1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION			
3	STATE OF ILLINOIS,) Case No. 17 C 6260		
4	Plaintif	f,)		
5	٧.			
6	CITY OF CHICAGO,) Chicago, Illinois) March 11, 2025		
7	Defendan			
8				
9	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - PUBLIC HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE REBECCA R. PALLMEYER			
10				
11				
12	APPEARANCES:			
13	For the State: 0FF BY:	ICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL MARY J. GRIEB		
14	100	WILLIAM ANDRE LOWRY, JR. West Randolph Street, 11th Floor		
15		cago, Illinois 60601		
16		T STETTINIUS & HOLLISTER LLP ALLAN T. SLAGEL		
17		East Wacker, Suite 2600 cago, Illinois 60601		
18	For the Coalition: ROG	ER BALDWIN FOUNDATION OF ACLU, INC.		
19		North Michigan Avenue, Suite 600		
20		cago, Illinois 60601		
21	For the Monitor: ARE BY:			
22	233	ANTHONY-RAY SEPULVEDA South Wacker Drive, Suite 7100		
23	Chi	cago, Illinois 60606		
24				
25				

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:		
2	Also Present:	CHIEF ANGEL NOVALEZ	
3		SUPERINTENDENT LARRY SNELLING	
4	Rublic Commonte Ry		
5	Public Comments By:	AREWA KAREN WINTERS	
6			
7		ELIZABETH ROCHFORD	
8		JAMES LEW	
9		MICHAEL MORRIS	
10		ALEES EDWARDS	
11		JOI IMOBHIO	
12		ROXANNE SMITH	
13		JUANITA ARIOLA	
14		DEIRDRE O'CONNOR	
15		ARIEL REBOYRAS	
16		LEE BIELECKI	
17		DR. LESLIE BLOCK	
18			
19			
20			
21	Court Reporter:	HANNAH JAGLER, RMR, CRR, FCRR	
22		Official Court Reporter 219 S. Dearborn Street, Room 2504	
23		Chicago, Illinois 60604	
24			
25		EDINGS REPORTED BY STENOTYPE CED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION	

(Proceedings heard via videoconference:)

THE COURT: Okay, everyone. I think we'll get started. Good afternoon, or evening for some of you. We're going to be hearing from a number of members of the public this evening, beginning right now and beginning in a few minutes.

6 I just want to make two very quick announcements. 7 One, I want to remind everybody that there's a prohibition on 8 your making any recording or broadcast or photograph of our proceeding this afternoon. The record is made by our Court and 9 10 the monitor has been good enough to always order those 11 transcripts and get them posted in the -- on the Monitor's own 12 web page. You can get the information there. She provides it 13 to the public. And access to the transcript is an exception to 14 the Court's ordinary rule that ordinarily the transcript's not 15 available to the public for 90 days, but for this case, we've 16 made an exception and I want you to know that that is in return 17 for honoring of course the requirement that other individuals 18 are not involved in making their own transcript or posting 19 information about the hearings on their own.

All right. I think we are ready to begin, though, and I'll hear first from Ms. Hickey on behalf of the Monitoring Team.

23 MS. HICKEY: Thank you, Your Honor. And thank you for 24 convening this monthly status hearing. My name's Maggie Hickey 25 and I am the Independent Monitor for the Consent Decree.

Today's public hearing is about hearing directly from the
members of Chicago's communities. This is the first time this
hearing is being held after 5 p.m., in the hopes that some
people who may not be able to observe or speak during the work
hours will be available to participate.

Fortunately, we have received a significant amount of
interest in today's hearing and a full lineup of speakers. As
a result, we have asked the parties to keep their opening
remarks brief and I will do the same.

As we move forward, the Court, the parties, and the Independent Monitoring Team will continue to consider ways to ensure that a cross section of Chicago's communities can participate in these important hearings. This might include, for example, scheduling hearings during the lunch hour or even on a weekend.

I look forward to hearing from the speakers today. And for anyone who is unable to speak today or who will not be able to fit their remarks into the five minutes, I want to provide a quick reminder that the Court is still accepting written comments through 4:30 p.m. this Friday, March 14th.

There are instructions on how to submit comments in the Court's order setting this hearing as well as on our website CPDMonitoringTeam.com.

In addition to these public hearings, the Independent
Monitoring Team will continue to seek out and hear from Chicago

communities in many other ways. For example, I recently
 presented at the 18th District CCPSA council meeting in
 February. And in late January, the IMT co-hosted a community
 meeting with the Safer Foundation.

5 If you or any organizations that you know would like 6 to connect with the Independent Monitoring Team or CPD, I know 7 both would be happy to meet with you.

8 And as always, our website contains information on how 9 community members may contact the IMT regarding feedback or 10 questions. You can e-mail us directly at

11 Contact@CPDMonitoringTeam.com.

25

Again, I thank the parties, the Court, and the community members for their time and attention to the Consent Decree and the safety and wellbeing of the people of Chicago. Thank you, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Hickey, and thank you for 17 the really excellent job I think you've done of making -- of 18 providing access to the community, to members of the community, 19 both online and in person to be involved in this process, which 20 is critical to its success.

All right. We're going to hear next from Mary Grieb
of the Office of the Attorney General; correct?

MS. GRIEB: Your Honor, my colleague Bill Lowry willbe speaking for the office today.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Lowry, if you wouldn't

1 mind, go right ahead.

MR. LOWRY: First, thank you. Good evening, Your
Honor and the members of the community. My name is Bill Lowry,
Jr. I'm an assistant attorney general for the Civil Rights
Bureau of the Office of the Attorney General. I'm also one of
the members of the office who directly works with the Community
Policing section and Impartial Policing section of the Consent
Decree every day.

9 So for those who may not be familiar, though, I want 10 to just make sure I explain this. Those are the sections of 11 the Consent Decree that typically are most likely to directly 12 involve you or people you know or members of the community, day 13 in and day out, the people living in Chicago.

So this evening, I wanted to deliver brief comments, but again, I want to thank the Court and the community members for your willingness to hold and attend this meeting after work, after a long day at work, or even school for those of you who attended school today.

As you probably notice, this is the first time doing this. We're trying this out. So our hope is that by having this, as the Monitor already said, instead of just in the middle of the day, could have more folks be able to come out, chance to attend, to learn, and if they desire, and if you desire, whether it be today or in the future, to participate and provide feedback to us and the monitoring team and the

1 Court.

And that's important to us. And the reason that's 2 3 important is because at the end of the day, what we know is no 4 matter what changes or improvements happen under the Consent Decree and that come out of this process, they will only take 5 6 root and only matter and only really mean something if the 7 people most impacted by the policing in Chicago are aware and 8 knowledgeable about what's going on. Because we know 9 perception is reality. And if you don't know that something 10 good's happening, then is it really even happening? It's 11 important to be here, to be informed, to be knowledgeable for 12 the people.

13 And for those reasons, it's also important so that the 14 people can know and that you all can know the benefits that are 15 directly now available to you that have been designed to help 16 the people, so that when you are in these situations and in 17 your communities, you are taking full advantage of the 18 resources provided by the CPD, the City, and others as well. 19 And so that ideally you can share feedback to us, if something 20 is either working or not working. So we appreciate having all 21 of you here.

And so for the public, please know that there are many ways for you to give feedback besides just hearings like this. For instance, the Independent Monitoring Team offers chances for you to give feedback or input. The Chicago Police

Department drafts and posts public policies for you to give
input on. You have your CCPSA, Commission for Community Public
Safety and the Accountability, meetings. They have local
meetings for your different districts or whatever the case is.
There are a plethora of opportunities for you to give your
voice and give your input and we want to hear those.

But we do hope that having this hearing in the
evening, you will go home and you will share with your friends,
with your families, with your communities your experience
tonight. And hopefully, we want to see more of you every time
that we have one of these, hear more voices, get more impact as
we move forward. So please feel free to share this and invite
some folks along next time as well.

With that said, I want to briefly highlight a couple
of key developments from the Community Policing section and the
Impartial Policing section over the last six to eight months
that kind of highlight some of the work that we've been doing
that, again, you can feel free to share.

Number one is there's been increased and improved
training to provide better and more personalized interactions
and collaborative relationships with community groups and CPD
members. So as you all know, Chicago is different neighborhood
to neighborhood, block to block. So it's important to have
specific relationships for those community members on that
block in that neighborhood and not just general rules or

1 whatever the case may be that fit to everybody. These are very
2 unique situations, so we are happy that there's been more
3 training on that.

There's been more work with advocacy groups to develop directly informed training to provide resources and services and accommodations for people who may have disabilities. That's something that continues to be developed and work with groups who have that knowledge.

9 We also have been working with service providers in 10 community groups including the Department of Family and Support 11 Services, DFFS, to put together a pilot deflection and 12 diversion youth program to make sure that youth are not 13 unnecessarily becoming part of the judicial court system when 14 really what they need more engagement with is services, 15 opportunities, and things of that nature. So that is something 16 that's been in development and is continuing forward.

Lastly, CPD has put together their new Office of
Equity and Engagement, which is designed and dedicated solely
to doing the real day in, day out, on-the-ground type of work
that's needed to ensure that the department as a whole, Chicago
Police Department, is not just talking the talk, but following
through on its duty to treat every person in this city fairly
and equitably under the law.

And while this work does take time and patience as most good things do, this work is happening. I can let you

1 know that this work is happening day in and day out. So I hope
2 that you enjoy what you hear here tonight but also that you
3 feel free to share, and let you know that we will be listening,
4 we will be taking your input, we will be taking your stories,
5 your feedback, and your experiences and continuing to apply
6 those every day to try to get the best outcome possible.

And again, as we move further into these warmer months hopefully, fingers crossed, and summer starts to get here, we want to also just continue to say that we look forward to working with the City, with CPD, with the Monitor, the Coalition, CCPSA, the different beat districts, and you all as well.

