, the additional changes or corrections that you've emphasized, we have talked about those. I'm sure we will continue to. The door's not closed on any -- on this decree or where we're going to end up. But I want to thank the City, the police, the concerted effort, and thank you especially for gathering data that helps me to

1 see that what we're doing does make a difference. 2 Okay. So our next meeting is in June and I will see 3 all of you then. Is that -- I can't remember, is that one in 4 person? Remind me. MS. HICKEY: We'll have to check the calendar and get 5 6 back to you, Your Honor. 7 MR. SLAGEL: I believe it's virtual, but it's an 8 opportunity for the community -- it's a community --9 THE COURT: And I know Ms. Hickey has told me the 10 community actually prefers the virtual hearings. It's easier 11 for many of them to participate. So that's fine with me. 12 I just want to make it clear to all of them that I'm 13 available to do this in person and I know that we talked about, 14 you know, setting the hearings at different times of the day to enable everybody who has, you know, work conflicts to 15 16 nevertheless participate. 17 All right. Anything further today? 18 MS. HICKEY: No, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Thank you again. And I'll see you in 20 June. 21 (Concluded at 1:52 p.m.) 22 23 24 25

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the extent possible, of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter, given the limitations of conducting proceedings remotely. /s/ Hannah Jagler\_\_\_\_ July 1, 2025\_\_\_\_ Hannah Jagler, RMR, CRR, FCRR Official Court Reporter