This is -- remember that this work, this reform work is still key and crucial as one of the supporting things to prevent violence. We got to do our day-to-day work, but these things are long-term sustainably meant to help prevent violence. So let's continue to push this work forward as we move forward with the summer months and prioritize it.

And with that, Your Honor, I would look forward to hearing from the people, the community members who have signed up today. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Lowry. Weappreciate that.

I know that Mr. Slagel has a presentation he's goingto make that I think is important to the members of the public

1 as well, so I'm going to turn to him next.

MR. SLAGEL: Yeah. Your Honor, I'm going to actually have Chief Angel Novalez from CPD present it. It will come up on the screen here momentarily.

5 THE COURT: Great. Chief Novalez, thanks for joining 6 us and we'll take a look at your screen in just a moment.

7 MR. NOVALEZ: Thank you very much, Your Honor. Thank 8 you to the IMT, the OAG, and everybody that showed up to this 9 public hearing. It's incredibly important to hear from the 10 voices in the community.

11 So what we wanted to do is extend an invitation to 12 everybody that's on the call, members of the Coalition, ACLU 13 members that blanket that all corners of our city, to provide 14 comment to policies that we've opened up, to get that public 15 input.

So I'm just going to go briefly through them. These policies are going to be posted from the 11th of March and the 31st of March. These are incredibly important policies for us. We'd like to get them over the finish line and ensure the training is available and ensure that our officers are operating with these policies in mind.

So the first one is going to be the interactions with persons who are deaf, deaf-blind, and hard of hearing. This is special order 02-07-03. So anybody who's interested in making comments on those policies, we would love to hear from you. 1 And we look forward to it.

Second policy is S03-22. That's firearm pointing
incidents. That as well will be posted on 11th of March to
31st of March of this year.

5 Next is S02-08. This is the community engagement in 6 policy and training development. So these three are posted all 7 the way through the 31st of March. And once again, we ask that 8 everybody that's on this call to please comment. We'd love to 9 hear from you. And if there are people around you that are not 10 present here, to please get the word out so we can get as much 11 engagement as possible.

Next slide, Allan.

12

13 Your Honor, same thing for everybody on the call, you 14 know, we're very excited to offer a unique opportunity for 15 Chicagoans to gain firsthand understanding of our inservice training through a new innovative program. In this program, 16 17 participants will observe the instruction officers received on 18 critical topics, including deescalation, mental health crisis 19 intervention, each of these topics a part of the 2025 inservice 20 training program for sworn officers.

This initiative is designed to foster transparency,
strengthen police community relations, and provide valuable
insight into the training standards that drive professional and
accountable policing to the folks that live in our city.
Our community training observation days, as we call

1 it, CTOD, will be offered on two days. It will be at a Public
2 Safety Training Center at 701 North Kilbourn. They will occur
3 the 12th of April, from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., and on April 19th,
4 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. We use these Saturday dates because we
5 know these are dates that folks may have the most opportunity
6 to participate.

So what we want folks to do is, one, you can scan the
QR code on the screen right now and save that QR code. That
will take you directly onto the website where you can register
to participate in this event. Based on the amount of folks
that we will get, we will add additional training dates to
ensure folks that signed up have an opportunity to come.

We also will create another opportunity the same as this to introduce two of our other classes that will be part of our 40-hour training for our officers for this year.

Next slide, please.

16

17

MR. SLAGEL: That's the only two slides, sir.

18 MR. NOVALEZ: All right. Let me just add one more 19 thing. If you cannot or you do not capture the QR code, you 20 can go to the Chicago Police Department website at 21 www.ChicagoPolice.org. On the main page, there is a toggle 22 button that you can hit. It's labeled Community Training 23 Observation Days. You can also use that as a second avenue to 24 sign up to participate at these CTODs or these community 25 training observation days.

1 We extend the invitation because we believe it's 2 incredibly important that we are transparent with the public 3 and that we offer to anybody in this city an opportunity to 4 come and see what we're doing, especially those communities 5 that, you know, are subject to what we do. We want you to see 6 how well we're training our officers, how our mindset is 7 keeping communities in mind. And we invite you to participate, 8 so please take us up on the offer. We appreciate it.

9 Your Honor, thank you very much for the time to allow 10 me to extend this invitation.

11 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Chief Novalez. That 12 was helpful, and I know those slides are going to be useful so 13 I'm happy you gave us a link. And we'll probably try to 14 mention that link again at the end so that people who would like to be involved and to maybe observe some of these 15 16 trainings and get a sense of what the police are doing and how 17 they're working on this on these problems will have that 18 opportunity. So that's great.

All right. I think we are ready to turn to public
comments, beginning with comments from the Coalition from Imani
Thornton. So if -- I saw Imani Thornton a moment ago. There
you go. Okay. I'm going to mute myself.

23 MS. THORNTON: Good evening. My name is Imani 24 Thornton. I am an attorney at the ACLU of Illinois and one of 25 the lawyers for the Coalition. Thank you for the opportunity 1 to speak with the Court, the parties, and the community2 tonight.

The Coalition consists of 14 civil rights and community organizations representing thousands of diverse Chicagoans. The Coalition members have been fighting for the Chicago Police Department to change its unconstitutional and discriminatory practices since before the Consent Decree was entered in 2019.

9 We appreciate the Court trying an evening time slot 10 for members of the community to provide input at this public 11 hearing. However, we want to acknowledge and address the fact 12 that 21 speakers have signed up tonight. Although 21 signed-up 13 speakers is an increase from the six speakers signed up for the 14 December public hearing, it pales in comparison to the 63 15 speakers who signed up for the June 2024 hearing.

16 We think that the issue goes beyond the time slot. 17 There are broader reasons why participation in these hearings 18 is diminishing. Your Honor, the members of the Coalition and 19 the community at large are exasperated, disheartened, and 20 disillusioned with the Consent Decree process. We have held 21 nine public hearings since November 2022. The Court has heard 22 dozens of community members come forward for specific changes. 23 These are important opportunities for the Court to hear 24 community sentiments and we want them to continue.

25

But we need it to be a two-way street. Chicagoans

1 want to know that CPD is listening. Community members,
2 including the Coalition, want to know that their lived
3 experiences which they have shared time and time again have
4 resulted in CPD improving how officers treat youth, people with
5 disabilities, black, and Latino/a people every day.

6 We can see, for example, in the Monitor's third 7 community survey report released on January 27th that the 8 community doesn't have faith in its Consent Decree process at 9 this time. 85 percent of service respondents do not feel well 10 informed about the Chicago police reform efforts over the last 11 12 months. Only about a quarter of respondents are confident 12 that any reform to CPD would have a lasting and positive 13 If the Consent Decree were working, you would expect effect. 14 to see these figures change positively over time, but they have 15 worsened since the previous survey two years ago.

16 Instead of the This is an unfortunate signal. 17 community becoming more invested and excited to see positive 18 results, the survey showed the opposite. We believe that this 19 frustration with the Consent Decree process results from CPD's 20 lack of meaningful community engagement. The third community 21 survey found that generally, Chicagoans considered the CPD to 22 be less effective in developing relationships with 23 organizations than in 2020. Young black men had an even more 24 opinion of CPD's ability to develop relationships with 25 organizations and other Chicagoans.

It's important to emphasize the racial ethnic
 disparities that the Monitor survey results highlighted. While
 62 percent of white respondents considered the relationship
 between CPD and community residents to be good or very good,
 only 45 percent of Latino/a respondents and 42 percent of black
 respondents felt the same way.

We'll give another example of CPD community engagement failures. As the Court remembers, the Coalition counsel -- the Coalition's counsel was surprised to learn in January that the City of Chicago had engaged the Civic Consulting Alliance to do focus groups and a survey on a variety of consent decree topics and this process apparently had been going on since November 2024.

14 On January 26th, the Coalition attended one of these 15 focus groups facilitated by the Alliance and the experience was 16 disappointing at best. The focus group asked six very basic 17 questions regarding policing practices. Coalition members have 18 been providing detailed and nuanced answers to the types of 19 questions that were asked during the focus group for close to a 20 decade now. Many of those answers resulted in the substantive 21 requirements of the Consent Decree entered in 2019. But none 22 of that prior work was acknowledged or incorporated in the 23 framing of the focus group questions.

Although some of the participants made use of the focus group, many expressed that the meeting felt unproductive,

repetitive, and disrespectful of the time the members have
 already spent informing CPD of these issues.

This whole focus group process begs the question of whether the City has actually been listening to the Coalition during the hundreds of hours members have shared stories of trauma, given input as to how they should be best served by the City at times in of crisis, and attended countless meetings regarding police practices.

9 A recent report titled Reimagining Public Safety in 10 Chicago featured the results of a focus group conducted by the 11 authors. When asked to explain the greatest threats to public 12 safety, the most common response was guns, followed closely by 13 People said that not only were police unable to the police. 14 protect them from crime, they were a threat to residents in and 15 of themselves. People consistently reported that police were a 16 greater threat to people of color in neighborhoods inhabited by 17 black and brown people, and that police presume people of color 18 are guilty.

Five years into the Consent Decree, many Chicagoans
still believe that CPD is an active threat to their personal
safety.

In closing, the Coalition appreciates the opportunities to participate in this public hearing today and to participate in the city's focus group process. But we strongly urge the parties and the monitor to carefully consider

how to improve these processes in the future so community
 members actually feel heard and acknowledged.

Our clients have expertise born of both deep personal experience and community involvement. In multiple public hearings and in court filings, we have recommended various ways to improve CPD's engagement with the community. The community including the Coalition deserve to play a real role in achieving the changes required by the Consent Decree. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Thornton. I just want you 11 to know that you are -- you're not alone in being concerned 12 about the results of the surveys that have been done and the 13 fact that there's -- whether a perception or reality, a sense 14 on the part of the public that things aren't changing, I know 15 that your concerns are very important and I hope that you and 16 your colleagues will not give up on us, and that you will do 17 your best to remain involved, even when it seems slow, 18 frustrating, and nonproductive, because we need your voice and 19 we need your involvement and we definitely need the expertise 20 that you and your colleagues bring to the table. I hope you'll 21 continue to be involved in that, not give up on this process, 22 because I personally continue to have confidence that it's 23 going to make a difference, but only with your assistance in 24 that and the cooperation of everybody, everybody who's involved 25 in this.

	20	
1	MS. THORNTON: Thank you.	
2	THE COURT: Thank you.	
3	And I think we're ready then to turn to the community	
4	speakers who many of you have signed up for tonight and I'm	
5	very pleased to see that. We're going to give each of you	
6	about five minutes to speak. With recognition that there are a	
7	lot of people who want to be heard from, and they're entitled	
8	to be heard from, I hope you'll be very respectful of the time	
9	limits that we place on you and you won't mind if we have to	
10	cut you off at some point.	
11	We're going to begin this evening with Vince Davis.	
12	PROFESSOR DAVIS: Hello. This is Professor Vince	
13	Davis. Hello?	
14	THE COURT: Hello. How are you, Professor Davis? Go	
15	right ahead.	
16	PROFESSOR DAVIS: Yes. I'm a member of the criminal	
17	justice community with the NAACP. And I have spoken recently	
18	with you, with you, Honorable Rebecca Pallmeyer, about my	
19	concerns in light of what I presented to you in the e-mail as	
20	to Ms. Maggie Henry [sic]. Ms. Henry, you were hired by the	
21	City of Chicago to correct the wrongs by CPD. And presently I	
22	have documents that you refuse to look at.	
23	I spoke in front of the city council, and the mayor at	
24	this point is aware of the my concerns of this fraudulent	
25	contract with a full signature of Mayor Daley as well as two	

1 Federal Department of Health Human Service certifications.

In light of Deborah Winkley [phonetic], OIG, she reported concerns about -- concerning the Department of Law as well as using the defense of attorney-client privilege. And her concerns is that the Office of Inspector General and Department of Law is not helping in their investigations in terms of wrong that was done to individuals within the police department.

9 I'm asking you again, Ms. Hickey, not to be my
10 attorney, but I'm asking you to look into the concerns that I
11 have right now. The NAACP at this time is looking at it as
12 well. So I'm asking for directions in terms of who I need to
13 talk to in terms of you acting as you were supposed to be doing
14 in your hiring process.

So, again, as I said, Ms. Hickey, I'm not asking you
to be my attorney. I'm asking you to correct the wrongs,
because considering it's still going on, obstruction of justice
still going on, and over -- as, again, over \$2 million was
stolen. So, again, Ms. Hickey, I'm asking you to look into it.
I'm not asking you to be my attorney. Okay. Thank you very
much.

THE COURT: Thank you, Professor Davis. I appreciateyour comments.

I think the next speaker we have scheduled is ArewaWinters. Is Ms. Arewa Winters with us?

MS.	WINTERS:	Yes.	I'm	here
-----	----------	------	-----	------

2

THE COURT: Great. Go ahead.

MS. WINTERS: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor. A Arewa Karen Winters, community organizer and leader with the Consent Decree Coalition, Campbell plaintiff.

6 And what I wanted to talk about tonight is the working 7 So I was a coach here for the Use of Force working groups. group along with Former Chief Cato, several years. 8 That's shortly after we came into the Consent Decree. Because this is 9 10 one of the things that I believe Maggie Hickey was in support 11 of, of us having working groups around 14 of the areas that's 12 listed in the Consent Decree, like Community Policing, 13 Impartial Policing, Crisis Intervention, and others.

14 And for whatever the reason, the department, after the 15 Use of Force working group, they declined having any more 16 working groups, and they started resorting to like community 17 conversations and focus groups. And I -- it feels like I'm 18 beating a dead horse, but I think this horse just kind of needs 19 some life support here. Because -- and I understand that the 20 department wants to hear from -- you know, like many voices in 21 the community, which they still have opportunity to do, 22 especially now that they are opening, you know, the public 23 comment periods and now that they're having the community, you 24 know, coming in for the trainings. But at the end of the day, 25 I don't know what's coming out of all the activity, other than

1 activity.

But I can speak to what came out of the Use of Force 2 3 working group, because it was a concentrated effort by a 4 diverse group of community members where we were able to make recommendations to the department. We made quite a few 5 6 recommendations. They didn't take many, but when they did 7 take, they changed the name of the policy from Use of Force to 8 Deescalation, Response to Resistance, and Use of Force because 9 language is important.

10 And we also had an opportunity to attend the use of 11 force training, and we did a report after the training, and 12 some of the things that we listed in that report, they were in 13 support of. But I cannot tangibly see what is coming out of 14 any of these other things that they are undertaking. The 15 working groups can also be considered forms of community 16 engagement. We are now six years in. If we have done two 17 working groups a year, we would have touched on all of the 18 areas that were lifted up in the Consent Decree that should 19 have had a working group.

And so I'm throwing myself on the mercy of the Court, because I don't know, like, what else can be done. I mean, I know we can't make them do it because it seems like either they're going to come up with -- either they don't have resources or it's going to be something that comes up. But in terms of Coalition members and community members, it is a very

productive use of people's time and intellectual property to
 have a concentrated effort on one of their policies.

3 And so I don't know, like, do we need to meet with the monitor and CPD to kind of unpack, you know, how we can start 4 forming another working group? Especially -- I'm mostly 5 6 concerned right now with two areas. One is Community Policing, 7 because this is where the strategic plans are housed and the 8 district councilors have been complaining about the strategic 9 plans. They just come out and get information from community 10 members and then there's never any implementation that comes 11 out of these community -- which is also community conversation.

And I'm also concerned with, if pretextual stops are to land in the Consent Decree, that I'm very concerned about it not getting the attention that it should warrant because it was such a hot topic for the community at large that it also deserves a working group.

17 So I don't know what we do as community Coalition 18 members. Like do I get a petition going? Do I file a 19 complaint? I don't know, but I'm not giving up on working 20 groups and I think they need to be revisited and reconsidered. 21 They found resources to host all these community conversations 22 and we're still wondering like, where are these conversations 23 going to land? What's going to come out of these 24 conversations? And I think they can do the same thing around 25 working groups. We have a blueprint now. And I would

1 definitely -- I'll work with the department on, you know, 2 reconstructing, you know, the things we went through to make 3 that group successful. 4 But that's what I'm pushing for, Your Honor. Like I said, I don't know what to do here, but we need more working 5 6 So yeah, that's all I have for now. And thank you for groups. 7 listening. 8 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Winters. And thanks for the comments about the value of working groups. 9 We'11 10 certainly be considering that. That's important to know. 11 I think our next speaker is Elizabeth Rochford. Is 12 she still with us? 13 MR. SEPULVEDA: Yes, Your Honor, she's joining now. 14 THE COURT: Great. Good. Ms. Rochford, I think you 15 might be muted. Okay. Now is that better? 16 MS. ROCHFORD: 17 THE COURT: Yes. 18 MS. ROCHFORD: Okay. Thank you. My name is Elizabeth 19 Rochford and I'm a leader with ONE Northside that is a part of 20 the Coalition and one of the 17th District councils. 21 Thank you for the opportunity to address the Court. I 22 am concerned about the slow progress of the Consent Decree and 23 particularly around the lack of progress around the Crisis 24 Intervention training. 25 Some of the work of the City is now being done by the

1 CARE teams, but the majority of time that crisis calls come to 2 911, it's still CPD who is responding. We need to be ensured 3 that these calls are being responded to by officers who are 4 appropriately trained. This is what I hear from my 5 constituents and from other district councilors across the 6 city.

I participated in several of the listening sessions
with the Civic Consulting Alliance. And in these sessions,
most people expressed that they wanted trained nonpolice
responders to be answering these calls. These sessions were
important but insufficient for gathering meaningful community
input.

13 There were only two sessions on the north side of the 14 city. We were told that the community partners would be doing 15 the gathering of the information and holding these sessions. I 16 was at one where it was the community partners that ran it, but 17 at the other one, CPD was actively involved in the session, 18 which has the ability to limit what some community members will 19 feel free to express. It is unclear what the exact purpose of 20 the sessions were and where the information that will -- was 21 gathered will go.

I have been told that these sessions were part of the Workforce Allocation study, but they began before that was even in place so I'm not sure that's correct. I have been told that they were part of community engagement, and according to the superintendent's goals with the CCPSA, they would inform their
 plan to revise this district strategic planning process. But
 none of the questions were remotely related to the strategic
 plans.

5 On the topic of these plans, they are currently 6 inadequate in the process for gathering meaningful community 7 input and the product which has few metrics for valuation, and 8 there's no clear follow-through with information to the 9 community on the progress they are making towards their plan. 10 It is mostly a box-checking exercise and they should not be 11 given credit for meeting compliance with this current iteration 12 I urge you to take action to push them into real of plans. 13 meaningful reform.

14 It's nice when they present how they are going to 15 train their leadership on being professional and acting with 16 humility. But still, homeless residents in my district are 17 threatened and traumatized at 5 o'clock in the morning because 18 the commander gives an order to clear the area based on 19 misinformation and not knowing the difference between an AME 20 and a cleaning event.

21 They need to do better for all people of this city.22 And the Court needs to push them to do it. Thank you.

23 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Rochford. I24 appreciate your comments.

25

I think we're ready then to hear from Juanita Ariola.

MR. SEPULVEDA: Your Honor, we currently do not see 1 2 her present in the attendee list. It's possible that Speakers 3 4, 5, 6, and 7 are joined using a different username. However. at this point, we can't identify them unless they perhaps raise 4 their hand to be called on. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. The individuals in question would 7 be Juanita Ariola, Don Williams, Kristil Hayes, and Teresa 8 Henning. If any of you are with us, please let us know and 9 we're happy to call on you. I think beyond those four 10 individuals, the next individual who's listed is James Lew, 11 Oh, Mr. Lew, if you're with us, please do join us and L-e-w. 12 make your comments. I see he is with us. Good. 13 MR. LEW: Hello. 14 THE COURT: Hello. 15 MR. LEW: First of all, I'm delighted to see 16 Chief Novalez with us. He is probably number one on my list of 17 the department personnel that I feel like I can relate to, 18 because he listens. And the other thing that I really respect 19 is when I am able to participate in -- I'm a member of CPAP, 20 the Community Policing Advisory Panel to the superintendent. 21 And so I've been involved with the police department, oh, since 22 1993, because I was one of the three consultants chosen by the 23 City to write the CAPS program under Deputy Chief Ramsey. So I 24 have been with the police department and a volunteer for I 25 guess 32 years now. So I'm probably the oldest volunteer in

1 the City.

And we are reassured by Glenn Brooks, director of Community Policing, that this Consent Decree cannot go forward without CPAP putting its stamp of approval on the Consent Decree. And yet again and again -- we are a panel of very dedicated volunteers, but I really don't feel like we've had much of an impact on this Consent Decree.

8 Number one, none of us are well trained in evaluation. 9 And if you're not trained in evaluation, it's really somewhat 10 irresponsible for us to be sharing our opinions about whether 11 this Consent Decree is advanced or not, because in some ways, 12 we're not speaking the language the government needs to hear in 13 order to say, "Yes, that sounds real and we're willing to lift 14 this Consent Decree."

And so again and again, I and other members of the CPAP committee have said, "Please bring in an evaluator, get us some training." I've been part -- you know, I was a corporate consultant for years and years, and so I worked in evaluation. I -- you know, usually we are given templates by which we evaluate, because we're not professional evaluators, but we can go gather information.

In this case, we really are in some ways just going by what we read, and I, as a professional consultant, in the corporate world, I've been trained never to trust language over observation. And when I actually drop in on -- when I read

changes in strategic plans and I drop in on a district and say, 1 "How are we doing on this?" I kind of get a shrug of a 2 3 shoulder. You know, I'm not going to name any commanders that I visited because I actually go all over the city and drop in 4 5 on situations. But I really -- I think most of our districts 6 are so overworked that no matter how well we write a strategic 7 plan, it's very, very hard for them to really execute those 8 plans in detail.

9 We are in some ways an undermanned police department. 10 And one of my issues is, we don't know how to use volunteers. 11 Like I said, I've been a volunteer for 32 years. I was a 12 facilitator for executives in Fortune 500 corporations, met with CEOs. And every time a group of leaders in our department 13 14 retires and moves on, I try to contact the new ones and I say, 15 "Look, let me in the room, I'm one of the best facilitators 16 you'll ever have. I can recognize when an executive group is 17 on track and I work for free." You know, I never thought in my 18 consulting days that I would say, "Yeah, I'll be happy to work 19 for free," but I don't trust organizations that don't let me 20 into the backroom to see how meetings are held, because my 21 suspicions are, not many meetings are facilitated well. It's just my experience, whether it's in the corporate world or in 22 23 nonprofit organizations or civic organizations.

And so one of the problems that I have with the City of Chicago and the police department is we don't know how to

onboard volunteers. There are many people like me that are
retired, that have had really positive, powerful experiences at
facilitating groups, working with organizations, and yet, it's
almost impossible for us to get through those doors into those
meetings to actually watch the process. So I don't trust the
process because I don't get to see the process.

And what a shame that we sometimes end up hiring people from expensive consulting organizations. And the truth is, there were a bunch of volunteers who are paid a thousand dollars a day from corporate consultants willing to work for free. Nobody opens the door for us. Nobody says, "Wow, we can really use your resources." Probably because we don't have a system that knows how to onboard and manage volunteers.

I listened to Mrs. Arewa, was talking about our
community conversations. These community conversations
originally were supposed to be something called Open Space.
Open Space technology says that not only do you get opinions
from the citizens of a city, but you then onboard them, to take
leadership in the suggestions that they contributed.

And it wasn't -- it was after a number of these meetings that I went into the headquarters and I said, "Where are those notes that we take?" Because we have not been onboarding the volunteers that speak up at the meetings. We just say "Thank you for your ideas" and we'll act on them. And one of the people that I worked with said, "Yeah, they're kind

1 of rolled up in a big roll on the fifth floor in a closet somewhere." And I go, "Excuse me, you invite people from the 2 3 community to take the time, to make suggestions, and to share 4 information, and then you roll them up and stick them in a closet on the fifth floor? Excuse me? That's not -- " First 5 6 of all, technically, the concept of Open Space is that you're 7 there to onboard leadership. You're not there to take 8 suggestions.

9 THE COURT: Mr. Lew, we really appreciate -- I just want to make sure that we don't run out of time here. I very 10 11 much appreciate those comments. And I want you to know, we 12 don't roll up the comments made by people at these hearings or 13 otherwise and ignore them. I know progress is slow. And we 14 also know that there is a lot of people out there in the 15 community that would like to help us, including you, and that's 16 very, very helpful. But I appreciate your comments and if you 17 have more to say in writing, you're certainly welcome to do 18 that. The comment period ends, as our monitor mentioned, at 19 the end of the week.

20 MR. LEW: Right. And I set a timer so I know my time 21 is up. By the way, my greetings to Chief Novalez. I always 22 try to bend his ear whenever I see him and he's one of the few 23 people that actually listens. So thank you for your time, and 24 thank you for listening to my comments.

25

THE COURT: Thank you, and I want you to know that I'm

1	in the Novalez fan club as well, as many of us are, so that's		
2	good to know that.		
3	All right. I think I understand the next speaker		
4	that's with us is actually Number 12, Michael Morris.		
5	Mr. Morris, if you would like to unmute yourself, we'd be happy		
6	to hear from you. I think you're still muted.		
7	MR. MORRIS: Hello?		
8	THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, Mr. Morris. Please		
9	make your statement. We'd like to hear from you.		
10	MR. MORRIS: Thank you for having me. I just well,		
11	again, I'm Michael Morris, the director of public relations in		
12	the Roseland area for the Big Homie Club LLC. And I just		
13	wanted to state that I'm sort of in the same frame of mind as		
14	Mr. Lew and him speaking. And if we really want to truly get		
15	any results in the city as far as the community and the police,		
16	I believe we need to actually come together and try different		
17	pilot programs in which we can actually start implementing		
18	things that actually will help and bring results, rather than,		
19	you know, meetings and hearings and things of that nature. And		
20	we not getting no results we looking for.		
21	So I'm also in works with Eric Wilkins of the Broken		
22	Wingz Foundation, and if possible, we would like to sit down		
23	with the commander in the Roseland area and implement a pilot		
24	program to where the community engaged along with all law		
25	enforcement, not just the police, the Courts, the juvenile		

1 detention center, the county jail, because all -- it's going to take everybody in the city. We all residents of the city. And 2 3 it's our city. So why aren't we all working together to solve our issues and problems? And that's pretty much what I wanted 4 5 to say. 6 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Morris. We appreciate your 7 comments and thank you for joining us this evening. 8 I want to make sure that -- I think the next person up 9 might be Edward -- I'm sorry, Alees Edwards. And I see that 10 person's with us, so if you want to get started, go ahead. 11 MS. EDWARDS: Hello? 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MS. EDWARDS: Can you hear me? 14 THE COURT: We can. 15 MS. EDWARDS: Hello. 16 THE COURT: You sound very clear. Thank you. 17 MS. EDWARDS: Can you hear me better? 18 THE COURT: No problem at all. 19 MS. EDWARDS: Oh, okay. So my name is Alees Edwards. 20 I am a Block Club president. I am a faith-based community 21 organizer. I am also one of the elected district councilors in 22 the 11th Police District. I was very pleased to hear a lot of 23 what I was going to talk about tonight has already been talked 24 about, but I will take the time to say this. One of the things 25 that I did want to raise awareness of and some concern around

1 is the community conversations with the district strategic 2 plan. For me, it has been maybe six or seven years that, you 3 know -- well, maybe five years, excuse me, five years that I've known about the strategic -- district strategic plan. 4 5 I have participated multiple times within the first 6 couple years and I saw that this was a time-waster. This was 7 something that was just checking a box. I made a suggestion to 8 CPD, which I'm now going to -- I'll make it to you. Sorry, I'm getting a call, I'm trying to... 9 10 (Pause.) 11 MS. EDWARDS: Hello? Can you hear me? 12 THE COURT: Yes. We can hear you. 13 MS. EDWARDS: Okay. I'm sorry. A call came in and it 14 dropped me. Anyway, I don't know where I dropped off at. 15 But anyway, the district strategic plan, the community 16 conversations, I participated two years in a row, and I found 17 out very quickly that, you know, it was just checking a box. 18 And I made the suggestion, and I want to make it to you all is, 19 that in order for us to track and, you know, have some 20 accountability with our strategic plan within the district, is 21 to have a one-year, three-year, and a five-year plan. And it's 22 something that when you have your community conversation, 23 you're not just coming up with new goals, you know, something 24 brand new that you're not going to do. You can kind of stick 25 to what you talked about last year and what worked, what did

not work, and what else can we do. That would be very
 beneficial.

Number two, I wanted to talk about the community engagement. So I have, Your Honor, talked to the monitor, Independent Monitor Maggie Hickey before, concerning some retaliation that I have endured myself and my Block Club from the 11th Police District. It has been many years, and even though some attention has been given to it, there's -- the behavior still remains.

Just recently I did a prayer walk. I said I'm going 10 11 to just try to engage the 11th Police District again. I put 12 them on all my e-mails, asked them to show up, texted several 13 people, gave them the flyer, and there was never any response 14 for months. And it started at 12. They did not show up at 15 12 o'clock. They showed up at 1, you know, when it was 16 supposed to be over. Luckily for us, we were running late. So 17 we had the 10th District, a neighboring police district, to 18 have to come in and help us because they have to, you know, 19 help us walk down the street and across major streets and 20 things of that nature.

It is important that when you have complaints with CPD that you have people that you can actually escalate these things to. And how I see it now, that there is no accountability when you escalate it to, you know, whoever their superiors are. There's no response.

1 And while I actually do like Chief Novalez, I do, I 2 really do, I'm a good -- I do think he listens. That even for 3 him and everyone else that I'm escalated some of our complaints 4 to, it's maybe a one-off that you address it and never pay any other attention after that. And so a lot of the CPD leadership 5 6 will give you their phone numbers like popcorn, like candy, but 7 they will not respond. They will not answer your call. Thev 8 will not acknowledge your text messages. So it's -- you know, it's futile. It really doesn't amount to anything. 9

10 So my ask for you all is, number one, for the 11 strategic plan, is to make it, you know, a little bit 12 trackable. Let's do a one-year, three-year, five-year plan. And the other thing is, for the community engagement with CPD 13 14 and the community, there has to be a pipeline where if you're 15 not getting the results that you're looking for, that someone 16 And it's has to take accountability to sort of make it happen. 17 been years that I've gone through this, Your Honor. Thank you 18 for listening.

19 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Edwards. Those are very 20 helpful comments. I appreciate it. I understand the concern 21 about strategic planning and that there ought to be some 22 accountability on and to make sure that we are meeting our 23 goals over a period of time.

I think we -- that was -- let's see. The next person on our list would probably be Ms. Joi Imobhio. It looks like

you're with us. Yes, I see Joi Imobhio. Great. If you could
 get started, you're welcome.

MS. IMOBHIO: Thank you so much, Your Honor. Good evening, everyone. My name is Joi Imobhio. And I am the policy strategist at Impact for Equity. We are a public interest law and policy center, combatting racial, economic, and social injustice for and with communities most disadvantaged by systematic inequalities.

9 The implementation of the Consent Decree is not just 10 about compliance. It's about transforming a policing system 11 that has historically harmed our communities. Without real 12 progress, the same cycles of misconduct, racial bias, and lack 13 of accountability will continue to endanger black and brown 14 This is why we are closely monitoring CPD's reform Chicagoans. 15 efforts and are determined to hold them accountable every step 16 of the way.

While CPD claims to be making progress on reform, the
reality on the ground tells a different story. Over the past
several months, Impact for Equity has conducted listening
sessions with community members to assess and progress and
areas of CPD's implementation of the Consent Decree.

Through these sessions, three consistent things emerged: The need for accountability, the lack of trust, and the demand for meaningful community partnerships. These priorities informed us of our focus on six key reforms tracked

1 in the Consent Decree scorecard we released last month.

The six key deliverables, if implemented, would establish a foundation for broader compliance and police accountability. They are, one, tracking misdemeanor arrests and tickets, paragraph 79 through 82. CPD must document and publish data on misdemeanor arrests, including racial and gender breakdowns. As of the end of 2024, this work has not started.

Number two is crisis intervention response, paragraph
10 106 through 112. CPD must ensure that all calls involving
11 behavioral health crises receive a response from a crisis
12 intervention-trained officer. CPD is failing to meet this
13 requirement and its crisis intervention unit is critically
14 underfunded.

Number three is early intervention system for at-risk
officers, paragraph 583 through 591. CPD must implement an
automated system to identify officers engaged in high-risk
behaviors. While a narrow pilot exists in two police
districts, no department-wide implementation plan has been
developed.

Number four, improvement of CPD's data systems,
paragraph 607. CPD must create and implement a data management
plan that ensures reforms are tracked and progress is
transparent. No plan has been created.

25

Number five, community engagement and feedback,

paragraph 46 and 47. CPD must engage a broad range of
 community members and assess the effectiveness of its public
 strategy, public safety strategies annually. While some
 efforts exist, engagement remains inadequate, particularly
 among marginalized communities.

6 And lastly, number six is independent investigations 7 of police-involved deaths, paragraph 492. CPD must comply with 8 state laws mandating that external law enforcement agencies 9 conduct these investigations. CPD has not met this requirement 10 and has made no documented progress.

11 Despite the mandates of the Consent Decree, CPD 12 continues to stall meaningful reform efforts, often citing data 13 collection challenges or internal resistance. According to the 14 community members we spoke with, the issue with this Decree 15 isn't just CPD's failure to act, but the perceived lack of 16 urgency by the Consent Decree parties to do all that is within 17 their power to hold them accountable. Without real 18 accountability, CPD progress will continue to be both 19 frustratingly slow and harmful policing practices will continue 20 to cause suffering in black and brown communities.

The system designed to track compliance is insufficient. While we recognize the IMT's interest in producing shorter, more reader-friendly reports, we believe that excluding paragraphs where the City has failed to make progress leaves the public in the dark about what, if any,

efforts have been made to push towards compliance. As a
result, advocates do not have the access to the data needed to
analyze CPD's progress, or a lack thereof, on critical
projects.

5 CPD cannot hold itself accountable. This is why 6 strengthening the still independent police oversight system in 7 Chicago is essential. With the power of the police board 8 diminished, the Civilian Office of Police Accountability, also 9 known as COPA, plays an even more critical role in

10 investigating police misconduct and providing recommendations.

As the CCPSA searches for a new chief administrator, we urge this Court and the parties to ensure that Consent Decree paragraphs related to COPA remain intact and that CPD and COPA are actively collaborating to facilitate robust and timely investigations.

16 The presence of a white supremacist ideology within 17 CPD is another crisis that demands immediate action. The 18 Consent Decree was intended to eliminate the racist, violent, 19 and unconstitutional practices embedded in CPD, yet officers 20 with ties to supremacist groups remain on the force today. Ιf 21 CPD aims to build trust, it must demonstrate a zero tolerance 22 philosophy for officer connections to white supremacist 23 ideologies. We believe that an independent agency should 24 conduct these investigations and stronger recruitment and 25 screening processes should be implemented to prevent

1 individuals with extremist beliefs from joining the force.

Finally, CPD continues to utilize pretextual traffic 2 3 stops as primary policing -- as a primary policing tool, 4 despite evidence showing that they are ineffective, inefficient, and creating lasting harm. 5 The Free2Move Coalition has advocated for data-driven, community-focused 6 7 reforms to include policy language that directs CPD to focus 8 traffic stops on public safety. This would ensure that CPD's 9 strategy shifts from making excessive stops for non-safety 10 related violations to making traffic stops for dangerous 11 driving behaviors or suspicion of criminal activity.

12 It would also prioritize enforcement of serious 13 violations. This would direct officers to enforce only traffic 14 infractions that pose an immediate public safety risk, rather 15 than stopping drivers for non-safety issues like expired 16 registration or a single nonfunctioning light. It would 17 require criminal suspicion to search as well. This would 18 mandate that officers only conduct vehicle searches during 19 traffic stops when there is probable cause or reasonable 20 suspicion.

We recognize that the Community Commission for Public Safety and Accountability has taken the lead to draft a traffic stop policy with CPD. At some point, the Consent Decree parties will weigh in on the final policy. This is a defining moment, not just for a policy reform, but for the safety and

dignity of black and brown communities who bear the brunt of
 discrimination and unnecessary stops.

Let's be clear. Pretextual traffic stops do not make our communities safer. They fuel cycles of criminalization, erode trust, and place our residents at the risk of violent encounters. The time for vague promises is over. Tonight, we really need real commitments.

8 We call on the Independent Monitoring Team and the 9 Office of the Attorney General as well as the Court to take 10 decisive action to provide a clear timeline for when community 11 members can review and get feedback on traffic stop policies, 12 ensure that any new traffic stop policy is data driven and community focused before approving it, publish all community 13 14 feedback received, and explain how community input has been 15 incorporated into the policy, and if any feedback was not 16 included, provide a rationale for those decisions; and then 17 lastly, hold CPD accountable for swift action by preventing 18 unnecessary delays in compliance with the Consent Decree 19 through meaningful court decisions.

Impact for Equity and the Free2Move Coalition are open and available to assist in moving these important reforms forward. Chicago cannot afford more delays. The community has spoken. The research is clear, and now is the time for action. Thank you.

25

THE COURT: Ms. Imobhio, thank you very much for your

very well informed comments. I very much appreciate your
 thoughtfulness and your consideration of the specifics of the
 Consent Decree. Very helpful.

I believe the next speaker is Roxanne Smith.
Ms. Smith, I see you're with us, so unmute yourself and go
right ahead.

MS. SMITH: Good afternoon, Your Honor. I'm Roxanne
8 Smith. I don't know if you can see me or not.

9 I'm an African American mother of three beautiful 10 children from the Austin community. I've seen you several 11 times before. And I am a leader and board president at 12 Communities United, which is part of the Coalition.

My family has been directly impacted by police use of force towards both of my sons, Seneca Smith and Roget Smith. My son Roget just recently passed away in March of 2023.

16 I'm here today because I refuse to give up. And I 17 will continue to advocate not just for my sons but for every 18 black and brown person who has lost their life at the hands of 19 police or who faces daily harassment and intimidation. And also the fact that there are still officers that have lied 20 21 under oath and they're still on the payroll. For example, the 22 one who shot my son in 2004, six times, and he's still serving 23 time for something he didn't do.

In my community, we continue to witness an
overwhelming amount of police officers responding to everyday

incidents. For example, when my son had an anxiety attack in
2008 -- Roget was born with Fragile X syndrome. I believe I
talked about this before, but somebody needs to know again. It
wasn't necessary for eight officers to come with guns. It
wasn't necessary for six ambulances and five fire trucks. For
what?

I called because he came over to the church where I
went to support group, along with bible study, and he came
outside, mid winter, with house shoes, jogging pants on, and a
T-shirt, and it was freezing. So I knew something was wrong.
My response was to call for help.

Instead, the EMT, the police, and the whole crowd, like it was -- my God, there was somebody in there that was -how do you call it -- just terrible. Too many officers for one person. And I explained over the phone that he had a panic attack and he was born with Fragile X syndrome, which is a form of autism, if no one knows.

18 These type of things, where multiple police cars come 19 and everybody and then they handcuffed him, put him on the 20 floor. Had to tell him he had special needs. This wasn't 21 necessary. One of the officers put a gun to his head. I'm 22 remembering all these things. It's not really good to review 23 this in my head, not right now. But we regularly see multiple 24 police officers responding to simple traffic stops or a simple 25 "I need your help" or for crisis intervention. Instead, they

1 overdo their part.

And in my community and even in the Roseland community, it's common to see a crowd of officers everywhere, shouting conflicting orders towards one another, which is not solving the problem. Somebody has to deescalate.

6 But then, you know, it makes it impossible to know who 7 to listen to. Right? This is becoming our reality. That's 8 not good. That's why I'm back again. We've been going over 9 this for, my God, almost six years.

Same thing. And there has been no reform. It was promised, but we are only 9 percent compliant. How does that work? Let me repeat that again. We are only 9 percent compliant. I've seen your face several times. I've came here with tears in my eyes asking to get the help done, to make progress and move forward, Your Honor.

16 And my anniversary of my son's passing is coming up, 17 March 21st. This is not good. Have things changed how police 18 respond to calls in our communities? No. As a matter of fact, 19 I don't even see them coming around in the area of my block, 20 like they said they were going to do, when we have a, you know, 21 block clubs or, you know, just community meetings. They don't 22 come. We need CPD not only to hear us but to be actively 23 engaged in meaningful dialogue.

24THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Smith. We appreciate your25comments and your time. And I know that the anniversary of

your son's death will be a painful one and I'm sorry about that 1 2 loss. I'm sure it is -- it's a very hard loss. 3 MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. I just wanted them 4 to hear and make sure that these things are set forward, you 5 know, taking our feedback seriously, explaining their 6 perspective when they disagree, and making real changes based 7 on community recommendations, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Right. 9 MS. SMITH: That's what matters. We're part of a 10 focus group. And the focus group goes downhill. All of 11 those -- I just came from the focus group about two weeks ago, 12 to say the same thing over again. Something's got to --13 THE COURT: Thank you for sticking with this and I'm 14 committed to working hard to make the changes that you're 15 looking for. So thank you. 16 Thank you. MS. SMITH: THE COURT: I believe that -- I believe that 17 18 Ms. Juanita Ariola may also be with us at this point. 0h, I 19 can see that she's with us. Okay, Ms. Ariola, if you'll --20 MS. ARIOLA: Can you hear me? 21 THE COURT: Yes, I can. 22 MS. ARIOLA: Okay. So good evening, Your Honor, and 23 members of the Court. My name is Juanita Ariola and I'm here 24 on behalf of the Helen & Joe Community Organization, which 25 serves families affected by domestic violence and violent

crimes in the Little Village, North Lawndale, South Lawndale,
 some of Rogers Park, and across the City of Chicago.

3 The Consent Decree is an essential tool for ensuring accountability and building trust between law enforcement and 4 5 the communities they serve. However, I urge the Court to 6 consider stronger protections and enforcement mechanisms for 7 survivors of domestic violence, particularly those in 8 underserved communities. Many of the families we work with 9 have experienced barriers to reporting crimes, lack of language 10 access, and inconsistent support sometimes from law 11 enforcement. Without proper implementation and oversight, 12 these systematic issues will persist.

13 I also would like to mention that our agency does a 14 lot of work with the Chicago Police Department, with the crime 15 victim services, the family liaisons. The 24th District has an 16 excellent domestic violence committee. The 8th District and 17 the 6th District also has an excellent domestic violence 18 committee. So we would like to say thank you for those 19 partnerships in the community to better serve the victims of 20 domestic violence and violent crimes. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Ariola, and thank you for bringing the attention -- to our attention where, you know, things are going right, because those can be good models for other districts and it's very useful to hear that information.

	49
1	MS. ARIOLA: Yes. The Chicago Police Department has
2	an excellent team of crime victim services, with advocates both
3	on DV and crime and violent crimes that actually do respond
4	within the 24-hour timeframe, to come out and help the
5	families. The family liaison officers also are very good with
6	implementing services and connecting the families with the
7	services needed within the timely fashion.
8	THE COURT: Excellent. Thank you again, Ms. Ariola.
9	MS. ARIOLA: Thank you.
10	THE COURT: I appreciate it.
11	All right. I believe Ms. Tuwani is with us, and Ms.
12	Tuwani, if you'd like to speak up, you can. I understand if
13	you'd also like to provide written comments. That's also fine.
14	Whichever you'd like to do, but we're welcome to hear from you
15	right now. I see you're with us. If you want to unmute
16	yourself, that would be great. I can see that you're not muted
17	anymore, but you're welcome to speak up right now.
18	Okay. Maybe some difficulties, if you want to work on
19	that. Sometimes it helps to drop off and drop back in. Not
20	sure about that.
21	While we're waiting for Ms. Tuwani to join us, I think
22	what I should do at this point is, I'm just going to walk back
23	through some of the names that we skipped over so that if
24	anybody is here, you're welcome to let us know and we'll hear
25	from you.

The people that -- whose names are on the list for 1 today, we haven't heard from, including Don Williams, Kristil 2 3 Hayes, and Teresa Henning. Also Harley Martin, Ephraim Lee, Leonard McGee, William Desmond, Tony McCoy Smith, Rabbi Michael 4 Ben Yosef, Deirdre O'Connor, and Maretta Brown Miller. 5 So if 6 any of those individuals is here, let us know. We're here to 7 hear from you this afternoon, or this evening, I should say. 8 MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, if you would ask them to 9 perhaps raise their hand, because a lot of times, different 10 things don't have their names or their names may be different. 11 I see a Deirdre O'Connor just --THE COURT: I see Deirdre O'Connor. Ms. O'Connor, if 12 13 you would like to speak right now, why don't you unmute 14 yourself and we'll hear from you. 15 MS. HICKEY: She has been promoted and I can see her 16 name here. She's unmuted now. Perhaps... 17 THE COURT: Ms. 0'Connor? 18 MS. O'CONNOR: Can you see me? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MS. O'CONNOR: Thank you so much. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Good. 22 MS. O'CONNOR: Thank you, Your Honor, and attendees. 23 My name is Deirdre O'Connor and I'm a district councilor 24 elected to represent the 20th Police District for the Community 25 Commission on Public Safety and Accountability. For over two

years, we have heard from countless neighbors about the lack of
 progress with the Consent Decree. Neighbors who show up
 stressed lack of police response times, discriminatory stops,
 and an overall desire for better interaction with our officers.

5 One of the core functions of my role is to bridge the 6 gap between the community and the police, to help rebuild the 7 years of distrust at the hands of bad police and failed police 8 policy.

9 We are seeing progress. As we speak, the City is
10 conducting a Workforce Allocation study. But even with that,
11 CPD's community engagement plan is lacking and isn't inviting
12 district councilors to help contribute.

As mentioned this evening, CPD's DSPs lack active participation from the community and the district officers. We heard a commitment from Superintendent Snelling that change is happening amongst the ranks, but this does not do enough. We need this body to progress at a much faster pace.

As we saw yesterday, the City continues to pay out exorbitant amounts of taxpayer dollars for excessive force and police misconduct settlements. Not only does this further damage the relationships we are working so hard to mend, it continues to re-harm the Chicagoans impacted.

I believe in the work that Maggie Hickey and her team are doing. I ask that Superintendent Snelling and top brass do the work needed to meet the requirements of the Consent Decree.

1 I want to echo what my fellow district councilors said on the 2 call this evening. 3 The 20th District is committed to doing the work, to engage with our constituents, and we ask that Chief Novalez and 4 5 Superintendent Snelling work with us to get this done. Thank 6 you. 7 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. O'Connor. 8 Helpful comments. 9 I think we still -- I know that Ms. Tuwani is with us. 10 And, again, if she -- if you're back with us and you want to 11 give it a try again, please do speak up. 12 MS. HICKEY: Your Honor, at this point, I think I 13 would ask that if anyone that would like to speak, they perhaps 14 have -- their names are different. You know, when iPhones come 15 up, they sometimes come up as a family member or other thing. 16 But anyone that wishes to speak that is in the audience today, 17 if they would raise their hand, we can work to promote them to 18 be able to speak. 19 THE COURT: Right. Good. Good suggestion. 20 MS. HICKEY: It will just take a minute or two to see 21 about that, and then, you know, allow for promotions. I'm in 22 touch with my tech team, trying to solve things behind the 23 scenes the whole time, so. If we wait a minute or so. 24 THE COURT: We'll wait another minute. If we do have 25 time, I want to again invite Mr. Slagel to again present the

1 information about, you know, opportunities that the public has 2 to participate and observe CPD training and other activities. 3 Let's wait for our speakers. MS. HICKEY: We do have two hands raised. 4 MR. SEPULVEDA: We have three raised at the moment. 5 THE COURT: Great. 6 7 MS. HICKEY: So we will bring them one at a time. 8 THE COURT: Yeah. 9 MS. HICKEY: This is -- if they can identify 10 themselves. 11 THE COURT: Ariel Reboyras? Let's see. I'm not sure. 12 I don't see you on the list, but maybe it's a different name. 13 MS. HICKEY: Yes. Your iPhone identifies as "FrAriel 14 Reboyras." But if you just had your hand up and you would like to speak, if you would take yourself off mute and just tell us 15 16 who you are. 17 THE COURT: Yeah. 18 MR. REBOYRAS: Hi, can you hear me? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MS. HICKEY: Yes. 21 THE COURT: Great. 22 MR. REBOYRAS: Thank you all for having this community 23 hearing. First of all, Judge Pallmeyer and the cast that's 24 present, I'm a former --25 THE COURT: Can you tell us your name? Please tell us

1 your name.

2 MR. REBOYRAS: I'm Ariel Reboyras. I'm a former 3 government employee for 44 years. The last 20 years, I was the 4 alderman of the 30th Ward. I was also a past chairman of the 5 police department.

I'm involved with the 25th District. And I see, when
I sit in with the meetings, when I go to events, I see
Community Policing at a high level. I can only speak about the
25th District, because that's where I live and those are the
meetings that I attend.

I heard Jim Lew, who's one of the members of CPAP, I too am a member of CPAP, and I -- you know, and I enjoy doing the work that we do as a working group. But most importantly, I see a good change in Community Policing in our 25th District. Now this could be because of our leaders that we have there, and this can be because we have some folks that want to work with the community and the police department.

I've heard some negative stuff. I've heard some good
things. But I think we're making progress. I know we still
have some time. But as a former government employee, I see
this Consent Decree going in the right direction. Thank you,
Judge Pallmeyer, for allowing me to speak.

THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Reboyras. I
think I got your name correct. That's -- it was good to hear
from you. Appreciate your comments.

MR. REBOYRAS: Thank you very much. THE COURT: I think, Mr. Bielecki, would you like to be heard, sir? MR. BIELECKI: Yeah. Can you hear me now, Judge? THE COURT: Yes I can.

1

2

3

4

5

6 MR. BIELECKI: I wasn't going to speak tonight. I was 7 mostly going to listen. But after listening -- I'm a retired 8 Chicago Police sergeant. I'm also the elected district 9 councilor for the 22nd District as a part of the Community 10 Commission for Public Safety and Accountability.

I had the opportunity to listen, attend the last listening session in the 8th District recently where over 99 percent of the constituents voiced their opinion and said that they want the police to be able to continue to do their job. Recent FOIA that I obtained showed that the Chicago Police Department in 2023 and 2024 has recovered over 8,000 guns on traffic stops.

I listened to Joi Imobhio talk about percentages. I talked about -- and I listened to these traffic stops, I've reviewed the traffic stops studies presented by the Illinois Department of Transportation that shows that 96 percent of all these traffic stops in the black and brown community result in no -- in no citation whatsoever.

Traffic stops are a crucial part of policing, when
they're rooted in reasonable suspicion and their observations,

1 officers' observations. These guns -- I started to make a 2 collection of the photos that are posted by the districts 3 around the city, of some of the high-powered rifles that have 4 been recovered on traffic stops. It stuns me to think that these weapons are out there. And if we continue to take tools 5 6 away from police officers, you know, then the trauma that these 7 weapons are going to cause is life shattering to the black and 8 brown communities.

9 I listened to the young lady from the Austin district. 10 I believe they lead the city in homicides this year. I think 11 it was six that I saw reported in the Tribune today. We have 12 to partner with the police and we need the community to be 13 understanding of how public safety is framed. The district 14 strategic plans are a guide, and I know that many of my 15 co-district councilors want to be involved in drafting and 16 participation of these district strategic plans. But having 17 been a policeman for 27 years, these are fluid types of 18 reports.

I mean, crimes -- crime moves. You know, it doesn't
stay in one place. So, you know, I think that the CCPSA is on
the right path for having these listening sessions. The next
one's coming up next week on the 19th in Tilden. I plan to
attend that one as well.

24 But I think a lot of these organizations could make a 25 positive inroad by partnering with the police instead of trying

to be a divisive force. And that's what I see from some of
these folks that show up at these listening sessions. If I
haven't attended, I've watched them on YouTube. And I just see
the negativity.

5 I understand the frustration that comes along with being stopped by the police. I probably participated in at 6 7 least a thousand search warrants in my career when I was in 8 narcotics. So, I mean -- and obviously nobody likes to see 9 their door knocked down by the police. Nobody likes to get stopped by the police. I don't like to get stopped by the 10 11 But when I am stopped, I put my hands on the wheel. police. 12 And when the officer comes up and says, "Can I see your license 13 and your proof of insurance?" I say, "Gladly." I don't call 14 I don't swear. I don't create a disturbance. I them names. 15 don't roll my window up. I listen and respect the person who 16 is talking to me.

17 And I think that's where a lot of community education 18 needs to come from. A lot of these interactions would be a lot 19 more positive if the community and some of these organizations 20 that criticize the police would participate in education for 21 how to interact with the police as well. Not just the -- and 22 the training also that the police have to -- have obtained and 23 must continue to obtain, to interact with the public. It has 24 to be a two-way street. It just can't be one way. Thank you. 25 THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Bielecki. We

1 appreciate your comments and your insight here.

10

Okay. Do we have -- I think we have a couple of additional speakers, is that right? Anybody else want to -again, if you raise your hand, we'll call on you. Let us know that you're here and we'll put you in as a panelist.

6 MR. SEPULVEDA: Your Honor, we have one more person 7 with their hand up who I'm trying to promote.

8 THE COURT: Okay. It's Dr. Block; is that correct? 9 DR. BLOCK: That is correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're welcome to speak. Go ahead, sir.

DR. BLOCK: My name is Dr. Leslie Block and I'm also
the chair of the district supervisor committee for 012 and I
think 012 has a really strong district advisory committee.

But what I want to talk about today is a quick followup to some of the wrongful conduct of police officers. A study was done by Stanford University looking at how much money has been paid out by municipalities for misconduct of police. They took Chicago out of it, with a little asterisk, and they said, if we kept Chicago in, it would skew the data.

I recommended sometime ago at headquarters, how can we reduce that recently 100 million, and all the others that have happened prior to, how can we incentivize it? If we collect data on which district has the most misconduct, and we can have a goal of reducing that by 50 percent, that money should be given back to that district so the police officers can buy vests or other things. It's the same type of strategy that is
 used at major universities, when they go off and get -- so I
 want to incentivize and reduce that amount of money of
 taxpayers out for wrongful conduct.

5 Again, 012 is -- I've been on the -- I've been chair 6 on 012 for 15 years, member of it for at least 18 years. I've 7 gone through at least six or seven commanders. And we have an 8 active board. I have an active relationship with everyone over 9 there. And I will continue to. That's all I have to say. 10 This is just a suggestion.

THE COURT: Well, thank you very much, Dr. Block. And
thank you for being part of this process this afternoon, this
evening. Thanks.

0kay. Do we have -- I'm just looking to see whether there's anybody else. It looks like what I'm hearing is that that's -- that accounts for everybody who is here and able to speak. If there is anybody else, you should let us know.

But there is just a few minutes, there are a few minutes left, so I want to ask Mr. Slagel to, one more time, bring up the slides that show anybody who wants to know about them, what they -- how they can become observers or involved and see what CPD is doing with respect to training.

23MR. SLAGEL: I will do so, and Chief, do you want24to --

25

THE COURT: I see the superintendent is with us.

That's great. Superintendent Snelling, you're always welcome 1 2 to make statements and we'd love to hear from you. 3 MR. SNELLING: Your Honor, how are you? THE COURT: I'm great. Happy to have you with us 4 5 again, Superintendent Snelling. 6 MR. SNELLING: And I'd like to say to hello to 7 everyone on the call. And yeah, I would really appreciate a 8 moment to address a few things, and then close it out with the 9 information, because I would like for that to be the last thing 10 that everyone sees. 11 The one thing that -- the reason that I wanted to say 12 something is because, you know, we talk about listening, and I 13 want people to know that I'm actively listening. It's one of 14 the reasons that I'm on this call right now. 15 And I just want to address a few things. And forgive 16 me if I'm, you know, a little -- kind of all over the place 17 because I wrote down a bunch of notes and some names of some of

60

because I wrote down a bunch of notes and some names of some of the speakers. And what I would like to start out by addressing is, we've heard from several people. I recently met with the Coalition, right in my office because, again, want to give them a voice, I want to hear what it is that they have to say, and I want to hear what they've been working on, because I know these people are dedicated to the cause and what they're doing.

And I just want to be clear on a couple of things. One of the things -- and I know Arewa. She's always front and center with everything, and I always appreciate the information
that she brings. And I wanted to address one of the things
about the working group. One of the things that we're
constantly hearing over and over is that nothing is happening
with the Consent Decree, that there's no progress, things
aren't working.

7 So my -- the way that I process these things as a 8 trainer is, why continue to do the same thing over and over, 9 without trying different things, to bring other people into it 10 to bring more people into it, to hear more opinions, to hear 11 more information from our communities? And it's important to 12 establish a new foundation so that we get to the bottom of what 13 everybody is expecting us to do. And if we're expected to move 14 this Consent Decree forward and the complaint is that we 15 haven't been doing so, then that means that what we're doing 16 isn't working, or that would be the assumption.

17 So for me, the most important thing to do now is to 18 try different things, not to just hear a small number of people 19 who are thinking about things. But I want to hear the 20 community as a whole. I would love to hear that information 21 coming from everybody.

For our district council members, the work that you're doing can be great work, being their conduit between the police department and our community members, and we would like to see -- I mean, I know that you're speaking for those community members, but we would like to see more of those community
 members coming into the fold.

The reason that we opened up training goes back to something that I heard Jim Lew, and also some great comments from Michael Moore, that we listen to things, we read things, and we interpret those things the way we want to interpret them, or sometimes people write things the way they want you to interpret those things.

9 But I've opened the doors to the academy. I've opened 10 the doors so that people can now come in and see, we're 11 welcoming you into our home, so that you can see and make 12 comments on what it is that we're doing, the training that 13 we're developing, to show you how serious we are. We're taking 14 your feedback, and we can show you in the training how we've 15 incorporated that feedback.

16 It's important for us to have the voice of as many 17 people in these communities as we possibly can, because we want 18 to make sure that it's not just one agenda. We want to make 19 sure that when we're addressing and we're reforming a 20 department, that we're doing it according to the needs of our 21 community as a whole, and not just one group.

So it's -- we come in contact with many people with many different views. It's not our job as police -- as a police department to judge those people. Our department -what we're supposed to be doing as police officers and a police

department is serving those people, regardless of their views,
their thoughts, their beliefs, their race, their religion. We
should be addressing the entirety of the city fairly, we should
be doing it in an equitable fashion, and we should be doing it
constitutionally.

And to hear the voices of the people on this call -- I 6 7 just wanted to address everything that I possibly can. Now 8 there was a Ms. I believe -- I'm sorry, Ms. Roxanne Smith. You 9 know, just to hear the story about her son, and, you know, I 10 can never talk about how painful that must be to have to bury a 11 My mother did so with two of her sons, my two older child. 12 brothers. And I know the kind of pain, that that stays with a 13 person when that happens.

But I did hear her say, "We would love to work with the police, we want the police to listen to what we have to say." That's what we're doing by opening our doors. This is -- this is why it's important for us to allow people in, so that we can hear those voices and they can actually see what we're doing, as opposed to just reading about it.

You know, I've often said that I can tell you, I can tell you how terrible it is to see a child that has been murdered, a baby whose life has been taken violently. But to see it and to witness it is a completely different thing. And it drives home a point that I can't write about. So the experience is always a lot different than what you read. So we

	64
1	just we want people to know that we're serious about it.
2	When we talk about our district strategic plans,
3	here's what I've tasked our district commanders with, and we
4	addressed this in CompStat. We want that plan to be
5	comprehensive. We want it to address the needs of the
6	community and the immediate needs of the community. And the
7	biggest part about that and what we got from the meeting with
8	the Coalition is the lack of feedback from the department. So
9	that is now a priority, to make sure that when we hear from our
10	community members, we are giving them feedback on what it is
11	they're giving us. So we want to make sure that we get that
12	taken care of.
13	Ms I believe it was Ms from Impact for
14	Equity, Ms. Joi Imobhio. I hope I said that the right way.
15	You mentioned something about the leadership at COPA. As the
16	head of the Chicago Police Department, I would love to
17	collaborate with COPA. I understand one thing: Collaboration
18	with everybody is how we get to the finish line. Community
19	members, all all agencies across this entire city, the
20	Federal Government, I've seen success with that type of
21	collaboration, where we all come to the table and talk about
22	solutions and how we get there. So that's a major focus for
23	me, to make sure that we're collaborating with whoever we can
24	collaborate with. That feedback loop is going to be very, very
25	important.

1 Speaker Michael Moore who said that he just wants to 2 work with the police, he knows that we have to have a 3 partnership in order to get to the bottom of things. He's 4 100 percent right. I want to partner with any community member 5 I can, which is why I'm willing to meet with the Coalition. 6 Everything that we're talking about here is extremely 7 important.

8 There was something else brought up by District 9 Councilwoman Deirdre O'Connor who talked about lawsuits. The 10 lawsuits that you're seeing right now, yes, there's a history 11 where those lawsuits came into play. Some of them are 12 extremely old. We're seeing a reduction in lawsuits filed 13 against the Chicago Police Department currently. So what I'm 14 trying not to do is live in the past, but I'm learning from it, 15 and we want to make sure that we don't forget about that.

16 When we're talking about trust, and I've heard people 17 on the call say, "We want to see that trust happen 18 immediately," there's no way that trust is going to happen 19 immediately. When you're talking about a century of mistrust 20 of the Chicago Police Department, people are not going to 21 automatically roll over and say "We trust the police" because 22 we've done one or two good things. This is something that's 23 going to have to be ongoing. It's slow moving. They're going 24 to have to see the progress that we're making. We're going to 25 have to show it to them and we're going to have to continue to

1 feed back to these individuals.

So there are other people that I wanted to address on the call, but I don't want to continue to drag this out. But I want everyone to understand that from the top down, as a police department, that is going to be the effect. We're looking at our leadership to drive the message all the way down to our police officers. If we want a better police department, if we want reform, we're going to have to start at the top.

9 Lastly, I'll say this about the Consent Decree. The 10 Consent Decree is a document, a guideline, a baseline, 11 something that's foundational. It's not the changing factor of 12 a police department. What it is is a start. You don't change 13 a police department with a Consent Decree. You change the 14 police department when you focus on changing culture. And the 15 Consent Decree drives that. So we're not just looking to 16 simply gain compliance in the Consent Decree. We're looking to 17 bring meaningful reform to the department. We're looking to 18 equip our officers the best way we can possible so that they 19 can serve the community in the best most equitable and 20 constitutional manner.

If we are not focused on developing our officers, giving them the best possible training, and investing in them and their development, then we're failing the community. And that's why the focus has to be there. It has to be on training, it has to be on equipment, it has to be on resources so that we can address all of the issues that have been brought
 up on this call.

So I just want to say that I've heard everyone. I've listened to every aspect of what it is that we're doing. We want to educate everybody so that we know and you understand some of the things that we as a police department lawfully, legally can and cannot do and some of the things that affect us.

9 Some things we have control over, and those things 10 that we do, we're going to make sure we use it to the best of 11 our ability. Some things are outside of our control, but we 12 will never use those as excuses for not progressing through 13 this Consent Decree.

So with that, I just want to say thank you to everyone on the call. I have to lastly say thank you from the OAG's office, Bill Lowry, who pointed out the importance of community engagement and trying to bring more people in and inviting more people in, because the more people we get in, the more voices we hear, the better it's going to be for everybody.

20 So I want to thank the IMT, the OAG's office, and I 21 have to thank our team who -- they've been working like crazy 22 on this Consent Decree. Even though people believe that we're 23 not, we're working like crazy behind the scene.

And lastly, Judge, I really have to thank you for your leadership and guiding us in the right direction and the

collaboration with everyone involved with the Consent Decree.
 So I'd just like to say thank you to everybody.

THE COURT: Well, I want to thank you, Superintendent, for being with us this afternoon and for obviously carefully listening to the concerns that were raised by so many speakers.

I know that the city is working hard on this. I know
that the community, many members of the community are
frustrated by the pace. But that is not -- that is not a -does not tell me that there's no commitment on the part of your
department and you personally, Superintendent, to making sure
that there's a change.

And I think it's important to point out, as you did, that the Consent Decree isn't going to be -- it's not a magic wand. It's not going to turn things over. It really requires change at every level. And that's what I think the department has been working on now, certainly since -- in the years that you've been here, and I know that Chief Novalez has been very committed to all of this as well.

19 Okay. Mr. Slagel, if you have a moment, I would love
20 for you to again invite every member of the community to be
21 part of the training. And if you want to put your slides up
22 one more time, I'd love to see them.

23 MR. SLAGEL: Sure, I will do that. And Chief Novalez, 24 I also can put up at the end the website and show people where 25 they can sign up on the website, so when you're ready for that, 1 | sir --

2

MR. NOVALEZ: Absolutely. Absolutely, Allan.

For everybody on the call, once again, the invitation goes out to everybody that's on the call. When you see what we have to offer, please ensure that you invite your neighbors as well, the more voices that we get, the more information we get to guide what our training is going to look like, what our policies look like.

Just as for this slide here, what we're having is our
community training days. It's an opportunity for us to show
communities that we're being transparent with what we're
training, give you some insight on how we're training our
officers, so you have an expectation on how they're going to
perform.

So if you can, if you can copy this QR code, you can use this QR code it will take you to a registration site. You can register to attend on April 12th or April 19th. This is open to everybody. If we have a large number of folks that want to come in, we will add a third day to help cover everybody that's in there.

We will -- as the superintendent stated earlier, he has directed us to do this more often and bring folks into our house. We are going to have additional days when we introduce two other trainings. So if you can grasp this QR code, that way you can sign up.

1 If you don't have that technology -- Allan, if you can 2 hit the next slide -- you can go to the Chicago Police web 3 On the main web page, you are going to see that says page. 4 community training observation days. You can also use this to sign up, to be able to participate with us on the community 5 6 observation days. And you would just go in here, fill out the 7 necessary stuff. All the instructions will be provided on this 8 page and we will be able to get you in there. We are looking 9 forward to seeing names on that list.

Lastly, what I want to do before I close is a reminder that we do have policies that opened up for public comment from the 11th of March to the 31st of March. That's going to be Special Order 02-07-03, interactions with persons who are deaf, deaf-blind, and hard of hearing, an incredibly important policy. S03-22, which is firearms pointing incident, another important policy.

And lastly, S02-08, community policy and training
development. Please, we're looking forward to getting your
input. We want input from all four corners of the city to
better shape our department.

And I want to close with this, Your Honor. Progress is moving on our end, and Superintendent's right, we are working very hard, but the superintendent's been that last ingredient that we needed, that support, that involvement, that has helped push us forward. And to have him in that position

has been incredibly helpful, Judge Pallmeyer. And you will see
and hopefully communities will start to feel that change in the
police department in its culture is coming. Thank you.

THE COURT: Great to hear. Thank you very much.
Any further final comments from the monitor?
MS. HICKEY: No, Your Honor. I just want to thank
everyone for taking their time out of their evening and the
opportunity to hear the important words that they shared with
us.

10 THE COURT: We will have -- we will continue the 11 process of these public hearings as we have been, and I 12 understand at least that the one that we expect to conduct in 13 June will be in person. You'll get notice about that. And 14 we're going to try to do it, as the monitor, indicated earlier, 15 different times of the day to make sure that anybody who has --16 who wants to make a statement has that opportunity.

All right. I think that concludes our process for
this evening. And, again, thanks everyone. I know it's
getting to be time for dinner for some of you and I hope you'll
get a chance to share that with friends and loved ones. Thank
you.

MS. HICKEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

23MR. SNELLING: Thank you, Judge. Have a good night.24THE COURT: You too.

(Concluded at 6:50 p.m.)

22

25

	12
1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
2	
3	to the extent possible, of the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter, given the limitations of conducting proceedings remotely.
4	1
5	<u>/s/ Hannah Jagler April 7, 2025</u>
6	Hannah Jagler, RMR, CRR, FCRR Official Court Reporter
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	